UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY Petitioner,

v.

VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057 to Little et al.

Case No.: IPR2017-00151

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. §311 *ET SEQ.* AND 37 C.F.R. §42.100 *ET SEQ.* (CLAIMS 1-16, 18-29, AND 31-43 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,882,057)



Table of Contents

Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. \$42.8 v Real Party-In-Interest – 37 C.F.R. \$42.8(b)(1) v Related Matters 37 C.F.R. \$42.8(b)(2) v Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. \$42.8(b)(3) vi Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. \$42.8(b)(4) vi I. Standing Requirements Under 37 C.F.R. \$42.104 vi A. Grounds for Standing – 37 C.F.R. \$42.104(a) 1 1. The Petition is timely under 35 U.S.C. \$315(a) and (b) 2 2. This Petition should not be denied under \$325(d) if IPR2016-01012 is not considered on the merits B B. Challenged Claims – 37 C.F.R. \$42.104(b)(1) C C. Grounds of Challenge – 37 C.F.R. \$42.104(b)(2) II III. Introduction 9 III. Overview of the '057 Patent 9 IV. Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA) 1 V. Claim Construction – 37 C.F.R. \$42.104(b)(3) 1 VI. Unpatentability Grounds 1 A. Ground 1 - Claims 1-16, 18-29 and 31-43 are Obvious in View of Loomans, Stahl, and the General Knowledge of a PHOSITA 1 1. Reasons to combine Loomans with Stahl 1 2. Independent Claims 1, 18 and 31 1 3. Dependent Claim	List	of Exhi	bits	iii
Related Matters 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)	Mana	datory	Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8	vi
A. Grounds for Standing – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)		Relat Lead	ed Matters 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)	vi vii
1. The Petition is timely under 35 U.S.C. §315(a) and (b)	I.	Stand	ling Requirements Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104	1
II. Introduction		В.	 The Petition is timely under 35 U.S.C. §315(a) and (b) This Petition should not be denied under §325(d) if IPR2016-01012 is not considered on the merits Challenged Claims – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1) 	1 4 7
IV. Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA) 10 V. Claim Construction – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3) 1 VI. Unpatentability Grounds 1 A. Ground 1 - Claims 1-16, 18-29 and 31-43 are Obvious in View of Loomans, Stahl, and the General Knowledge of a PHOSITA 1 1. Reasons to combine Loomans with Stahl 12 2. Independent Claims 1, 18 and 31 16 3. Dependent Claims 2-16, 19-29 and 32-43 4 a. Claims 2, 19 and 32 4 b. Claims 3 and 33 4 c. Claims 4, 20 and 34 4 d. Claims 5, 21 and 35 4 e. Claims 6, 22 and 36 5 f. Claims 7, 23 and 37 5 g. Claims 8, 24 and 38 5 h. Claims 9, 25 and 39 5	II.	Intro		
V. Claim Construction – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)	III.	Over	view of the '057 Patent	9
V. Claim Construction – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)	IV.	Perso	on Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA)	10
A. Ground 1 - Claims 1-16, 18-29 and 31-43 are Obvious in View of Loomans, Stahl, and the General Knowledge of a PHOSITA	V.			
of Loomans, Stahl, and the General Knowledge of a PHOSITA	VI.	Unpatentability Grounds		
i. Claims 11, 26, 28, 40 and 42		A.	of Loomans, Stahl, and the General Knowledge of a PHOSITA 1. Reasons to combine Loomans with Stahl 2. Independent Claims 1, 18 and 31 3. Dependent Claims 2-16, 19-29 and 32-43 a. Claims 2, 19 and 32 b. Claims 3 and 33 c. Claims 4, 20 and 34 d. Claims 5, 21 and 35 e. Claims 6, 22 and 36 f. Claims 7, 23 and 37 g. Claims 8, 24 and 38 h. Claims 9, 25 and 39 i. Claims 10, 27 and 41	12 41 44 46 51 53 56 59



		k.	Claims 12, 29 and 43	69
		1.	Claim 13	70
		m.	Claim 14	71
		n.	Claim 15	73
		ο.	Claim 16	75
VII.	Conclusion.			76
VIII.	Fee Stateme	nt		76
Certif	ficate of Serv	ice		78
Certif	ficate of Com	plian	ce Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.24	80



Case No: IPR2017-00151 Attorney Docket No. FPGP0129IPR3

List of Exhibits

Exhibit			
No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1201	U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057	Feb. 1, 2011	'057 Patent
1202	Expert Declaration of Dr. Philip Greenspun	n/a	Greenspun Decl.
1203	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Philip Greenspun	n/a	Greenspun CV
1204	U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057 File History	n/a	'057 Patent File History
1205	U.S. Patent No. 7,873,503 to Loomans et al.	Jan. 18, 2011	Loomans
1206	A. Stahl, R. Bergmann, S. Schmitt, A <u>Customization Approach for</u> <u>Structured Products in Electronic</u> <u>Shops</u> , Electronic Commerce: The End of the Beginning, 13th International Bled Electronic Commerce Conference (June 19-21, 2000)	Jun. 2000	Stahl
1207	Alexander Kott, Gerald Agin, David Fawcett, Configuration Tree Solver: A Technology for Automated Design and Configuration, ASME Journal of Mechanical Design 114(1): 187-195 (1992)	1992	Kott
1208	L. Anselma, D. Magro, and P. Torasso, <u>Automatically Decomposing Configuration Problems</u> , <i>AI*IA 2003: Advances in Artificial Intelligence</i> , Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2829, pp. 39-52 (2003)	2003	Anselma



Case No: IPR2017-00151 Attorney Docket No. FPGP0129IPR3

Exhibit			
No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1209	D. Magro and P. Torasso, Decomposing and Distributing Configuration Problems, Artificial Intelligence: Methodology, Systems,	2002	Magro
	and Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2443, pp. 81-90 (2002)		
1210	Judith Bachant, John McDermott, <u>R1</u> <u>Revisited: Four Years in the Trenches</u> , <i>AI Magazine</i> Volume 5, Number 3 (1984)	1984	Bachant
1211	John McDermott, R1: A Rule-Based Configurer of Computer Systems, Artificial Intelligence (1982)	1982	McDermott
1212	Bryan M. Kramer, <u>Knowledge-Based</u> <u>Configuration of Computer Systems</u> <u>Using Hierarchial Partial Choice</u> , <i>IEEE</i> (1991)	1991	Kramer
1213	Bei Yu and Hans Jorgen Skovgaard, A Configuration Tool to Increase Product Competitiveness, IEEE Intelligent Systems, 34-41 (July/August 1998)	1998	Yu
1214	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0187950 to Rising	Oct. 2, 2003	Rising
1215	Martin R. Wagner, <u>Understanding the</u> ICAD System, ICAD, Inc., 1990	1990	ICAD
1216	Oracle Configurator Developer, User's Guide, Release 11 <i>i</i> for Windows 95/98/2000 and Windows NT 4.0	April 2002	Oracle
1217	Stefan Schulz, <u>CBR-Works A State-of-the-Art Shell for Case-Based</u> <u>Application Building</u> , TECINNO GmbH, 1999	1999	CBR Works Paper



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

