

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

EMERSON ELECTRIC CO,  
Petitioner

v.

SIPCO, LLC,  
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00216  
U.S. Patent 8,013,732

---

SIPCO, LLC'S PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND .....	5
A. Conventional Control and Monitoring Systems .....	5
B. The '732 Patent: Mr. Thomas D. Petite and Richard M. Huff Invent A New Type Of Distributed System For Remote Monitoring and Control. ....	8
III. SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED GROUNDS FOR REVIEW .....	12
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	12
A. Sensor (claims 1 and 2).....	13
B. Actuator (claims 1, 2, 4, and 5).....	14
V. THE PRIOR ART .....	18
A. Kahn (Ex. 1002).....	18
VI. THE PETITIONER CANNOT PREVAIL ON ANY OBVIOUSNESS GROUND AGAINST ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '732 PATENT .....	20
A. The Claim Limitations Of A System Comprising A Gateway That Is Configured To Receive and Translate Select Information, Identification Information Of A Nearby Transceiver, and Identification Information Of Retransmitting Transceivers And To Transmit The Translated Information To A Computer Over A Wan Of Independent Claim 1 Would Have Been Taught Or Suggested By The Prior Art.....	21
B. The Claim Limitations Of A System Comprising A Transceiver That Is Configured To Wirelessly Retransmit Select Information, Identification Information Of A Nearby Transceiver, and Its Own Identification Information Of Independent Claim 1 Would Have Been Taught Or Suggested By The Prior Art .....	30
C. The Claim Limitation Of “a plurality of transceivers dispersed geographically at defined locations, each transceiver electrically interfaced with a sensor,” As Recited in Independent Claim 1 Would Not Have Been Taught Or Suggested By The Prior Art .....	33
D. The Claim Limitation Of “at least one of said plurality of transceivers is also electrically interfaced with an actuator to control an actuated device,” As Recited in Claim 1 Would Not Have Been Taught Or Suggested By The Prior Art. ....	39

E.	The Petitioner Failed To Show That It Would Have Been Obvious To Modify Kahn With The Secondary References To Achieve A System Having A Plurality of Transceivers and A Gateway That Are Both Configured To Receive Select Information, Identification Information Of A Nearby Transceiver, and Identification Information Of Retransmitting Transceivers And A Gateway That Is Further Configured to Translate This Information And Transmit The Translated Information To A Computer Over A Wan As Required By All The Challenged Claims .....	41
F.	The Petitioner Failed To Set Forth A Proper Obviousness Analysis.....	48
VII.	THE PETITIONER FAILED TO IDENTIFY ANY COMPELLING RATIONALE FOR ADOPTING REDUNDANT GROUNDS OF REJECTION. ....	51
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	53

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### **CASES**

<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966).....	19, 45, 46
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007) .....	45
<i>OSRAM Sylvania, Inc. v. Am Induction Techs., Inc.</i> , 701 F.3d 698, 706 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	19, 45

### **OTHER AUTHORITIES**

<i>Epistar, et al. v. Trustees Of Boston University</i> , IPR2013-00298, Paper No. 18 (P.T.A.B. November 15, 2103) .....	44, 45
<i>Liberty Mutual v. Progressive Casualty</i> , CMB-2012-00003, Paper No. 7 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 25, 2012) .....	46
<i>Liberty Mutual v. Progressive Casualty</i> , CMB-2012-00003, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 25, 2012) .....	47
MPEP § 2143.....	19

## I. INTRODUCTION

The principal inventor of U.S. Patent No. 8,013,732 (“the ’732 patent”), Thomas David Petite, is a Native American who has been honored extensively for his inventions and his contributions to technology.<sup>1</sup> The United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO) issued a Collectible Card for Mr. Petite to honor him for his contributions as a Native American inventor.<sup>2</sup> Mr. Petite was honored as a Native American inventor at the Native American Intellectual Property Enterprise Council Reception by the Director of the USPTO at that time, Mr. David Kappos.<sup>3</sup> The USPTO director Michelle Lee issued a letter to Mr. Petite acknowledging him for helping to train patent examiners.<sup>4</sup> The Georgia State Senate issued a resolution honoring Mr. David Petite as a Native American inventor.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit 2001, ¶¶ 3-6.

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit 2002.

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit 2004.

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit 2005.

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit 2003.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.