SUMMARY OF OUT-OF-SCOPE QUESTIONS FROM DR. MITZENMACHER'S DEPOSITION¹

Citations	Subject Matter
25:12-27:24;	Questions regarding whether it is theoretically possible to derive
32:12-35:24:	whether a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSA") could
39:24-42:9;	have done so.
44:24-45:10;	
51:10-52:15;	
55:16-63:6	
45:11-51:9	Questions regarding how one might implement an encoder for any given parity-check matrix, and Dr. Mitzenmacher's experience implementing encoders in software or hardware.
64:22-73:14;	Questions regarding Exhibit 1044, ² a new exhibit created by
74:19-78:18;	Petitioner's counsel and not disclosed until Dr. Mitzenmacher's
80:13-81:21;	deposition, purportedly depicting a Tanner graph of a code.
82:3-86:7;	Exhibit 1044 is labeled "Tanner graph for a code described by
86:18-8/:14;	Fig. 2 of the 710 Patent. Questions include comparisons of
00.24-09.10; 111.14 112.12	Exhibit 1044 with Figure 5 of the 710 Patent, and Whether Exhibit 1044 is within the scope of Claim 1 of the 710 Patent
111.14-112.12	Exhibit 1044 is within the scope of Claim 1 of the 710 Patent.

¹ Exhibit 1062 in IPR2017-00210, which corresponds with Exhibit 1262 in

IPR2017-00219, Exhibit 1045 in IPR2017-00297) and Exhibit 2038 in IPR2017-

00700, -00701, and -00728.

² All exhibit numbers in the charts refer to the exhibit numbers assigned in

IPR2017-00210. Exhibit 1044 was also submitted as Exhibit 1244 in IPR2017-

(continued...)

RM

Δ

131:12-135:5	Questions regarding whether Figure 3 of the '710 patent is an embodiment of Claim 1.
145:6-146:20; 147:2-155:16; 163:12-168:8; 401:14-404:2;	Questions regarding newly proposed modifications to Divsalar and whether such modifications would meet the limitations of Claim 1 of the '710 patent. Specifically, the questioning in the cited sections relate to new modifications to Divsalar: (1) where a regular repeat-Q is modified to repeat some bits Q times and other bits Q+1 times, (2) where a regular repeat-Q is modified to repeat some bits Q times and other bits Q+10 times, (3) where a regular repeat-3 is modified to repeat some bits 3 times and other bits 4 times.
156:12-162:8; 163:12-168:8	Questions regarding modifications to a Tanner graph found in Khandekar's thesis and whether they meet the limitations of Claim 1 of the '710 patent. The Board has rejected Khandekar's thesis as prior art.
181:3-192:10;	Questions regarding how a POSA would implement Divsalar's accumulator in hardware, as well as questions regarding the simplicity of Exhibit 1045, an exhibit created by Apple's counsel and first shown at Dr. Divsalar's deposition. Exhibit 1045 purports to be a circuit diagram for an accumulator consisting of a single XOR gate and a single bit flip-flop. ³

(...continued from previous page)

00219 and Exhibit 1027 in IPR2017-00297.

³ Also submitted as Exhibit 1245 in IPR2017-00219 and Exhibit 1028 in

IPR2017-00297.

Δ

202:10-207:13	Questions regarding whether a POSA would find it easier to modify Divsalar's repeater to be irregular compared to modifying its accumulator.
228:21-233:22	Questions regarding whether a POSA would prefer Luby98's decoder to decode information bits or parity bits correctly.
259:5-265:13; 267:8-273:2; 276:21-278:2; 278:9-282:21; 283:14-21; 284:24 (the phrase "and 193Y")	Questions regarding Figures 5 and 6 from MacKay, content not relied upon in the petition materials.
404:16-407:2	Questions regarding the rate of hypothetically modified code.
413:24-418:13	Questions regarding Exhibit 1046, a new exhibit created by Petitioner's counsel and not disclosed until Dr. Mitzenmacher's deposition, labeled "Tanner graph for Divsalar with all information bits having degree 3." ⁴

⁴ Also submitted as Exhibit 1246 in IPR2017-00219 and Exhibit 1029 in

IPR2017-00297.

418:14-424:14	Questions regarding Exhibit 1047, a new exhibit created by Petitioner's counsel and not disclosed until Dr. Mitzenmacher's deposition, labeled "Tanner graph for Luby98's Code 14." ⁵
424:15-431:24	Questions regarding Exhibit 1048, a new exhibit created by Apple's counsel and not disclosed until Dr. Mitzenmacher's deposition, labeled "Tanner graph for Ping (with t=4, k=30,000, and n=90,000)." ⁶
431:25-438:24	Questions regarding Exhibit 1049, a new exhibit created by Petitioner's counsel and not disclosed until Dr. Mitzenmacher's deposition, labeled "MacKay's Profile 93y" and purportedly a Tanner graph representation of said profile. ⁷
445:11-446:12	Questions regarding the 802.11n standard.

⁵ Also submitted as Exhibit 1247 in IPR2017-00219 and Exhibit 1030 in IPR2017-00297.

⁶ Also submitted as Exhibit 1248 in IPR2017-00219 and Exhibit 1031 in IPR2017-00297.

⁷ Also submitted as Exhibit 1249 in IPR2017-00219 and Exhibit 1032 in IPR2017-00297.

SUMMARY OF OUT-OF-SCOPE QUESTIONS FROM DR. DIVSALAR'S DEPOSITION⁸

Citations	Subject Matter
23:7-25:24	Questions regarding CCSDS standard whether it includes irregular LDPC codes.
29:1-36:18	Questions regarding the Allerton Conference, including, general practices for publication of the papers presented at the conference.
48:20-49:19, 50:13- 54-20	Questions regarding whether POSA would understand Divsalar to disclose claimed partitioning.
56:12-58:2, 58:22- 68:9, 69:8-18	Questions regarding modification to Divsalar RA code not presented in petition; specifically, modifying it to repeat some bits five times and some bits three times. This includes questions regarding such a modification could be accomplished by a POSA (see, e.g., 61:1-15, 63:18-64:5, 64:14-65:1, 68:2-68:9) and whether it is simple to implement (see, e.g., 61:23-63:12, 657-67:1).
77:23-78:23, 82:5- 93:16	Questions regarding Tanner graph implementation of RA code, including questions regarding new "Tanner graph" exhibit 1057. ⁹

⁸ Ex 1064 of IPR2017-00210, which corresponds with Exhibit 1264 in

IPR2017-00219 and Exhibit 2039 in IPR2017-00700, -00701, and -00728.

⁹ Also submitted as Exhibit 1257 in IPR2017-00219.

DOCKET

Δ

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

