

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00222
Patent 8,243,723

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction1

II. Identification of challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))2

 A. Identification of challenge and statement of relief requested2

 B. Meaningful distinction between Vuori and Stubbs.....3

III. The '723 Patent4

 A. Overview of the '723 Patent4

 B. POSITA.....5

 C. Claim construction6

 1. “intercom mode” (Claims 4, 6, and 8)6

IV. Claims 1-8 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §1036

 A. Ground 1: Claim 1 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Vuori.7

 1. Vuori.....7

 2. Claim 18

 B. Ground 2: Claims 2-7 are obvious over Vuori and Malik17

 1. Malik.....17

 2. KSR19

 3. Claim 2: “wherein the instant voice message includes one or more files attached to an audio file”21

 4. Claim 3: “controlling a method of generating the instant voice message based upon the connectivity status of said one or more recipient”22

 5. Claim 4: “wherein said method of generating said instant voice message is selected from a group comprising a record mode and an intercom mode”25

 6. Claim 5: “wherein said record mode is selected as a default when at least one recipients are unavailable”27

 7. Claim 6: “wherein said intercom mode is selected as a default when at least one recipients are available”28

 8. Claim 7: “wherein said record mode comprises the steps of: [7.1] recording the instant voice message; [7.2] receiving a stop

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of
U.S. Pat. No. 8,243,723*

indicator; and [7.3] transmitting the recorded instant voice message after the receipt of said stop indicator”	29
C. Ground 3: Claim 8 is obvious over Vuori, Malik, and Lerner	34
1. Lerner	34
2. KSR	35
3. Claim 8: “wherein said intercom mode comprises the steps of: [8.1] buffering each of a plurality of successive portions of the instant voice as the instant message is recorded; [8.2] transmitting from each successive buffered portion; and [8.3] delivering each successive portion to the recipients wherein the recipients audibly playing each successive portion as it is delivered.”	37
D. Ground 4: Claims 1-7 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Stubbs and Abburi.....	43
1. Stubbs	43
2. Abburi.....	44
3. KSR	45
4. Claim 1	47
5. Claim 2	58
6. Claim 3	60
7. Claim 4	63
8. Claim 5	65
9. Claim 6	67
10.Claim 7	70
E. Ground 5 – Claim 8 is obvious over Stubbs, Abburi, and Lerner	73
1. Claim 8	73
V. Mandatory notices under 37 C.F.R. §42.8	75
A. Real parties-in-interest (§42.8(b)(1))	75
B. Notice of related matters (§42.8(b)(2)).....	75
C. Lead and back-up counsel with service information (§42.8(b)(3) and (4)).....	79
VI. Grounds for standing.....	79
VII. Conclusion.....	80

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of
U.S. Pat. No. 8,243,723*

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
1001	Rojas, U.S. Patent No. 8,243,723 (earliest priority date December 18, 2003; filed March 4, 2009; issued August 14, 2012).
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,243,723.
1003	Declaration of Leonard J. Forys, Ph.D.
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Leonard J. Forys, Ph.D.
1005	Vuori, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0146097 (filed July 23, 2001, published October 10, 2002).
1006	SMSS, Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UTMS); Technical realization of the Short Message Service (SMS) (3G TS 23.040 version 3.5.0 Release 1999) (published on August 16, 2000).
1007	Holtzberg, U.S. Patent No. 6,625,261 (filed December 20, 2000, issued September 23, 2003).
1008	Väänänen, U.S. Patent No. 7,218,919 (filed August 8, 2001, issued May 15, 2007).
1009	Dahod et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0022208 (filed on August 1, 2002, published February 5, 2004).
1010	Hogan et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,619,554 (filed June 8, 1994, issued April 8, 1997).
1011	Logan et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,732,216 (filed October 2, 1996, issued March 24, 1998).
1012	Peersman et al., The Global System for Mobile Communications Short Message Service, IEEE Personal Communications (June 2000).
1013	SMS Forum, SMPP v3.4 Protocol Implementation guide for GSM / UMTS, Version 1.0 (May 30, 2002).

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of
U.S. Pat. No. 8,243,723*

Exhibit	Description
1014	Clarke et al., Experiments with packet switching of voice traffic, IEE Proceedings G - Electronic Circuits and Systems, Vol.130, Pt. G, No. 4, pp. 105-13 (August 1983).
1015	Oouchi et al., Study on Appropriate Voice Data Length of IP Packets for VoIP Network Adjustment, Proceedings of the IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (GLOBECOM) 2002, V. 2, Taipei, Taiwan, 2002, pp. 1618–1622.
1016	Lotito et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,625,081 (filed November 30, 1982, issued November 25, 1986).
1017	Pershan, U.S. Patent No. 5,260,986 (filed April 23, 1991, issued November 9, 1993).
1018	Old Version of AOL Instant Messenger 2.1 Download, retrieved from http://www.oldapps.com/aim.php?old_aim=4#screenshots .
1019	Malik, Patent Publication No. 2003/0219104 (filed August 19, 2002, published November 27, 2003).
1020	Staack et al., WO Patent Publication No. 02/07396 (filed July 13, 2000, published January 24, 2002).
1021	Lerner et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,192,395 (filed December 17, 1999, issued February 20, 2001).
1022	Stubbs, WO Patent Publication No. 99/63773 (filed June 3, 1999, published December 9, 1999).
1023	Abhuri, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0147512 (filed on February 1, 2002, published August 7, 2003).
1024	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,535,890.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.