

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.  
Patent Owner

---

Cases IPR2017-00223 and IPR2017-00224  
Patent 8,724,622

---

**DECLARATION OF LEONARD J. FORYS, PH.D.**

*Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"*  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction .....	1
II. Qualifications and Expertise .....	5
III. My Understanding of Claim Construction .....	13
IV. Legal Understanding.....	13
A. My Understanding of Claim Construction .....	13
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	14
C. My Understanding of Obviousness .....	15
V. Background of the Technologies Disclosed in the '622 Patent.....	20
A. Technical Overview of Instant Voice Messaging Technology .....	20
VI. Overview of the '622 Patent.....	31
A. Claims 3, 4, 6-8, 10-19, and 21-23 .....	34
B. Claim 38.....	35
VII. Overview of the Prior Art.....	35
A. Vuori .....	35
B. SMS Specification .....	38
C. Holtzberg .....	42
D. Väänänen .....	43
E. Dahod.....	46
F. Hogan.....	47
G. Logan .....	49
VIII. Claim Construction.....	51
A. “Object field” .....	51
B. “Action field” .....	51
C. “Identifier field” .....	51
D. “Source field” .....	52
E. “Destination field” .....	52
F. “Display[ing] at least one of the plurality of instant voice messages”.....	52
IX. The Combination of Vuori the SMS Specification Renders Claims 3, 4, 6-8, 11-13, 18, and 21-23 Obvious.....	53

A. Claim 3.....	54
B. Claim 4.....	65
C. Claim 6.....	69
D. Claim 7.....	73
E. Claim 8.....	78
F. Claim 11.....	84
G. Claim 12.....	88
H. Claim 13.....	91
I. Claim 18.....	94
J. Claim 21.....	96
K. Claim 22.....	97
L. Claim 23.....	99
X. The Combination of Vuori, the SMS Specification, and Holtzberg Renders Claims 10 and 14-17 Obvious.....	100
A. Claim 10.....	100
B. Claim 14.....	104
C. Claim 15.....	108
D. Claim 16.....	111
E. Claim 17.....	113
XI. The Combination of Vuori, the SMS Specification, and Väänänen Renders Claims 19 Obvious.....	116
A. Väänänen .....	117
B. Motivation to Combine Vuori, the SMS Specification, and Väänänen .....	119
XII. Vuori Renders Claim 38 Obvious .....	120
A. Claim 38.....	120
XIII. Dahod Renders Claims 3, 4, 7-8, 11-13, 18, 21-23, and 38 Obvious. ....	128
A. Claim 3.....	129
B. Claim 4.....	137
C. Claim 7.....	138
D. Claim 8.....	139
E. Claim 11.....	141

F. Claim 12.....	144
G. Claim 13.....	146
H. Claim 18.....	148
I. Claim 21.....	150
J. Claim 22.....	151
K. Claim 23.....	152
L. Claim 38.....	152
XIV. The Combination of Dahod and Hogan Renders Claims 6, 10, and 14-17 Obvious .....	158
A. Claim 6.....	159
B. Claim 10.....	162
C. Claim 14.....	166
D. Claim 15.....	168
E. Claim 16.....	173
F. Claim 17.....	174
XV. The Combination of Dahod and Logan Renders Claims 19 Obvious.....	177
XVI. Conclusion .....	181

**I. Introduction**

I, Dr. Leonard J. Forys, declare as follows:

1. I have been retained as an expert witness by Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC to provide testimony on behalf of Apple, Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) for the above-captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. This Declaration concerns technical subject matter relevant to the *inter partes* review petition (“Petition”) concerning U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622 (“the ’622 Patent”) titled “System and method for instant VoIP messaging” by Michael J. Rojas. It is my understanding that ’622 Patent is currently assigned Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.

2. I am over 18 years of age. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration and could testify competently to them if asked to do so.

3. I have reviewed and am familiar with the specification, claims, and prosecution history of the ’622 patent. I will cite to the specification using the following format: (’622 Patent, 1:1-10). This example citation points to the ’622 patent specification at column 1, lines 1-10.

4. I have reviewed and am familiar with the following documents and materials:

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	Rojas, U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622 (filed July 11, 2012, issued May 13, 2014).
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.