



CORNELL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY



3 1924 077 849 721

Proceedings

**THIRTY-SEVENTH ANNUAL ALLERTON CONFERENCE
ON COMMUNICATION, CONTROL AND COMPUTING**

September 22 - 24, 1999

Allerton House, Monticello, Illinois

**Sponsored by the
Coordinated Science Laboratory and the
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering of the
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign**

**Apple v. Caltech
IPR2017-00211
Replacement - Apple 1102**

HUGHES00883604

PROCEEDINGS

**THIRTY-SEVENTH ANNUAL ALLERTON CONFERENCE
ON COMMUNICATION, CONTROL, AND COMPUTING**

CORNELL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY



3 1924 077 849 721

**Bruce Hajek
R.S. Sreenivas
Conference Co-Chairs**



**Conference held
September 22, September 23, and September 24, 1999
Allerton House
Monticello, Illinois**

**Sponsored by
The Coordinated Science Laboratory
and
The Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
of the
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
at
Urbana-Champaign**

HUGHES00883605

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWORD..... *i*

I-A: STOCHASTIC NETWORKS I
 Organizers: S.P. Meyn and R. Srikant
 (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)
 Chair: S.P. Meyn
 (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

REPRESENTATION AND EXPANSION OF (MAX, PLUS) LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS 1
 F. Baccelli, S. Gaubert, and D. Hong

MARTINGALE PROBLEMS AND LINEAR PROGRAMS FOR SINGULAR CONTROL 11
 T.G. Kurtz and R.H. Stockbridge

STATIONARY REFLECTED LÉVY PROCESSES IN STOCHASTIC NETWORKS 21
 T. Konstantopoulos and G. Last

ON THE IMPACT OF VARIABILITY ON THE BUFFER DYNAMICS IN IP NETWORKS 30
 Y. Joo, V. Ribeiro, A. Feldmann, A.C. Gilbert, and W. Willinger

QUEUEING NETWORKS WITH INTERACTING SERVICE RESOURCES 42
 M. Armony and N. Bambos

I-B: CODING THEORY I: DECODING AND CHANNELS
 Organizers: R. Koetter and R.E. Blahut
 (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)
 Chair: A. Vardy
 (University of California, San Diego)

A NEW UPPER BOUND ON THE RELIABILITY FUNCTION OF THE GAUSSIAN CHANNEL 52
 A. Ashikhmin, A. Barg, and S. Litsyn

RECURSIVE DECODING OF REED-MULLER CODES 61
 I. Dumer

LOSSLESS COMPRESSION IN CONSTRAINED CODING 70
 J.L. Fan, B. Marcus, and R. Roth

I-C: HYBRID/DISCRETE-EVENT-DYNAMIC SYSTEMS
 Chair: R.S. Sreenivas
 (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

MODELLING OF TIMED DISCRETE EVENT SYSTEMS 75
 R.S. Minhas and W.M. Wonham

INTERACTING DISCRETE EVENT SYSTEMS 85
 S. Abdelwahed and W.M. Wonham

STABILITY ANALYSIS FOR INTERCONNECTED HYBRID SYSTEMS 93
 S. Yamamoto and T. Ushio

DECENTRALIZED SUPERVISORY CONTROL OF CONCURRENT DISCRETE EVENT SYSTEMS WITH PARTIAL OBSERVATIONS	103
S. Jiang and R. Kumar	
A NEW PROBABILISTIC APPROACH TO CONGESTION CONTROL IN COMMUNICATION NETWORKS....	113
H. Mortazavian and J. Mirkovic	
A BIGRAPH MATCHING THEOREM.....	124
S. Ayyorgun and R.L. Cruz	
I-D: ACTIVE NETWORKS	
Organizer/Chair: Y. Shavitt (Bell Labs, Lucent Technologies)	
CHUNKS IN PLAN: LANGUAGE SUPPORT FOR PROGRAMS AS PACKETS.....	127
J.T. Moore, M. Hicks, and S. Nettles	
ON THE INTERFACE OF PROGRAMMABLE NETWORK ELEMENTS	137
G. Hjálmtýsson	
BOWMAN AND CANES: IMPLEMENTATION OF AN ACTIVE NETWORK	147
S. Merugu, S. Bhattacharjee, Y. Chae, M. Sanders, K. Calvert, and E. Zegura	
DESIGN OF A FLEXIBLE OPEN PLATFORM FOR HIGH PERFORMANCE ACTIVE NETWORKS	157
S. Choi, D. Decasper, J. Dehart, R. Keller, J. Lockwood, J. Turner, and T. Wolf	
IMPLEMENTING A CONCAST SERVICE	166
K. Calvert, J. Griffioen, B. Mullins, A. Sehgal, and S. Wen	
ACTIVE DISTRIBUTED MANAGEMENT FOR IP NETWORKS.....	176
R. Kawamura and R. Stadler	
I-F: SPACE-TIME METHODS FOR COMMUNICATION	
Chair: D. Sarwate (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)	
NEW APPROACH FOR SPACE-TIME TRANSMITTER/RECEIVER DESIGN	186
H. El Gamal and A.R. Hammons, Jr.	
INTERFERENCE SUPPRESSION FOR CDMA VIA A SPACE-TIME POWER MINIMIZATION BASED PREPROCESSOR WITH APPLICATIONS TO GPS.....	196
W.L. Myrick, M.D. Zoltowski, and J.S. Goldstein	
SOFT-WEIGHTED TRANSMIT DIVERSITY FOR WCDMA.....	204
A. Hottinen, R. Wichman, and D. Rajan	
MULTIUSER DETECTION TECHNIQUES FOR COMBINED ARRAY PROCESSING AND SPACE-TIME BLOCK CODING.....	214
B. Lu and X. Wang	
A TRANSMIT ADAPTIVE ANTENNA SCHEME WITH FEEDBACK FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS.....	216
Y. Le Pézennec, F. Boixadera, Y. Farmine, and N. Whinnett	

