UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/462,049	04/03/2000	DETLEF WIESE	GK-EIS-1028	4612	
26418 7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER			
REED SMITH, LLP			LAO, LUN S		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			2643		
voidi, i			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	Ļ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RPX Exhibit 1107 RPX v DAF
RPX v DAF

Μ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/462,049	WIESE ET AL.	WIESE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lun-See Lao	2643		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	;	
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	.136(a). In no event, however, may a m ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.	
itatus				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{03}$	April 2000			
	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the meri	its is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•		
Disposition of Claims	• • • •			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-72</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) $37-72$ is/are rejected.		-		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
opplication Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		ov the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• · · ·	• •	21(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) X Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U S C &	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)∑ All b) Some * c) None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		oplication No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			е	
application from the International Burea				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.		
ttachment/s)				
ttachment(s)	4) TInterview S	ummary (PTO-413)		
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3) 5) ∐ Notice of Ir 6) ☐ Other:	iomai Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/462,049 Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This action response to the preliminary amendment filed on 12-30-1999.

Claims 1-36 have been canceled and claims 37-72 have been added. Claims 37-72 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of **35** U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 37-38, 41, 44, 46-47, 49-51 and 54-55 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taniguchi. (EP 0417739).

Consider claim 37, Taniguchi teaches a method of encoding signals, in particular

digitized audio signals, with an encoding device for encoding the signal in an encoding

format and a processing device for processing of the encoded signal, comprising the

steps of:

automatically selecting (see fig.2, 6) the encoding format dependent on the

properties of the processing device; and

employing at least one of the following steps for determining the selected coding

format (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/462,049 Art Unit: 2643

ascertaining the properties of the processing device by a signal directed to the processing device (see page 6 line 3-37); and

Page 3

calling out the properties (see fig.2, 4) of the processing device from a storage means (see page 7 line 31-39).

Consider claims 38, 41, Taniguchi teaches the processing device includes at least one of a transmitting device for transmission of the encoded signal (see fig.2, $1_1 - 1_m$) and a storage device (4 and page 7 line 31-39) for storage of the encoded signal and a decoding device for decoding of the encoded signal (see page 6 line 4-15); and the prior to determining the encoding format, the properties of the processing device are ascertained a test signal directed to the processing device (see page 8 line 27-30).

Consider claim 44, 46,47, Taniguchi teaches the properties of the processing device are called up out of a storage means prior to encoding (see page 7 line 31-39); and. the signal is digitized prior (such as CELP) to the encoding operation (see page 6 line 38-43); and the signal is encoded in a bit rate-reduced (LPC) encoding format (see page line 38-51).

Consider claims 49-50, Taniguchi teaches the method of the transmission and/or storage devices of varying capacity are available as processing devices and prior to transmission and / or storage of the signals, in the case of signals of higher quality, that is to say with a larger amount of data, a transmission device and/or storage device of larger capacity is selected and in the transmission and/or storage of signals of lower quality, that is to say with a smaller quantity of data, a transmission device and/or storage device and/or storage device of storage device of smaller capacity is selected (see page 7 line 31- page 8 line 21); and

Application/Control Number: 09/462,049 Art Unit: 2643

the signals to be sent are audio signals, wherein the audio signals are encoded in bit rate-reduced form by the encoding device, wherein a plurality of transmission channels and/or bit rates are available for transmission of the signal and wherein the transmission channel and/or the bit rate in the transmission are so selected that the signal can be transmitted in real time (see page 8 line 27-50).

Consider claim 51, Taniguchi teaches a fixedly preset (see fig.5b, 306a, 306b, 306c) computing power (code rate) is inherently adopted for operation in real time (see col.1 line 10-37).

Consider claim 54, Taniguchi teaches a method of encoding signals, in particular digitized audio signals, with an encoding device (see fig.2, $1_1 ... 1_m$) for encoding the signal in an encoding format and a processing device (2-7) for processing of the encoded signal, said method including the step of determining the encoding format dependent on the properties of the encoding device (see abstract and page 5 line 39-page 6 line 37).

Consider claims 55 Taniguchi teaches the encoding format is determined by a control device (see fig.2, 4 and 5 and abstract and page 5 line 39-page 6 line 37).

4. Claims 56-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomoyuki (EP0327101).

Consider claim 56, Tomoyuki teaches apparatus for encoding signals comprising:

a processing device (see fig.5a, 310,302,304); and

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.