## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-

FITBIT, INC., Petitioner,

V.

LOGANTREE LP, Patent Owner

### PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

**OF** 

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,059,576



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INT	NTRODUCTION1				
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES					
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest.				
	B.	Related Matters	2			
	C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	2			
III.	GRO	ROUNDS FOR STANDING				
IV.	THE	E '576 PATENT	3			
	A.	Overview of the '576 Patent	3			
	B. Prosecution History					
	C. Claim Construction					
		1. "a movement sensor" (Claims 1, 13, 108, 139)	8			
		2. "self-contained" (Claims 1, 13, 20, 108, 110, 128, and 139)	9			
		3. "a computer" (Claim 13)	12			
		4. "velocity" (Claims 1 and 13)	16			
V.	REL	RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED				
REL	IEF .		.17			
VI.	IDE	NTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES	.17			
	A.	Challenged Claims and Statutory Grounds for Challenges	17			
VII.	IDE	NTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	.19			
	A.	Challenge #1: Claims 1, 13, and 17 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §				
		103 over Allum in view of Dougherty and Conlan	19			



	1.	Summary of Allum	19	
	2.	Summary of Dougherty	24	
	3.	Reasons to Combine Allum and Dougherty	26	
	4.	Summary of Conlan	31	
	5.	Reasons to Combine Allum and Conlan	32	
	6.	Detailed Analysis	35	
B.	Cha	llenge #2: Claims 20, 104, 108, 110, 118-123, 134 are invalid		
	unde	er 35 U.S.C § 103 over Allum in view of Dougherty	53	
	1.	Detailed Analysis	54	
C.	Cha	llenge #3: Claims 129, 132, and 133 are invalid under 35 U.S.C		
	§ 10	3 over Allum in view of Dougherty and Bernard	62	
	1.	Summary of Bernard	62	
	2.	Reasons to Combine Allum and Bernard	64	
	3.	Detailed Analysis	67	
D.	Cha	llenge #4: Claims 139-142 are invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over		
	Allu	m in view of Dougherty, Bernard, and Conlan	70	
	1.	Additional Reasons to Combine Allum and Conlan	71	
	2.	Detailed Analysis	73	
VIII. CONCLUSION				



### I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 6,059,576 ("the '576 Patent," FTBT-1001) is generally directed to monitoring an individual's motion with a portable electronic device. The '576 Patent issued with 29 claims covering the portable device, a system including the device, and a method of using the device. Almost fourteen years after issuance, however, Patent Owner requested reexamination of the '576 Patent in order to add over 150 new dependent claims. During the course of reexamination, the original independent claims were deemed unpatentable, forcing Patent Owner to add additional limitations to each. These added limitations—directed to detecting whether body motion meets a threshold—were well-known to persons of ordinary skill in the art before the earliest alleged priority date of the '576 patent. In fact, the '576 Patent itself acknowledges that it was known for portable electronic devices to detect when a human exceeds "a predetermined angle of flexion." FTBT-1001, 1:39-41. Additionally, U.S. Patent No. 5,919,149 (FTBT-1007, "Allum") describes a "complete body motion analysis system" including a wearable electronic device that measures an individual's body sway and determines if the user is about to fall. It does this by comparing the body sway against a user-defined threshold. Allum, in combination with secondary references also directed to wearable body monitoring devices, discloses every element of the device, system, and method recited in the '576 Patent.



Accordingly, the evidence in this Petition demonstrates that claims 1, 13, 17, 20, 104, 108, 110, 118-123, 129, 132-134, and 139-142 of the '576 Patent are unpatentable under (pre-AIA) 35 U.S.C. § 103. Fitbit, Inc. ("Petitioner") therefore respectfully requests that these claims be held invalid and cancelled.

## II. MANDATORY NOTICES

## A. Real Party-in-Interest

The real party-in-interest is Fitbit, Inc.

### **B.** Related Matters

As of the filing date of this petition, the '576 Patent has been asserted in *LoganTree LP v. Fitbit Inc.*, Case No. 2:15-cv-01575, which was filed in the Eastern District of Texas on October 2, 2015 and transferred to the Northern District of California on May 5, 2016, Case No. 3:16-cv-02443 ("related litigation"). Additionally, due to word count limitations and given the voluminous number of dependent claims asserted in the related litigation, Petitioner is concurrently filing a second IPR petition challenging claims of the '576 Patent.

## C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information

Lead Counsel

Andrew S. Ehmke HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75219

Phone: (214) 651-5116 Fax: (214) 200-0853

andy.ehmke.ipr@haynesboone.com

USPTO Reg. No. 50,271



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