II-A: CODING THEORY II: ITERATIVE DECODING AND TURBO CODES

Organizers: R. Koetter and R.E. Blahut
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)
Chair: R. Koetter
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

CONCENTRATE 221
T. Richardson and R. Urbanke

EFFICIENT ENCODING OF LOW-DENSITY PARITY-CHECK CODES..... 231
T. Richardson and R. Urbanke

IRREGULAR TURBOCODES..... 241
B.J. Frey and D.J.C. MacKay

ON QUASI-CYCLIC REPEAT-ACCUMULATE CODES 249
R.M. Tanner

THE SERIAL CONCATENATION OF RATE-1 CODES THROUGH UNIFORM RANDOM INTERLEAVERS 260
H.D. Pfister and P.H. Siegel

II-B: STOCHASTIC NETWORKS II

Organizers: S.P. Meyn and R. Srikant
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)
Chair: R. Srikant
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

QUEUE LENGTH ASYMPTOTICS FOR MARKOVIAN SERVICE NETWORKS 270
A. Mandelbaum, W.A. Massey, and M.I. Reiman

EXACT ASYMPTOTICS FOR 1-LIMITED EXPONENTIAL POLLING MODELS 280
W. Chang, D.G. Down, and R.D. Foley

INVARIANT RATE FUNCTIONS FOR DISCRETE TIME QUEUES 288
A.J. Ganesh, N. O'Connell, and B. Prabhakar

LARGE DEVIATIONS AND OPTIMALITY OF THE LARGEST WEIGHTED DELAY
FIRST DISCIPLINE..... 297
A.L. Stolyar and K. Ramanan

ON ESTIMATING BUFFER OVERFLOW PROBABILITIES UNDER
MARKOV-MODULATED INPUTS..... 306
I.Ch. Paschalidis and S. Vassilaras

INDUCED BURSTINESS IN GENERALIZED PROCESSOR SHARING QUEUES WITH LONG-TAILED
TRAFFIC FLOWS..... 316
S. Borst, O. Boxma, and P. Jelenković

THE ASYMPTOTICS OF SELECTING THE SHORTEST OF TWO, IMPROVED..... 326
M. Mitzenmacher and B. Vöcking

II-C: LEARNING ALGORITHMS IN SIGNAL PROCESSING

Organizers: A. Singer and M. Feder
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and Tel Aviv University)

Chair: M. Feder
(Tel Aviv University)

UNIVERSAL FILTERING AND PREDICTION OF INDIVIDUAL SEQUENCES CORRUPTED BY NOISE 328
A. Baruch and N. Merhav

FAST RLS LAGUERRE ADAPTIVE FILTERING 338
R. Merched and A.H. Sayed

MACHINE LEARNING APPLICATIONS IN GRID COMPUTING 348
G. Cybenko, G. Jiang, and D. Bilar

REDUNDANCY OF THE LEMPEL-ZIV CODES 358
S.A. Savari

THE INFORMATION BOTTLENECK METHOD 368
N. Tishby, F.C. Pereira, and W. Bialek

THEORY MEETS PRACTICE: UNIVERSAL SOURCE CODING WITH THE BURROWS WHEELER
TRANSFORM 378
M. Effros

II-D: OPTICAL NETWORKS I

Organizers: M. Médard and E. Modiano
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
and Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Chair: E. Modiano
(Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

OPTICAL SPACE COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING 388
V.W.S. Chan

ON THE BENEFITS OF CONFIGURABILITY IN WDM NETWORKS 390
E. Modiano and A. Narula-Tam

NONBLOCKING WDM NETWORKS WITH FIXED-TUNED TRANSMITTERS AND TUNABLE
RECEIVERS 400
T. Lin and G. Sasaki

ON NEW ARCHITECTURES FOR WDM NETWORKS 402
A. Sen, T. Shah, and B.P. Sinha

ALL-OPTICAL LABEL SWAPPING WITH WAVELENGTH CONVERSION FOR WDM-IP NETWORKS
WITH SUBCARRIER MULTIPLEXED ADDRESSING 414
D.J. Blumenthal

II-E: COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS AND SERVICES

Chair: S. Lin
(University of Hawaii)

ON DISCRETE SUFFICIENT STATISTICS FOR ACQUISITION IN ASYNCHRONOUS BAND-LIMITED CDMA SYSTEMS.....	424
A. Mantravadi and V.V. Veeravalli	
FREQUENCY SYNCHRONIZATION ALGORITHM FOR FREQUENCY HOPPING SYSTEM BASED ON SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION.....	434
A. Pouttu	
A SOFTWARE-ORIENTED STREAM CIPHER FOR CELLULAR AND PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES.....	443
M. Zhang, A. Chan, and C. Carroll	
BINARY RANK CRITERIA FOR PSK MODULATED SPACE-TIME CODES.....	445
H. El Gamal and A.R. Hammons, Jr.	
TURBO CODES WITH ORTHOGONAL MODULATION IN DS-CDMA MOBILE RADIO SYSTEM WITH SHORT FRAME TRANSMISSION.....	451
G. Li and Y.L. Guan	
AN INTERACTIVE CONCATENATED TURBO CODING SYSTEM.....	461
Y. Liu, H. Tang, S. Lin, and M.P.C. Fossorier	
BI-DIRECTIONAL SOVA DECODING FOR TURBO-CODES.....	471
J. Chen, M.P.C. Fossorier, S. Lin, and C. Xu	

