

Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Lori A. Gordon  
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC  
1100 New York Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C.  
Tel: (202) 371-2600  
Fax: (202) 371-2540

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,504,746**

**Mail Stop PATENT BOARD**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Mandatory notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)). .....2

II. Grounds for standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)). .....4

III. Identification of challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)). .....4

    A. Citation of prior art. ....4

    B. Statutory grounds for the challenge. ....5

IV. The '746 Patent .....6

    A. Overview of the '746 Patent .....6

    B. The challenged claims of the '746 patent are not entitled to priority benefit of its parent applications. ....8

    C. Level of ordinary skill in the art. ....13

    D. Claim construction. ....13

V. Ground 1: The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claims 1, 4, 6–8, 10, 11, 14, 20, 21, and 30 obvious. ....15

    A. Overview of Ousley and Steger. ....15

    B. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 1 obvious. ....20

    C. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 4 obvious. ....45

    D. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 6 obvious. ....48

    E. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 7 obvious. ....50

    F. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 8 obvious. ....50

    G. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 10 obvious. ....53

    H. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 11 obvious. ....57

    I. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 14 obvious. ....58

    J. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 20 obvious. ....59

    K. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 21 obvious. ....60

    L. The combination of Ousley and Steger renders claim 30 obvious. ....61

VI. Ground 2: The combination of Ousley, Steger, and Nara renders claim 23 obvious. ....63

VII. The proposed grounds are not redundant to previously filed petitions. ....65

VIII. Conclusion .....67

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc.*,  
323 F.3d 956 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....11

*In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation*,  
778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....13

*Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,  
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....15

*PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.*,  
522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....9

*Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar*,  
935 F.2d 1555, (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....9

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....5

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....5

35 U.S.C. § 112 .....8, 14

35 U.S.C. § 120 .....8

35 U.S.C. §102(a) .....5

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....2

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746*

**EXHIBIT LIST**

| <b><u>Ex. No.</u></b> | <b><u>Description</u></b>   |
|-----------------------|---|
| <b>1001</b>           | U.S. Patent 8,504,746 to Tasler   |
| <b>1002</b>           | Excerpts of File History of U.S. Patent 8,504,746 to Tasler   |
| <b>1003</b>           | Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746                |
| <b>1004</b>           | Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Erez Zadok  |
| 1005-1006             | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1007</b>           | <i>The SCSI Bus and IDE Interface Protocols, Applications and Programming</i> , by Schmidt, First Edition, Addison-Wesley, 1995 |
| 1008                  | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1009</b>           | U.S. Patent No. 4,727,512 to Birkner  |
| <b>1010</b>           | U.S. Patent No. 4,792,896 to Maclean  |
| <b>1011</b>           | International Publication Number WO 92/21224 to Jorgensen   |
| <b>1012</b>           | Small Computer System Interface-2 (SCSI-2), ANSI X3.131-1994, American National Standard for Information Systems (ANSI).        |
| <b>1013</b>           | <i>Operating System Concepts</i> , by Silberschatz <i>et al.</i> , Fourth Edition.  |
| 1014-1015             | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1016</b>           | <i>In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation</i> , 778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015).                                 |
| 1017-1018             | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1019</b>           | <i>Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language</i> , Random House, 1996.                               |
| <b>1020</b>           | <i>Papst Licensing GmbH &amp; Co., KG v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-15-cv-01095 (E.D. Tex.), Complaint filed November 30, 2015 |
| 1021-1023             | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1024</b>           | Declaration of Scott Bennett  |
| <b>1025</b>           | <i>Discrete-Time Signal Processing</i> , by Oppenheim <i>et al.</i> , First Edition, Prentice-Hall, 1989                        |
| 1026-1030             | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1031</b>           | Plug-and-Play SCSI Specification, Version 1.0, dated March 30, 1994 ("PNP SCSI")  |
| 1032-1057             | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1058</b>           | U.S. Patent No. 7,184,922 to Ousley <i>et al.</i>   |
| 1059-1060             | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>   |
| <b>1061</b>           | Abandoned U.S. Application No. 11/078,778, filed March 11, 2005   |
| <b>1062</b>           | Axelson, Jan, "USB Complete – Everything You Need to Develop Custom USB Peripherals," 2nd Edition, Madison, WI: Lakeview        |

...

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746*

| <u>Ex. No.</u> | <u>Description</u>   |
|----------------|--|
|                | Research LLC, 2001.  |
| <b>1063</b>    | Universal Serial Bus Specification, Revision 2.0, April 27, 2000                                   |
| 1064           | <i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>  |
| <b>1065</b>    | U.S. Patent No. 7,542,867 to Steger <i>et al.</i>  |
| <b>1066</b>    | U.S. Patent No. 6,377,617 to Nara  |
| <b>1067</b>    | U.S. Patent Application No. 11/928,283, filed October 30, 2007                                     |
| <b>1068</b>    | Preliminary Amendment filed in U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 11/928,283, February 9, 2009                    |
| <b>1069</b>    | U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/312,254, filed August 14, 2001                          |
| <b>1070</b>    | U.S. Patent No. 7,149,093 to Conway  |
| <b>1071</b>    | <i>Microsoft Computer Dictionary</i> , Third Edition, Microsoft Press, 1997.                       |
| <b>1072</b>    | <i>McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms</i> , Fifth Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1994 |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.