| Paper No | |
|-----------------------|----|
| Filed: October 28, 20 | 16 |

Filed on behalf of: FireEye, Inc.

By: James M. Heintz

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

11911 Freedom Drive, Suite 300

Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: 703-773-4000 Fax: 703-773-5000

Email: jim.heintz@dlapiper.com

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD FIREEYE, INC., Petitioner, v. FINJAN, INC., Patent Owner. Patent No. 8,225,408

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,225,408



Table of Contents

| | | | Page | | | | |
|------|----------------------|---|------|--|--|--|--|
| I. | INTRODUCTION | | | | | | |
| | A. | The '408 Patent, Prosecution History, and Priority Date2 | | | | | |
| | B. | Overview of the Prior Art4 | | | | | |
| | | i. Chandnani (EX1007) | 5 | | | | |
| | | ii. Kolawa (EX1008) | 6 | | | | |
| | | iii. Knuth (EX1009) | 7 | | | | |
| | | iv. Huang (EX1010) | 8 | | | | |
| | | v. Walls (EX1011) | 9 | | | | |
| | | vi. Chandnani, Kolawa, Knuth, Huang, and Walls Are All Analogous Art | | | | | |
| | C. | Level of Skill in the Art | 10 | | | | |
| II. | GRC | OUNDS FOR STANDING | 11 | | | | |
| III. | MA | MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.811 | | | | | |
| | A. | Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) | 11 | | | | |
| | B. | Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))11 | | | | | |
| | C. | Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))12 | | | | | |
| | D. | Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) | | | | | |
| IV. | | STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED | | | | | |
| V. | | STATEMENT RE: GROUNDS PRESENTED AND NON-REDUNDANCY | | | | | |
| VI. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION14 | | | | | | |
| | A. | "parse tree" | 14 | | | | |
| | B. | "dynamically building while said receiving receives the incoming stream" | 15 | | | | |
| | C. | "dynamically detecting while said dynamically building builds the parse tree" | 15 | | | | |
| | D. | "instantiating a scanner for the specific programming language" | 15 | | | | |



Table of Contents (Continued)

| | | | | Page | | |
|-------|--|--|--|------|--|--|
| VII. | DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY | | | | | |
| | A. [Ground 1] Chandnani and Kolawa Disclose or Render Obvio Each Element of Independent Claims 1, 9, 23, and 29 | | | 16 | | |
| | | i. | Independent Claim 1 | 16 | | |
| | | ii. | Independent Claim 23 | 36 | | |
| | | iii. | Independent Claims 9 and 29 | 40 | | |
| | B. | 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Chandnani in view of Kolawa and Knuth4 | | | | |
| | C. | [Ground 3] Claim 8 is Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Chandnani in view of Kolawa and Huang51 | | | | |
| | D. | [Ground 4] Claims 2, 11, 24-28, and 30-34 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Chandnani in view of Kolawa, Knuth, and Walls | | | | |
| | | i. | Dynamically building a parse tree | 57 | | |
| | | ii. | Dynamically detecting potential exploits | 59 | | |
| | E. [Ground 5] Claim 8 is Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Chandnani in view of Kolawa, Huang, and Walls | | | | | |
| VIII. | CON | CLUS | ION | 60 | | |
| IX. | CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE | | | | | |
| X. | PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(A) AND 42.10363 | | | | | |
| XI. | APPENDIX – LIST OF EXHIBITS64 | | | | | |



Table of Authorities

Page(s) **CASES** Cuozzo Speed Techs., Inc. v. Lee -- S. Ct. ----; 2016 WL 3369425 *10 (June 20, 2016)......14 Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc. No. 5-15-cv-03295 (N.D. Cal.).....11 Finjan, Inc. v. FireEye, Inc. No. 4-13-cv-03133 (N.D. Cal.)......11 Finjan, Inc. v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc. No. 4-14-cv-04908 (N.D. Cal.)......11 Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc. No. 3-13-cv-05808 (N.D. Cal.).....11 **STATUTES** 35 U.S.C. § 102 passim **OTHER AUTHORITIES**

AIA § 6......12



I. Introduction

FireEye, Inc. ("FireEye") hereby requests *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 to Rubin *et al.* ("the '408 patent," EX1001) that issued on July 17, 2012, and is currently assigned to Finjan, Inc. ("Patent Owner"). This Petition demonstrates that there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prove by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1-2, 8-9, 11, 23-28, and 29-34 of the '408 patent are unpatentable for failing to distinguish over prior art. Thus, claims 1-2, 8-9, 11, 23-28, and 29-34 of the '408 patent should be found unpatentable and canceled.

A petition for *inter partes* review of the '408 patent, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc., IPR2016-01441, ("the 01441 IPR") has been filed by Blue Coat Systems, Inc. ("Blue Coat"). No institution decision for that Petition has been issued. A motion for joinder to that petition has been filed concurrent with this Petition.

The Board has previously instituted *inter partes* review of the '408 patent, including of the independent claims from which claims 2, 8, 11, 24-28, and 30-33 depend, in Nos. IPR2015-02001 and IPR2016-00157 ("the PAN IPRs"). This Petition presents essentially the same disclosure and arguments for those independent claims. The additional requirements of the challenged dependent claims 2, 8, 11, 24-28, and 30-33 are insufficient to lend them patentability.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