II-F: FADING CHANNELS AND POWER CONTROL

Chair: D. Sarwate
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

ANALYSIS OF AN UP/DOWN POWER CONTROL ALGORITHM IN CDMA REVERSE LINK UNDER FADING CONDITION.....	475
L. Song, N. Mandayam, and Z. Gajic	
A CLASS OF DISTRIBUTED ASYNCHRONOUS POWER CONTROL ALGORITHMS FOR CELLULAR WIRELESS SYSTEMS.....	485
J.D. Herdtner and E.K.P. Chong	
DISTRIBUTED CONNECTION ADMISSION CONTROL FOR POWER-CONTROLLED CELLULAR WIRELESS SYSTEMS.....	495
M. Xiao, N.B. Shroff, and E.K.P. Chong	
INTERFERENCE AVOIDANCE AND DISPERSIVE CHANNELS: A NEW LOOK AT MULTICARRIER MODULATION.....	505
D.C. Popescu and C. Rose	
PERFORMANCE OF OPTIMAL CODES ON GAUSSIAN AND RAYLEIGH FADING CHANNELS: A GEOMETRICAL APPROACH.....	515
S. Vialle and J. Boutros	
IMPROVED MARKOV MODELS FOR FADING CHANNELS: ANALYSIS AND DESIGN.....	525
D.L. Goeckel, M.J. Chu, and W.E. Stark	

III-A: CODING THEORY III: ALGEBRAIC AND COMBINATORIAL CODING THEORY

Organizers: R. Koetter and R.E. Blahut
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

Chair: N. Boston
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF EXTREMAL ADDITIVE CODES OVER GF(4) 535
P. Gaborit, W.C. Huffman, J.-L. Kim, and V. Pless

TWO FAST ALGORITHMS IN THE SUDAN DECODING PROCEDURE 545
G.-L. Feng

FROM WEIGHT ENUMERATORS TO ZETA FUNCTIONS 555
I. Duursma

ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES TO THE COMPUTATION OF ERROR VALUES FOR HERMITIAN
CODES 557
M.E. O'Sullivan

III-B: STOCHASTIC NETWORKS III

Organizers: S.P. Meyn and R. Srikant
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

Chair: S.P. Meyn
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

BUFFER OVERFLOW ASYMPTOTICS IN HOL SERVICE SYSTEMS WITH HETEROGENEOUS
LONG-TAILED INPUTS 567
C. Kotopoulos, N. Likhanov and R.R. Mazumdar

SCHEDULING AND CONTROL OF MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS — A FLUID APPROACH 577
G. Weiss

MULTICLASS NETWORKS IN HEAVY TRAFFIC: ASYMPTOTIC OPTIMALITY OF TRACKING
POLICIES 587
C. Maglaras

SCHEDULING OPEN QUEUEING NETWORKS WITH SUFFICIENTLY FLEXIBLE RESOURCES 597
S. Kumar

OPTIMALLY STABILIZING CONTROLS FOR A DETERMINISTIC NETWORK MODEL 607
P. Dupuis and R. Atar

III-C: ROBUST CONTROL AND DECISION MAKING

Chair: C. Beck
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

EVALUATING CUMULANT CONTROLLERS ON A BENCHMARK STRUCTURE PROTECTION
PROBLEM IN THE PRESENCE OF CLASSIC EARTHQUAKES 617
K.D. Pham, M.K. Sain, S.R. Liberty, and B.F. Spencer, Jr.

RISK-SENSITIVE DECISION-THEORETIC TROUBLESHOOTING 627
M.A. Shayman and E. Fernández-Gaucherand

H _∞ CONTROL FOR MIXED DISTURBANCE REJECTION	637
J.C. Luo and E.B. Lee	
SOLVING POLYNOMIAL SYSTEMS IN ROBUST STABILITY ANALYSIS.....	641
N.-P. Ke	
ROBUST, NEAR TIME-OPTIMAL CONTROL OF THIRD-ORDER UNCERTAIN SYSTEMS.....	651
K.H. You and E.B. Lee	
A NEW CONVEX RELAXATION FOR ROBUST H ₂ PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF UNCERTAIN LINEAR SYSTEMS	655
L. El Ghaoui and E. Feron	
A NEW RESULT ON THE BELLMAN EQUATION FOR EXIT TIME CONTROL PROBLEMS WITH CRITICAL GROWTH DYNAMICS.....	657
M. Malisoff	

III-D: OPTICAL NETWORKS II

Organizers: M. Médard and E. Modiano
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and
Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
Chair: M. Médard
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

FAULT PROTECTION IN WDM MESH NETWORKS.....	659
G. Ellinas	
A COMPARISON OF ALLOCATION POLICIES IN WAVELENGTH ROUTING NETWORKS.....	669
Y. Zhu, G.N. Rouskas, and H.G. Perros	
OPTICAL BUFFERS FOR MULTI-TERABIT IP ROUTERS	679
D.K. Hunter, I. Andonovic, and M.C. Chia	
THE λ -SCHEDULER: A MULTIWAVELENGTH SCHEDULING SWITCH.....	689
J.P. Lang, E.A. Varvarigos, and D.J. Blumenthal	
ON DIFFERENT ROUTING STRATEGIES IN TRANSPARENT ALL-OPTICAL NETWORKS	699
O.K. Tonguz	

III-E: COMMUNICATION NETWORKS

Chair: R. Cruz
(University of California, San Diego)

TRANSMISSION POLICIES FOR TIME VARYING CHANNELS WITH AVERAGE DELAY CONSTRAINTS	709
B.E. Collins and R.L. Cruz	
FAIR ALLOCATION OF UTILITIES IN MULTIRATE MULTICAST NETWORKS.....	718
S. Sarkar and L. Tassiulas	
ON THE USE OF MULTIPLE WORKING POINTS IN MULTICHANNEL ALOHA WITH DEADLINES.....	728
D. Baron and Y. Birk	

