

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057 to Little et al.

Case No.: IPR2017-00150

**REQUEST FOR REHEARING
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)**

Table of Contents

Table of Authorities ii

I. Introduction..... 1

 A. Issues 1

 B. Summary of Basis for Reconsideration..... 1

II. Undisputed Facts 3

III. Argument 4

 A. Binding precedent requires that the Board treat the Versata
 action as having never been filed 4

 1. The Board was required by precedent to accept that the
 effect of the Texas court’s dismissal without prejudice
 left “the parties as if the action had never been brought” 5

 B. The Texas court’s dismissal was not akin to consolidation 10

IV. Conclusion 15

Certificate of Service 17

Table of Authorities

Cases

Advanced Auctions LLC v. eBay Inc.,
Civil Action No. 13-cv-00360 (May 15, 2013).....12

Apple, Inc. v. Rensselaer Poly. Inst. & Dynamic Advances, LLC,
IPR2014-00319, slip op. at 3 (PTAB June 12, 2014).....12

Atlanta Gas Light v. Bennet Regulator Guards, Inc.,
IPR2015-00826, slip op. at 14 (PTAB Sept. 1, 2015)..... 7-10

Bonneville Assoc., Ltd. P’ship v. Baram,
165 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 1999)1, 9

Burger v. Am. Mar. Offs. Union,
170 F.3d 184 (5th Cir. 1999)14

eBay, Inc. v. Advanced Auctions, LLC,
IPR2014-00806, slip op. at 3 (PTAB Sept. 25, 2014).....12

Futurewei Tech., Inc. v. Acacia Res. Corp.,
737 F.3d 704 (Fed. Cir. 2013)14

Gordon Howard Assocs., Inc. v. LunarEye, Inc.,
Case IPR2014-01213, slip op. at 12 (PTAB Feb. 3, 2015) (Paper 11)9

Graves v. Principi,
294 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 1, 5, 6, 9-11

Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc. v. f’real Foods, LLC,
Case No. IPR2016-01105 (PTAB Nov. 30, 2016)..... 13, 14

Histologics, LLC v. CDX Diagnostics, Inc.,
IPR2014-00779, slip op. at 4-5 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2014)11

InVue Sec. Prods., Inc. v. Merch. Techs., Inc.,
Case IPR2013-00122, 2013 WL 5947707 (PTAB June 27, 2013).....9

Oracle Corp. v. Click-To-Call Tech's LP,
IPR2013-00312, slip op. at 17 (Oct. 30, 2013) 2, 4-6, 9, 10

Other Authorities

Federal Prac. & Proc. Civ. § 2367 (3d. ed.)7

Rules

35 U.S.C. § 315 1, 3, 4, 7, 10, 13, 14
37 C.F.R. § 42.711

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d), Ford Motor Company (“Ford”) respectfully requests a rehearing of the United States Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) decisions denying Ford’s petition for *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057 (the ‘057 Patent). (IPR2017-00150, Paper 7.)

A. Issues

(1) Whether the Board misapplied the binding Federal Circuit and PTAB precedent by refusing to hold that the dismissal without prejudice of the *Versata* action has no legal effect under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) and must be treated as if the action had never been filed.

(2) Whether the Board misapplied the law in holding that there is a “continuous chain of assertion” exception to the precedential rule that a complaint dismissed without prejudice has no legal effect under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).

B. Summary of Basis for Reconsideration

Petitioner submits that the Board misapplied binding precedent to the undisputed facts. The Federal Circuit has held that the *effect* of a dismissal without prejudice is that it leaves the parties as if the underlying complaint had never been filed. *Graves v. Principi*, 294 F.3d 1350, 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2002); *Bonneville Assoc., Ltd. P’ship v. Baram*, 165 F.3d 1360, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The PTAB has recognized, quoted, and adopted this law as precedent, reiterating that the *effect* of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.