

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00238
Patent 6,157,589

**DECLARATION OF NATHAN NOBU LOWENSTEIN
IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD.'S
MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION
OF NATHAN NOBU LOWENSTEIN
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

Polaris Innovations Ltd. Exhibit 2003

Page 2003 - 1

I, Nathan Nobu Lowenstein, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California.
2. I am a member in good standing in all jurisdictions where I have been admitted to practice.
3. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.
4. I have never had an application denied for admission to practice before any court or administrative body.
5. I have never had any sanctions or contempt citations imposed upon me by any court or administrative body.
6. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.
7. I agree to be subject to the U.S.P.T.O. Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).
8. I am a partner at the law firm of Lowenstein & Weatherwax LLP.
9. I have practiced law in California for the past eleven years, and the majority of my practice has consisted of patent litigation and other patent related matters such as PTAB litigations. Representative patent

Polaris Innovations Ltd. Exhibit 2003

Page 2003 - 2

litigations where I have been actively involved as patent litigation counsel include *Tessera, Inc. v. Micron Technology, Inc. et al.*, 2:05-cv-00094-JDL (E.D. Tex.); *St. Jude Medical, Inc., et al. v. Access Closure, Inc.*, 4:08-cv-04101 (W.D. Ark.); *Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Texas Instruments Inc.*, 8:08-cv-01123 (C.D. Cal.); and *Quantum World Corp. v. Atmel Corp. et al.*, 2:07-cv-00024 (E.D. Tex.).

10. My experience in post grant patent proceedings includes drafting patent owner responses, taking and defending depositions, and presenting oral arguments before the Board. Representative matters where I was actively involved include *Microsoft Corp. v. IpLearn Focus, LLC* (IPR2015-00095 thru -097); matters involving Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (CBM2014-00038 thru -041, -00177 thru -00180); matters involving Solocron Media, LLC (IPR2015-00387 thru -00392, -00349 thru -00350, -00342, -00364, -00376, -00380, -00383); *Nissan North America, Inc. v. Diamond Coating Technologies, LLC* (IPR2014-01545 thru -01548); *Hyundai Motor America, Inc. et al. v. Diamond Coating Technologies, LLC* (IPR2014-01549, -01553); and *Intel Corp. v. Future Link Systems LLC* (IPR2016-01398, IPR2016-01401 and IPR2016-1402).

11. I am admitted to appear *pro hac vice* in five other matters, IPR2016-01621 thru -01623, IPR2017-00114, and IPR2017-00116, filed by Petitioner in this matter against Patent Owner in this matter.
12. I am also admitted to appear *pro hac vice* in the currently pending matters *Intel Corp. v. Future Link Systems, LLC*, IPR2016-01398, IPR2016-01401 and IPR2016-01402. I applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the related case *Intel Corp. v. Future Link Systems, LLC*, IPR2016-01400, but before my application was ruled upon, institution of review in that case was denied. *Id.*; *see* IPR2016-01400, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 10, 2017) (decision denying institution).
13. I was admitted to appear *pro hac vice* by this Board in the former matters *Microsoft Corp. v. IpLearn Focus, LLC*, IPR2015-00095, -00097, in each of which I participated actively from beginning to end, took testimony and defended witnesses in deposition, and presented argument at the oral hearing. *See* IPR2015-00095, Exs. 2010 & 2030 (deposition transcripts) & Paper 32 (oral hearing); IPR2015-00097, Exs. 2008 & 2030 (deposition transcripts) & Paper 32 (oral hearing).
14. Other than matters identified in ¶¶ 11-13, *supra*, I have not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any other proceedings before the U.S.P.T.O. in the last three years.

15. I have an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I have reviewed the Patent at issue, U.S. Patent No. 6,157,589, as well as five other patents for which Petitioner has filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review against Patent Owner (U.S. Patent Nos. 6,850,414, 7,315,454, 6,438,057, 7,206,978, and 7,334,150). I have also reviewed the Petitions and the relevant art in the six proceedings. In addition, I materially participated in drafting of the Patent Owner Preliminary Responses in all six proceedings and, am therefore, intimately familiar with the factual and legal issues in these matters.

16. I have a power of attorney from Patent Owner that has been made of record in this proceeding. *See* Paper 5.

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.