SPECIFICATION AND ANALYSIS OF A RELIABLE BROADCASTING PROTOCOL IN MAUDE	738
G. Denker, J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, J. Meseguer, P.C. Ölveczky, J. Raju, B. Smith, and C.L. Talcott	
MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF ACTIVE MESSAGES IN VOLATILE NETWORKS	748
C. Okino and G. Cybenko	
IMPLEMENTATION OF AN ACTIVE CONGESTION CONTROL SCHEME IN NARROWBAND ATM NETWORKS	758
S. Sheth, J. Evans, A. Kulkarni, and G. Minden	
CPU SCHEDULING FOR ACTIVE PROCESSING USING FEEDBACK DEFICIT ROUND ROBIN	768
T. Wolf and D. Decasper	
 III-F: WIRELESS COMMUNICATION I: DETECTION AND ESTIMATION	
Organizers: V.V. Veeravalli and U. Madhow (Cornell University and University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)	
Chair: V.V. Veeravalli (Cornell University)	
PRECODING FOR SCATTERING FUNCTION ESTIMATION OF MOBILE CHANNELS USING OUTPUT CORRELATIONS ONLY	770
C. Tepedelenlioğlu and G.B. Giannakis	
TWO-STAGE HYBRID ACQUISITION OF MULTICARRIER DIRECT-SEQUENCE SPREAD-SPECTRUM SIGNALS	780
F.J. Block and C.W. Baum	
TRAINING SEQUENCE-BASED MULTIUSER CHANNEL ESTIMATION FOR BLOCK-SYNCHRONOUS CDMA	790
G. Caire and U. Mitra	
SUPPRESSION OF HIGH-DENSITY, DYNAMIC NARROWBAND INTERFERENCE IN DS/CDMA SPREAD-SPECTRUM SYSTEM	800
C. Carlemalm, H.V. Poor, and A. Logothetis	
LARGE SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OF REDUCED-RANK LINEAR FILTERS	810
M.L. Honig and W. Xiao	
NONLINEAR MULTIUSER RECEIVERS WITH DISTRIBUTED POWER CONTROL IN CELLULAR RADIO NETWORKS	820
M.K. Varanasi	

IV-A: WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS II: SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS IN PHYSICAL LAYER DESIGN

Organizers: V.V. Veeravalli and U. Madhow
(Cornell University and University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

Chair: U. Madhow
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

THE CODING-SPREADING TRADEOFF IN CDMA SYSTEMS.....	831
V.V. Veeravalli	
SPECTRAL EFFICIENCY OF RANDOMLY SPREAD DS-SSMA IN A MULTI-CELL MODEL.....	841
B.M. Zaidel, S. Shamai, and S. Verdú	
CDMA DESIGN THROUGH ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS: FADING CHANNELS.....	851
E. Biglieri, G. Caire, G. Taricco, and E. Viterbo	
PACKING SPHERES IN THE GRASSMANN MANIFOLD: A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO THE NON-COHERENT MULTI-ANTENNA CHANNEL.....	861
L. Zheng and D.N.C. Tse	
BLIND ADAPTIVE MULTIUSER DETECTION FOR DS/SSMA COMMUNICATIONS WITH GENERALIZED RANDOM SPREADING IN A FREQUENCY-SELECTIVE FADING CHANNEL.....	871
J.H. Cho and J.S. Lehnert	
TRAFFIC AIDED MULTIUSER DETECTION FOR PACKET SWITCHING RANDOM ACCESS/CDMA NETWORKS.....	881
B. Chen and L. Tong	

IV-B: CODING THEORY IV

Chair: R.E. Blahut
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

OPTICAL CHANNELS WITH DEAD TIME.....	891
A. Khandekar and R. McEliece	
AWGN CODING THEOREMS FOR SERIAL TURBO CODES.....	893
H. Jin and R.J. McEliece	
LINEAR CODES OVER $Z/(2^k)$ OF CONSTANT EUCLIDEAN WEIGHT.....	895
J.A. Wood	
SPACE-TIME TURBO CODES.....	897
Y. Liu and M.P. Fitz	
TURBO DECODING OF CONCATENATED SPACE-TIME CODES.....	899
K.R. Narayanan	
SOFT OUTPUT AND ITERATIVE STACK DECODING.....	901
R. Sivasankaran and S.W. McLaughlin	
ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRIC CODES AND AN IMPROVEMENTS ON THE GILBERT-VARSHAMOV BOUND.....	903
H. Maharaj	

IV-C: STOCHASTIC SYSTEMS AND CONTROL

Chair: G. Dullerud
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

SINGLE-SAMPLE-PATH-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF MARKOV DECISION PROCESS.....	905
Z. Ren and B.H. Krogh	
TRACKING CAPABILITY ANALYSIS OF THE LMS ALGORITHM FOR FIR SYSTEMS WITH AR COEFFICIENTS.....	915
Y. Wei, S.B. Gelfand, and J.V. Krogmeier	
ADAPTIVE OPTIMAL PREDICTION FOR MIMO STOCHASTIC SYSTEMS USING CANONICAL FORMS	925
B. Shahrava and J.D. Aplevich	
SDRE ESTIMATOR PERFORMANCE IN A HARMONIC DISTORTION PROBLEM.....	935
E.E. Yaz, Y. Gao, and K.J. Olejniczak	
STOCHASTIC DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH TIME DELAYS.....	937
A.S.C. Sinha, S.E. Lyshevski, B.R. Pidaparti, and E. Kocaoglan	
CONTROL UNDER COMMUNICATION CONSTRAINTS.....	940
S. Tatikonda and S. Mitter	

IV-D: SPACE-TIME PROCESSING I

Organizer/Chair: A. Nehorai
(University of Illinois at Chicago)

