Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/460,711	HARVEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	2467
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Ex Parte Quayle</u>		
(1) <u>MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Carl L. Benson (Reg. No. 38,378)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 December 2009</u>	Time: <u>10:00am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 2, 6, 57, 59, and 61 were discussed.		
Prior art documents discussed:  Yanagimachi et al. (U.S. 3,936,595)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Michael J. Moore, Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2467	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20091215



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendments to independent claims 2, 57, 59, and 61 that would obviate the Yanagimachi et al. reference of record were suggested to Applicant by Examiner. Applicant agreed to incorporate these suggested amendments which are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Upon Applicant complying with the Administrative Requirement, the application may then proceed to allowance..

