

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FIREEYE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00157
Patent 8,225,408

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The Board Should Dismiss The Petition Because it is Unquestionably Time Barred Under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b)	2
III. Conclusion	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Linear Tech. Corp.</i> , Case No. IPR2015-01994	3
<i>Linear Tech. Corp. v. In-Depth Test LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2015-01994, Paper No. 7 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 20, 2015)	2
<i>Palo Alto Networks, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2016-00165	1, 3
<i>Symantec Corp. v. Finjan, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2015-01547, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 14, 2016).....	1
<i>Travelocity.com L.P. v. Conos Techs., LLC</i> , Case No. CBM2014-00082, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2014)	2
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	1, 2, 3
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	4
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. 42.101(b)	3
37 C.F.R. §42.101(b)	1, 2, 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	5

EXHIBIT LIST

	Description
Exhibit-2001	Proof of Service of Summons, <i>Finjan, Inc., v. FireEye, Inc.</i> , Case No. 13-cv-03133 (N.D. Cal.)

I. INTRODUCTION

On October 28, 2016, FireEye, Inc., (“Petitioner” or “FireEye”) submitted a Petition to institute an *inter partes* review (“IPR”) challenging claims 1–2, 8–9, 11, 23–28, and 29–34 of U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 (Ex. 1001, “the ‘408 Patent”). The instant Petition is unquestionably time-barred under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) and 37 C.F.R. §42.101(b). In an effort to evade the statutory timing restriction, FireEye seeks to join a rejected *inter partes* review brought by Blue Coat Systems, LLC, (“Blue Coat”). See Motion for Joinder, *FireEye, Inc., v. Finjan, Inc.*, Paper No. 3 (“Joinder Motion”) (requesting to join *Blue Coat Systems, LLC, v. Finjan, Inc.*, Case No. IPR2016-01441 (“the ‘1441 Case”); see also Decision Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review, *Blue Coat Systems, LLC, v. Finjan, Inc.*, Case No. IPR2016-01441, Paper No. 14 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 23, 2017) (denying institution of *inter partes* review of the ‘1441 Case).

Finjan, Inc. (“Patent Owner” or “Finjan”) requests that the Board deny the Petition at least because 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b) prohibit Petitioner’s time-barred Petition because the Board already denied institution of *inter partes* review of the ‘1441 Case, which is the very case that Petitioner seeks to join. Because “a request for joinder is appropriate only if a decision granting institution has been entered in the *inter partes* review for which joinder is requested,” and the *inter partes* review that Petitioner’s motion is requested for has

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.