SPACE-TIME FADING CHANNEL ESTIMATION IN UNKNOWN SPATIALLY CORRELATED NOISE.....	948
A. Dogandžić and A. Nehorai	
BLAST TRAINING: ESTIMATING CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS FOR HIGH CAPACITY SPACE-TIME WIRELESS.....	958
T.L. Marzetta	
ANALYSIS OF THE PARALLEL INTERFERENCE CANCELER FOR DS/CDMA SIGNALS	967
R. Chandrasekaran and J.J. Shynk	
BEARING ESTIMATION IN A RICEAN CHANNEL.....	977
G. Fuks, J. Goldberg, and H. Messer	
OPTIMAL DOWNLINK BEAMFORMING USING SEMIDEFINITE OPTIMIZATION.....	987
M. Bengtsson and B. Ottersten	
AN OVERVIEW OF A SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT TO STUDY THE IMPACT OF NON-IDEAL HARDWARE ON ARRAY PROCESSING.....	997
J. Yin, C.M.S. See, B.P. Ng, Y.K. Sin, and Y.L. Lu	
SIGNAL REPRESENTATIONS FOR TRANSMIT-RECEIVE ANTENNA ARRAYS	1006
J. Zhang, K. Tantinarawat, and A.M. Sayeed	
BLOCK SPACE-TIME ANTENNA PRECODING/DECODING FOR GENERALIZED MULTICARRIER COMMUNICATIONS IN UNKNOWN MULTIPATH.....	1016
Z. Liu, A. Scaglione, S. Barbarossa, and G.B. Giannakis	

LEAST-SQUARES MULTI-USER FREQUENCY-DOMAIN CHANNEL ESTIMATION FOR BROADBAND WIRELESS COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS	1026
T.A. Thomas, F.W. Vook, and K.L. Baum	

IV-E: MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS

Organizer/Chair: S. Reveliotis
(Georgia Institute of Technology)

A MARKOV DECISION PROCESS MODELING FOR CONTROL SWITCHING OF DISCRETE EVENT SYSTEMS	1036
H. Darabi and M.A. Jafari	

SUPERVISORY CONTROL OF CONTRADICTIONS IN HIERARCHICAL TASK CONTROLLERS	1042
X. Guan and L.E. Holloway	

IV-F: STOCHASTIC NETWORKS IV

Organizers: S.P. Meyn and R. Srikant
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

Chair: R. Srikant
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

MULTIUSER RECEIVERS, RANDOM MATRICES AND FREE PROBABILITY	1055
D.N.C. Tse	

STABILITY PROPERTIES OF INCREMENTAL REDUNDANCY IN CDMA PACKET DATA NETWORKS	1065
R. Vijayakumar and K.M. Wasserman	

PRICING PRIORITY CLASSES IN A DIFFERENTIATED SERVICES NETWORK	1075
P. Marbach	

COMPARING TANDEM QUEUEING SYSTEMS AND THEIR FLUID LIMITS	1085
E. Altman, G. Koole, and T. Jiménez	

WAITING TIME ASYMPTOTICS FOR TIME VARYING MULTISERVER QUEUES WITH ABANDONMENT AND RETRIALS	1095
A. Mandelbaum, W.A. Massey, M.I. Reiman, and A.L. Stolyar	

LARGE DEVIATIONS FOR SMALL BUFFERS: AN INSENSITIVITY RESULT	1105
M. Mandjes and J.H. Kim	

OVERFLOW AND LOSSES IN A NETWORK QUEUE WITH SELF-SIMILAR INPUT	1113
B. Tsybakov and N.D. Georganas	

V-A: STOCHASTIC NETWORKS V

Organizers: S.P. Meyn and R. Srikant
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

Chair: S.P. Meyn
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

CHOKE A STATELESS MECHANISM FOR PROVIDING QUALITY OF SERVICE IN THE INTERNET	1122
R. Pan and B. Prabhakar	

OPTIMAL ROUTING TO M PARALLEL QUEUES WITH NO BUFFERS	1132
E. Altman, S. Bhulai, B. Gaujal, and A. Hordijk	
THE EFFECT OF SCALE ON INTERNET QUALITY	1142
M. Siler and J. Walrand	
STABILITY OF MULTILANE INPUT-BUFFERED SWITCHES WITH MARKOV-MODULATED ARRIVAL PROCESSES.....	1152
P. Ho, D. Tse, and J. Walrand	

V-B: WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS III: NETWORKING ISSUES

Organizers: V.V. Veeravalli and U. Madhow
(Cornell University and University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)
Chair: V.V. Veeravalli
(University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

ADAPTIVE TRANSMISSION FOR SPREAD-SPECTRUM COMMUNICATIONS OVER MULTIPATH CHANNELS.....	1162
M.B. Pursley and C.S. Wilkins	
ADAPTIVE REDUNDANCY RETRANSMISSION PROTOCOLS FOR WIRELESS NETWORKS.....	1171
T. Ji and W.E. Stark	
RESOURCE POOLING AND EFFECTIVE BANDWIDTHS FOR CDMA ANTENNA ARRAYS	1181
S.V. Hanly and D.N.C. Tse	
ROUTING FOR MAXIMUM SYSTEM LIFETIME IN WIRELESS AD-HOC NETWORKS.....	1191
J.-H. Chang and L. Tassiulas	
A SELF ORGANIZING WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK.....	1201
K. Sohrabi, J. Gao, V. Ailawadhi, and G. Pottie	

V-C: MULTIUSER DETECTION

Chair: M.K. Varanasi
(University of Colorado at Boulder)

BLIND ADAPTIVE NONCOHERENT MULTIUSER DETECTION FOR NONLINEAR MODULATION	1211
D. Das and M.K. Varanasi	
LOW COMPLEXITY NON-COHERENT NEAR-OPTIMAL MULTIUSER DETECTION FOR OVERSATURATED MA COMMUNICATION.....	1221
R.E. Learned, A.S. Willsky, and D.M. Boroson	
ADAPTIVE MULTIUSER DECISION FEEDBACK FOR ASYNCHRONOUS CELLULAR DS-CDMA	1236
R. Ratasuk, G. Woodward, and M.L. Honig	
MULTIUSER EQUALIZATION FOR RANDOM SPREADING: LIMITS OF DECORRELATION WITH AND WITHOUT DECISION-FEEDBACK.....	1246
R.R. Müller	

