

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,
MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED

Petitioner

v.

QUALICAPS CO. LTD.
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 6,649,180

Filing Date: April 13, 2000

Issue Date: November 18, 2003

Title: HARD CAPSULE FORMED OF CELLULOSE ETHER FILM WITH A
SPECIFIC CONTENT OF METHOXYL AND HYDROXYPROPOXYL
GROUPS

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

DECLARATION OF ARTHUR H. KIBBE IN SUPPORT OF PETITION

FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,649,180

~~UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 ET SEQ.~~

[Petitioner Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Exhibit 1011 - Page 1](#)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

~~I. INTRODUCTION 1~~ ~~II.~~
~~MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)~~
~~1 A. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST 1~~
~~B. RELATED MATTERS~~
~~1~~
~~C. PAYMENT OF FEES 2~~
~~D. DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL 3~~
~~E. SERVICE INFORMATION 3~~
~~F. POWER OF ATTORNEY 4~~
~~III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW~~
~~4 A. GROUND FOR STANDING.....~~
~~4 B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE.....~~
~~5 1. Claims Challenged 5~~
~~2. Background of the Technology..... 5~~
~~3. Prior Art 12~~
I. SCOPE OF THE REPORT 1
II. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE 3
III. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND OF CLAIMED SUBJECT
MATTER OF THE '180 PATENT 7
A. Thermal Gelling..... 8
B. Additive Gelling..... 10
IV. C. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED 13
~~IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '180 PATENT~~
~~13 A. PRIORITY DATE OF THE '180 PATENT~~

13	13
B.	SUMMARY OF THE '180 PATENT.....	14
<u>V.</u>	<u>C. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....</u>	<u>15</u>
	<u>D. 15</u>	
<u>VI.</u>	<u>IDENTIFICATION OF THE PRIOR ART.....</u>	<u>15</u>
	<u>A. Yamamoto.....</u>	<u>15</u>
	<u>B. The Japanese Pharmacopeia.....</u>	<u>16</u>
	<u>C. Greninger.....</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>VII.</u>	<u>CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....</u>	<u>15</u>
	<u>.....</u>	<u>17</u>
	<u>A. "gelling agent".....</u>	<u>17</u>
	<u>.....</u>	<u>17</u>
	<u>B. 2. "gelling aid".....</u>	<u>18</u>
	<u>.....</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>VIII.</u>	<u>STATEMENT OF LEGAL PRINCIPLES.....</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>IX.</u>	<u>UNPATENTABILITY OF THE '180 PATENT CLAIMS.....</u>	<u>19</u>
	<u>A. Ground 1: Claims 1 and 4 are Unpatentable as Obvious</u>	
	<u>in View of Yamamoto in Combination with Japanese</u>	
	<u>Pharmacopeia 19</u>	
	<u>1. Claim 1.....</u>	<u>19</u>
	<u>i. Limitation [1.1] "A hard capsule formed of a</u>	
	<u>film composition comprising a</u>	

hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose as a base, a

~~V. LEGAL STANDARDS 19-~~

~~A. Obviousness 19-~~

~~VI. FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED 21-~~

~~A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1 AND 4 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF YAMAMOTO IN COMBINATION WITH JAPANESE PHARMACOPEIA 21-~~

~~1. Brief Summary of Yamamoto 21-~~

~~2. Brief Summary of Japanese Pharmacopeia 22-~~

~~3. Claim 1 22-~~

~~4. Claim 4 22-~~

~~33-~~

gelling agent, and a gelling aid.” 19

ii. Limitation [1.2] wherein said hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose has a content of hydroxypropoxyl groups of at least 4% by weight of the hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose and a content of methoxyl groups and hydroxypropoxyl groups combined of 23 to 37.6% by weight of the hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose..... 21

2. Claim 4..... 30

i. Limitation [4.1] The hard capsule formed of a film of claim 1, wherein the content of methoxyl and hydroxypropoxyl groups combined is 29 to 37% by weight of the

B. ~~GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1 AND 4 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS
OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF GREMINGER~~

~~.....41~~ Ground 2: Claims 1 and 4 are
Unpatentable as Obvious in View of Greminger 38

~~1. Brief Summary of Greminger
41~~

~~2. Claim 1
41~~

~~3. Claim 4
48~~

1. Claim 1.....38

i. Limitation [1.1] “A hard capsule formed of a
film composition comprising a
hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose as a base, a
gelling agent, and a gelling aid.”.....38

ii. Limitation [1.2] wherein said hydroxypropyl
methyl cellulose has a content of
hydroxypropoxyl groups of at least 4% by
weight of the hydroxypropyl methyl
cellulose and a content of methoxyl groups
and hydroxypropoxyl groups combined of 23
to 37.6% by weight of the hydroxypropyl
methyl cellulose.....41

2. Claim 4.....44

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.