

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DELL INC.; EMC CORPORATION; HEWLETT-PACKARD  
ENTERPRISE CO.; HP ENTERPRISE SERVICES, LLC; TERADATA  
OPERATIONS, INC.; and VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

REALTIME DATA LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-00176  
Patent 7,161,506 C2<sup>1</sup>

---

Before JASON J. CHUNG, SCOTT C. MOORE,  
and SHEILA F. McSHANE *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHUNG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Conduct of the Proceedings  
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

The Board authorizes Teradata Operations, Inc. (“Petitioner”) and  
Realtime Data LLC (“Patent Owner”) to submit additional briefing

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2017-00806 has been consolidated with IPR2017-00176. Case IPR2017-01688 has been joined with IPR2017-00176. For purposes of this order, we refer to paper numbers from IPR2017-00176. The parties are not permitted to use this caption.

pertaining to whether *Ex Parte Schulhauser*, 2016 WL 6277792, No. 2013-007847 (PTAB 2016) (precedential) (hereinafter, “*Schulhauser*”) applies to conditional limitations recited in independent method claims 104 and 105 of U.S. Patent No. 7,161,506 C2 (“the 506 patent”). The authorized briefing should include, *inter alia*, discussion of any cases the parties allege contradict or support application of *Schulhauser*, as well as discussion of whether Petitioner’s arguments and supporting evidence, if any, pertaining to conditional limitations recited in claims 104 and 105 exceed the proper scope of Petitioner’s Reply (Paper 34, “Reply”). For example, Petitioner’s Reply cites *Schulhauser* to support its argument that:

even under Patent Owner’s view of the facts, it matters not whether the second ‘performing step’ of the claim would have been performed when the POSA combined teachings of Franaszek, Hsu, and Sebastian. The limitation is conditional; it is performed only ‘if a data type of the data block is not identified.’ If a data type is identified (as Patent Owner says it always would be), the claimed method is still met.

Reply 20–22 (Section III) (internal citations omitted).

#### ORDER

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that the parties may submit additional briefing of 5 pages on or before 5:00 PM EST March 5, 2018, addressing only the specific issues raised in this Order.

Case IPR2017-00176  
Patent 7,161,506 C2

PETITIONER:

Andrew R. Sommer  
Thomas M. Dunham  
Garth A. Winn  
Lisa Nguyen  
Bob Steinberg  
asommer@winston.com  
tdunham@winston.com  
garth.winn@klarquist.com  
lisa.nguyen@lw.com  
bob.steinberg@lw.com

PATENT OWNER:

William Rothwell  
Kayvan Noroozi  
william@noroozipc.com  
kayvan@noroozipc.com