V-D: SPACE-TIME PROCESSING II

Organizer/Chair: A. Nehorai
(University of Illinois at Chicago)

SCHEDULING OF SWITCHED MULTIBEAM ANTENNAS IN A MULTIPLE ACCESS ENVIRONMENT..... 1256
A. Logothetis and H.V. Poor

MULTIPLE ANTENNA DIFFERENTIAL MODULATION..... 1266
B.M. Hochwald and W. Sweldens

SPACE-TIME ZERO FORCING EQUALIZATION FOR 3G CDMA FORWARD LINK TO RESTORE
ORTHOGONALITY OF CHANNEL CODES 1274
M.D. Zoltowski and T.P. Krauss

A NOVEL SPACE-TIME SPREADING SCHEME FOR WIRELESS CDMA SYSTEMS 1284
B.M. Hochwald, T.L. Marzetta, and C.B. Papadias

V-E: CODING FOR MAGNETIC CHANNELS

Organizer/Chairs: E. Kurtas
(Quantum Corporation)

2D EQUALIZATION FOR PAGE-ORIENTED DATA STORAGE SYSTEMS..... 1294
B.V.K.V. Kumar, V. Vadde, and M. Keskinöz

TOWARDS SOFT OUTPUT APP DECODING FOR NONSYSTEMATIC NONLINEAR BLOCK CODES 1304
K.D. Anim-Appiah and S.W. McLaughlin

LOW DENSITY PARITY CHECK CODES FOR MAGNETIC RECORDING..... 1314
J.L. Fan, A. Friedmann, E. Kurtas, and S. McLaughlin

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS FOR CONCATENATING CONVOLUTIONAL CODES
WITH PARTIAL RESPONSE CHANNELS 1324
W.E. Ryan

TURBO CODES FOR TWO-TRACK MAGNETIC RECORDING SYSTEMS 1334
E. Kurtas and T.M. Duman

LIST OF AUTHORS 1344

Irregular Turbocodes

Brendan J. Frey

Computer Science, University of Waterloo
Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana
<http://www.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~frey>

David J. C. MacKay

Department of Physics, Cavendish Laboratories
Cambridge University
<http://wol.ra.phy.cam.ac.uk/mackay>

Abstract

Recently, several groups have increased the coding gain of iteratively decoded Gallager codes (low density parity check codes) by varying the number of parity check equations in which each codeword bit participates. In regular turbocodes, each “systematic bit” participates in exactly 2 trellis sections. We construct irregular turbocodes with systematic bits that participate in varying numbers of trellis sections. These codes can be decoded by the iterative application of the sum-product algorithm (a low-complexity, more general form of the turbodecoding algorithm). By making the original rate 1/2 turbocode of Berrou *et al.* slightly irregular, we obtain a coding gain of 0.15 dB at a block length of $N = 131,072$, bringing the irregular turbocode within 0.3 dB of capacity. Just like regular turbocodes, irregular turbocodes are linear-time encodable.

1 Introduction

Recent work on irregular Gallager codes (low density parity check codes) has shown that by making the codeword bits participate in varying numbers of parity check equations, significant coding gains can be achieved [1–3]. Although Gallager codes have been shown to perform better than turbocodes at BERs below 10^{-5} [4]¹, until recently Gallager codes performed over 0.5 dB worse than turbocodes for BERs greater than 10^{-5} . However, in [3], Richardson *et al.* found irregular Gallager codes that perform 0.16 dB *better* than the original turbocode at BERs greater than 10^{-5} [5] for a block length of $N \approx 131,072$.

¹Gallager codes do not exhibit decoding errors, only decoding failures, at long block lengths with $N > 5,000$.

In this paper, we show that by tweaking a turbocode so that it is irregular, we obtain a coding gain of 0.15 dB for a block length of $N = 131,072$. For example, an $N = 131,072$ irregular turbocode achieves $E_b/N_0 = 0.48$ dB at $\text{BER} = 10^{-4}$, a performance similar to the best irregular Gallager code published to date [3]. By further optimizing the degree profile, the permuter and the trellis polynomials, we expect to find even better irregular turbocodes. Like their regular cousins, irregular turbocodes exhibit a BER flattening due to low-weight codewords.

2 Irregular turbocodes

In Fig. 1, we show how to view a turbocode so that it can be made irregular. The first picture shows the set of systematic bits (middle row of discs) being fed directly into one convolutional code (the chain at the top) and being permuted before being fed into another convolutional code (the chain at the bottom). For a rate 1/2 turbocode, each constituent convolutional code should be rate 2/3 (which may, for example, be obtained by puncturing a lower-rate convolutional code).

Since the order of the systematic bits is irrelevant, we may also introduce a permuter before the upper convolutional code, as shown in the second picture. In the third picture, we have simply drawn the two permuters and convolutional codes side by side.

For long turbocodes, the values of the initial state and the final state of the convolutional chains do not significantly influence performance (*e.g.*, see [6]). So, as shown in the fourth picture, we can view a turbocode as a code that copies the systematic bits, permutes both sets of these bits, and then feeds them into a convolutional code. We refer to this turbocode as “regular”, since each systematic bit is copied exactly once.

The final picture illustrates one way the above turbocode can be made irregular. Some of the systematic bits are “tied” together, in effect causing some systematic bits to be replicated more than once. Notice that to keep the rate of the overall code fixed at 1/2, some extra parity bits must be punctured.

