UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD INTEL CORPORATION, GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC., AND MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner.

PTAB Case No. IPR2017-00279

Patent No. RE40,264 E


PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE40,264 E

Claims 13-26 & 64-65



Page

I.	Intro	roduction		
II.	Mandatory notices			1
	A.	Real party in interest.		
	B.	Related matters		2
	C.	Notice of counsel and service information		
III.	Requirements for <i>inter partes</i> review			
	A.	Ground for standing		
	B.	Identification of challenge		
IV.	Overview of the '264 patent			
	A.	The specification describes multi-temperature etch processes		
	В.	The claims recite known etching techniques and conventional features		
	C.	The earliest possible priority date for the '264 patent is September 1997		
V.	Overview of the prior art			10
	A.	Two-temperature etch processes were well known in the prior art		10
		1.	Muller (Ex. 1002)	11
		2.	Matsumura (Ex. 1003)	13
		3.	Kadomura (Ex. 1005)	16
	B.	Selecting thermal mass for a substrate holder was a known technique		17
		1.	Anderson (Ex. 1011)	18
		2.	Hinman (Ex. 1010)	19
	C.	Level of ordinary skill in the art		19
	D.	Prop	posed claim constructions	20
		1	"Selected thermal mass"	20



(continued)

P	ล	g	e
•	ч	5	·

		2.	"The thermal mass of the substrate holder is selected for a predetermined temperature change within a specific interval of time"	21
VI.	Claims 13-26 and 64-65 of the '264 patent are unpatentable			22
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 13-16, 18-19, 21-23, and 64-65 are obvious over Muller, Matsumura, Anderson, and Hinman		22
		1.	Claim 13	22
		2.	Claim 14	37
		3.	Claim 15	38
		4.	Claim 16	39
		5.	Claim 18	40
		6.	Claim 19	41
		7.	Claim 21	42
		8.	Claim 22	43
		9.	Claim 23	43
		10.	Claim 64	44
		11.	Claim 65	
	В.		and 2: Claims 19-20 are obvious over Muller, Matsumura, erson, Hinman, and Wright	45
		1.	Claim 13	45
		2.	Claim 19	46
		3.	Claim 20	47
	C.		and 3: Claim 17 is obvious over Muller, Matsumura, erson, Hinman, and Kikuchi	48
		1.	Claim 13	48
		2	Claim 17	18



(continued)

Page

D.	Ground 4: Claims 24-26 are obvious over Muller, Matsumura, Anderson, Hinman, and Moslehi '849				
	1.	Claim 23	51		
	2.	Claim 24	51		
	3.	Claim 25	53		
	4.	Claim 26	53		
E.	Ground 5: Claims 13-16, 18-23, 64-65 are obvious over Kadomura, Matsumura, Anderson, and Hinman				
	1.	Claim 13	54		
	2.	Claim 14	68		
	3.	Claim 15	68		
	4.	Claim 16	69		
	5.	Claim 18	69		
	6.	Claim 19	70		
	7.	Claim 20	71		
	8.	Claim 21	72		
	9.	Claim 22	72		
	10.	Claim 23	73		
	11.	Claim 64	74		
	12.	Claim 65	74		
F.	Ground 6: Claim 17 is obvious over Kadomura, Matsumura, Anderson, Hinman, and Kikuchi				
	1.	Claim 13	75		
	2.	Claim 17	75		
G.		Ground 7: Claims 24-26 are obvious over Kadomura, Matsumura, Anderson, Hinman, and Moslehi '849			
	1.	Claim 23			



(continued)

				Page
		2.	Claim 24	77
		3.	Claim 25	79
		4.	Claim 26	80
	H.		ound 8: Claim 15 is obvious over Kadomura, Matsumura, derson, Hinman, and Muller	
		1.	Claim 13	81
		2.	Claim 15	81
VII	Con	clusio	n	83

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

