

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00224
Patent 8,724,622

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Mail Stop *PATENT BOARD*
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Identification of challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))	2
	A. Identification of challenge and statement of relief requested	2
	B. Meaningful distinction between Dahod- and Vuori-based petitions	3
III.	The '622 Patent	4
	A. Overview of the '622 Patent	4
	B. POSITA.....	5
	C. Claim construction	5
	1. “object field” (Claim 1).....	6
	2. “action field” (Claim 1).....	6
	3. “identifier field” (Claim 1).....	7
	4. “source field” (Claim 1)	7
	5. “destination field” (Claim 1).....	7
	6. “display[ing] at least one of the plurality of instant voice messages” (claim 16).....	7
IV.	Claims 3, 4, 6-8, 10-19, 21-23, and 38 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103 8	
	A. Ground 1: Claims 3, 4, 7-8, 11-13, 18, 21-23, and 38 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Dahod.....	9
	1. Dahod	9
	2. Claim 3	10
	3. Claim 4: “wherein the instant voice message includes an action field identifying one of a predetermined set of permitted actions requested by the user.”	17
	4. Claim 7: “wherein the instant voice message includes a source field including a unique identifier associated with <i>at least one of</i> a given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems that created the instant voice message and a given one of the plurality of users using the given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems.”	17
	5. Claim 8: “wherein the instant voice message includes a destination field including a unique identifier associated with <i>at least one of</i> a	

- given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems identified as a recipient of the instant voice message and a given one of the plurality of users using the given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems.”19
6. Claim 11: “[11.1] wherein, upon receipt of an instant voice message, the communication platform system determines if there is the current connection to one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems identified as a recipient of the instant voice message, and [11.2] if there is no connection with the one of the plurality of instant voice message client system identified as the recipient, the instant voice message is stored and [11.3] delivered when the one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems identified as the recipient re-established a connection.”21
7. Claim 12: “wherein the communication platform system updates the connection information for each of the instant voice message client systems by periodically transmitting a connection status request to the given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems.”22
8. Claim 13: “wherein each of the instant voice message client systems comprises an instant voice messaging application generating an instant voice message and transmitting the instant voice message over the packet-switched network to the messaging system.”24
9. Claim 18: “wherein the instant voice messaging application includes an audio file creation system creating an audio file for the instant voice message based on input received via an audio input device coupled to the client device.”25
10. Claim 21: “wherein the instant voice messaging application displays a list of one or more potential recipients for the instant voice message.”27
11. Claim 22: “wherein the instant voice messaging application displays an indicia for each of the one or more potential recipients indicating whether the potential recipient is currently available to receive an instant voice message.”27
12. Claim 23: “wherein the instant voice message application generates an audible *or* visual effect indicating receipt of an instant voice message.....28

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of
U.S. Pat. No. 8,724,622*

13.Claim 38	28
B. Ground 2: Claims 6, 10, and 14-17 are obvious over Dahod and Hogan...	32
1. Hogan	32
2. KSR	33
3. Claim 6: “wherein the instant voice message includes an identifier field including a unique identifier associated with the instant voice message.”	34
4. Claim 10: “a message database storing the instant voice messages received from the instant voice message client systems.”	36
5. Claim 14: “wherein the instant voice messaging application includes a message database storing the instant voice message, wherein the instant voice message is represented by a database record including a unique identifier.”	39
6. Claim 15: “[15.1] wherein the message database includes a plurality of instant voice messages recorded by a user of the client device and [15.2] instant voice messages received over the packet-switched network.”	41
7. Claim 16: “wherein the instant voice messaging application displays at least one of the plurality of instant voice messages stored in the message database.”	45
8. Claim 17: “wherein the instant voice messaging application includes a file manager system performing <i>at least one of</i> storing, deleting and retrieving the instant voice messages from the message database.”	46
C. Ground 3: Claim 19 is obvious over Dahod and Logan	48
1. Logan.....	48
2. KSR	49
3. Claim 19: “wherein the instant voice messaging application includes an encryption/decryption system for encrypting the instant voice messages to be transmitted over the packet-switched network and decrypting the instant voices messages received over the packet-switched network.”	49
V. Mandatory notices under 37 C.F.R. §42.8	50
A. Real parties-in-interest (§42.8(b)(1))	50
B. Notice of related matters (§42.8(b)(2)).....	51

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of
U.S. Pat. No. 8,724,622*

C. Lead and back-up counsel with service information (§42.8(b)(3) and
(4)).....55

VI. Grounds for standing.....55

VII. Conclusion.....56

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.