More generally, an *irregular turbocode* has the form shown in Fig. 2, which is a type of “trellis-constrained code” as described in [7]. We specify a *degree profile*, $f_d \in [0, 1]$, $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, D\}$. f_d is the fraction of codeword bits that have degree d and D is the maximum degree. Each codeword bit with degree d is repeated d times before being fed into the permuter. Several classes of permuter lead to linear-time encodable codes. In particular, if the bits in the convolutional code are partitioned into “systematic bits” and “parity bits”, then by connecting each parity bit to a degree 1 codeword bit, we can encode in linear time.

The average codeword bit degree is

$$\bar{d} = \sum_{d=1}^D d \cdot f_d \quad (1)$$

The overall rate R of an irregular turbocode is related to the rate R' of the convolutional code and the average degree \bar{d} by

$$\bar{d}(1 - R') = 1 - R. \quad (2)$$

So, if the average degree is increased, the rate of the convolutional code must also be increased to keep the overall rate constant. This can be done by puncturing the convolutional code or by designing a new, higher rate convolutional code.




Figure 1: The first 4 pictures show that a turboencoder can be viewed as a code that copies the systematic bits, permutes both sets of these bits and then feeds them into a convolutional code. The 5th picture shows how a turboencoder can be made irregular by “tying” some of the systematic bits together, *i.e.*, by having some systematic bits replicated more than once. To keep the rate fixed, some extra parity bits must be punctured. To keep the block length fixed, we must start with a longer turboencoder.

3 Decoding irregular turboencoders

Fig. 2 can be interpreted as the graphical model [6, 8–10] for the irregular turboencoder. Decoding consists of the iterative application of the sum-product algorithm (a low-complexity, more general form of turboencoding) in this graph.




Figure 2: A general *irregular turboencoder*. For $d = 1, \dots, D$, fraction f_d of the codeword bits are repeated d times, permuted and connected to a convolutional code.

After receiving the channel output, the decoder computes the channel output log-likelihood ratios for the N codeword bits,

$$L_1^0, L_2^0, \dots, L_N^0, \quad (3)$$

and then repeats each log-likelihood ratio appropriately. If codeword bit i has degree d , we set

$$L_{i,1} \leftarrow L_i^0, L_{i,2} \leftarrow L_i^0, \dots, L_{i,d} \leftarrow L_i^0. \quad (4)$$

Next, the log-likelihood ratios are permuted and fed into the BCJR algorithm [11] for the convolutional code. The BCJR algorithm assumes the inputs are *a priori* log-probability ratios and uses the forward-backward algorithm [12] to compute a set of *a posteriori* log-probability ratios. If codeword bit i has degree d , the algorithm produces d *a posteriori* log-probability ratios,

$$L'_{i,1}, L'_{i,2}, \dots, L'_{i,d}. \quad (5)$$

For a regular turboencoder, there are just two *a posteriori* log-probability ratios, $L'_{i,1}$ and $L'_{i,2}$, for each degree 2 bit and they correspond to the “extrinsic information” produced by each constituent convolutional code.

The current estimate of the log-probability ratio for bit i given the channel output is

$$\hat{L}_i \leftarrow L_i^0 + \sum_{k=1}^d (L'_{i,k} - L_{i,k}). \quad (6)$$

To compute the inputs to the BCJR algorithm needed for the next iteration, we subtract off the corresponding outputs from the BCJR algorithm produced by the previous iteration:

$$L_{i,k} \leftarrow \hat{L}_i - L'_{i,k}. \quad (7)$$

So, each iteration consists of computing the inputs to the BCJR algorithm, permuting the inputs, applying the BCJR algorithm, permuting the outputs of the BCJR algorithm, and taking the repetitions into account to combine the outputs to form estimates of the log-probability ratios of the codeword bits given the channel output.

In our simulations, after each iteration, we check to see if the current decision gives a codeword. If it does, the iterations terminate and otherwise, the decoder iterates further until some maximum number of iterations is reached and a decoding failure is declared.




Figure 3: (a) shows the effect of changing the fraction of elite bits on the BER, while keeping the degree of the elite bits fixed at 10. (b) shows the effect of changing the degree of the elite bits on the BER, while keeping the fraction of elite bits fixed at 0.05. For each fraction and degree, the performance of 4 randomly drawn permuters is shown.

4 Selecting the profile

Finding a good profile is not trivial, since the best profile will depend on the parameters of the convolutional code, the permuter and the distortion measure (bit error rate, block error rate, decoding failure rate, high-weight decoding failure rate, *etc.*)

The results we report in this paper were obtained by making small changes to a block length $N = 10,000$ version of the original rate $R = 1/2$ turbocode proposed by Berrou *et al.*. In this turbocode, $f_1 = f_2 = 1/2$ (see Fig. 2) and the convolutional code polynomials are 37 and 21 (octal). The taps associated with polynomial 37 are connected to the degree 2 codeword bits, 1/2 of the taps associated with polynomial 21 are connected to the degree 1 bits, and the remaining 1/2 of the taps associated with polynomial 21 are punctured, giving the required convolutional code rate of $R' = 2/3$.

To simplify our search, we considered profiles where besides degrees 1 and 2, only one other degree, e for “elite”, had a nonzero fraction. So, for a code with overall rate R and fraction f_e of degree e elite bits, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1 - R = 1/2, \\ f_2 &= 1 - f_1 - f_e = 1/2 - f_e. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In this restricted class of codes, irregularity is governed by two parameters, e and f_e .

From (2) it is clear that when the average degree is increased, the rate of the convolutional code must also be increased to keep the overall rate at 1/2. We increased the rate of the punctured convolutional code by further puncturing the taps associated with polynomial 21 to obtain a convolutional code with rate

$$R' = 1 - \frac{1 - R}{d} = 1 - \frac{1/2}{1/2 + 2(1/2 - f_e) + ef_e}. \quad (9)$$

So, in the codes we explored, the level of puncturing was quite high and some extra low-weight codewords were introduced.

To begin with, we made an irregular turbocode with $e = 10$ (chosen using intuition) and varied f_e from 0.02 to 0.08 while measuring the BER at $E_b/N_0 = 0.6$ dB. In each




Figure 4: Performance of the original block length $N = 131,072$ turbocode (dashed line) and one of its irregular cousins (solid line). These results are for irregular turbocodes obtained by tweaking the original turbocode – we are currently searching for optimal degree profiles, permuters and trellis polynomials.

experiment, we simulated enough blocks to obtain a relatively small confidence interval. The results are shown in Fig. 3a, which indicates that for degree 10 elite bits, the best fraction is roughly 0.05. Next, we kept the fraction of elite bits fixed at $f_e = 0.05$ and we varied the degree of the elite bits. The results are shown in Fig. 3b, which indicates that for a fraction of 0.05, the best degree is roughly 10.

These results show that for $e = 10$, $f_e = 0.05$ is a good fraction and that for $f_e = 0.05$, $e = 10$ is a good degree. However, values of e and f_e that give good profiles are probably correlated, so we are currently extending our search.

5 Results

Fig. 4 shows the simulated BER- E_b/N_0 curves for the original block length $N = 131,072$ regular turbocode (dashed line) and its irregular cousin (solid line), using profile $e = 10$, $f_e = 0.05$.

The irregular turbocode clearly performs better than the regular turbocode for BER $> 10^{-4}$. At BER = 10^{-4} , the $N = 131,072$ irregular turbocode is 0.3 dB from capacity, a 0.15 dB improvement over the regular turbocode.

For high E_b/N_0 , most of the errors for the irregular turbocode were due to low-weight codewords. According to preliminary results, the distribution of error weights appears to indicate that the flattening effect for the particular $N = 131,072$ irregular turbocode we constructed occurs at a *higher* BER than it does for the regular turbocode. However, the flattening effect is highly sensitive to the technique used to construct the permuter (we drew it at random) and the design of the convolutional code (we just further punctured the convolutional code used in the original turbocode). We are currently experimenting with techniques for lowering the level of the flattening effect (*e.g.*, see [13]).

6 Conclusions

We have shown that by making the original, regular turbocode irregular, a coding gain of 0.15 dB is obtained, bringing the irregular turbocode within 0.3 dB of capacity at a BER of 10^{-4} . This irregular turbocode performs in the same regime as the best known irregular Gallager code.

We emphasize that we obtained these results by tweaking the regular turbocode originally introduced by Berrou *et al.* We believe we will be able to improve these performance curves significantly, both by exploring the polynomials used in the convolutional code and by adjusting the degree profile and the permuter structure. (One way to speed up the search is to extend the method of “density evolution” [3] to models with state.) In particular, we are investigating ways to select the permuter and the polynomials to eliminate low-weight codewords, thus reducing the flattening effect [13–15]. We are also studying ways of constraining the degree 1 “parity” bits (*i.e.*, increasing their degree) to eliminate low-weight codewords.

References

- [1] M. G. Luby, M. Mitzenmacher, M. A. Shokrollahi, and D. A. Spielman, “Improved low-density parity-check codes using irregular graphs and belief propagation,” in *Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 1998.
- [2] D. J. C. MacKay, S. T. Wilson, and M. C. Davey, “Comparison of constructions of irregular Gallager codes,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 47, October 1999.
- [3] T. Richardson, A. Shokrollahi, and R. Urbanke, “Design of provably good low density parity check codes.” Submitted to *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, July 1999.
- [4] D. J. C. MacKay, “Good error-correcting codes based on very sparse matrices,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 45, pp. 399–431, March 1999.
- [5] C. Berrou and A. Glavieux, “Near optimum error correcting coding and decoding: Turbo-codes,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 44, pp. 1261–1271, October 1996.
- [6] B. J. Frey, *Graphical Models for Machine Learning and Digital Communication*. Cambridge MA.: MIT Press, 1998. See <http://www.cs.utoronto.ca/~frey>.
- [7] B. J. Frey and D. J. C. MacKay, “Trellis-constrained codes,” in *Proceedings of the 35th Allerton Conference on Communication, Control and Computing 1997*, 1998. Available at <http://www.cs.utoronto.ca/~frey>.
- [8] N. Wiberg, H.-A. Loeliger, and R. Kötter, “Codes and iterative decoding on general graphs,” *European Transactions on Telecommunications*, vol. 6, pp. 513–525, September/October 1995.
- [9] F. R. Kschischang and B. J. Frey, “Iterative decoding of compound codes by probability propagation in graphical models,” *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 16, pp. 219–230, February 1998.

- [10] R. J. McEliece, D. J. C. MacKay, and J. F. Cheng, "Turbo-decoding as an instance of Pearl's 'belief propagation' algorithm," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 16, February 1998.
- [11] L. R. Bahl, J. Cocke, F. Jelinek, and J. Raviv, "Optimal decoding of linear codes for minimizing symbol error rate," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 20, pp. 284-287, March 1974.
- [12] L. E. Baum and T. Petrie, "Statistical inference for probabilistic functions of finite state markov chains," *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 37, pp. 1559-1563, 1966.
- [13] M. Öberg and P. H. Siegel, "Application of distance spectrum analysis to turbocode performance improvement," in *Proceedings of the 35th Allerton Conference on Communication, Control and Computing 1997*, 1998.
- [14] D. Divsalar and F. Pollara, "Turbo-codes for PCS applications," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Communications*, pp. 54-59, 1995.
- [15] D. Divsalar and R. J. McEliece, "Effective free distance of turbocodes," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 32, pp. 445-446, February 1996.

