

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VALVE CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

v.

IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD.,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-00948

Patent 8,641,525

---

**CORRECTED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S.  
PATENT NO. 8,641,525, UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.100**

In response to the requirement to correct, mailed by the Board on 2016-04-28, Petitioner respectfully submits this corrected petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1-20 (all the claims) of U.S. Patent No. 8,641,525 (hereinafter “the ’525 Patent” – Exhibit 1001). Petitioner certifies that this corrected petition includes no substantive changes (*e.g.*, no new grounds for challenge to any claim, nor any new support for any existing ground for challenge).

---

CORRECTED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,641,525

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1) .....	1
A. Real Parties-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....	1
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	1
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	2
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4) .....	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	3
III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	3
IV. RELIEF REQUESTED .....	4
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b) .....	4
A. Identification of Challenged Claims and Specific Statutory Grounds for Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)-(b)(2) .....	4
1. First Statutory Ground for Challenge .....	4
2. Second Statutory Ground for Challenge .....	5
3. Third Statutory Ground for Challenge .....	5
4. Fourth Statutory Ground for Challenge .....	6
5. Fifth Statutory Ground for Challenge .....	6

B.	Identification of How the Challenged Claims Are to Be Construed Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	7
1.	Overview of the ‘525 Patent.....	7
2.	Level of ordinary skill, and state of the art.....	9
3.	Directional references in the claims. ....	11
4.	“thickness” .....	12
5.	“elongate member” .....	13
6.	“inherently resilient and flexible” .....	14
7.	“recess” .....	14
8.	“front end of the controller” .....	15
9.	“paddle lever” .....	16
10.	Other claim terms in the ‘525 Patent.....	17
C.	Identification of How the Construed Claims Are Unpatentable and Supporting Evidence Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(b)(5).....	17
1.	Claims 1, 6, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 20 are anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,989,123 to Tosaki et al. ....	18
2.	Claims 1-11, 13, 16, 17, and 20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2010/0073283 to Enright in view of Tosaki.....	29
3.	Claims 14, 15, and 19 are obvious over Enright, in view of Tosaki, and further in view of U.S. Patent App. Pub. 2001/0025778 to Ono.....	41
4.	Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 14-16, and 19 are	

obvious over Tosaki, in view of Jimakos.....	45
5. Claim 18 is obvious over Enright, in view of Tosaki, and further in view of U.S. Patent 4,032,728 to Oelsch.....	51
VI. CONCLUSION.....	52

**EXHIBIT LIST**

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 8,641,525 to Burgess et al.
1002	U.S. Patent 5,989,123 to Tosaki et al.
1003	U.S. Patent App. Pub. 2010/0073283 to Enright
1004	U.S. Patent App. Pub. 2001/0025778 to Ono
1005	“Rapid Fire Mod for Wireless Xbox 360 Controller, Step by Step Tutorial with Pictures,” posts 341-346 by Jimakos Sn, published 08 July 2008 at <a href="http://forums.xbox-scene.com/index.php?/topic/643928-rapid-fire-mod-for-wireless-xbox-360-controller/page-23">http://forums.xbox-scene.com/index.php?/topic/643928-rapid-fire-mod-for-wireless-xbox-360-controller/page-23</a> , as evidenced by the Internet Archive “Way Back Machine” on 07 November 2014, and at <a href="http://forums.xbox-scene.com/index.php?/topic/643928-rapid-fire-mod-for-wireless-xbox-360-controller/page-24">http://forums.xbox-scene.com/index.php?/topic/643928-rapid-fire-mod-for-wireless-xbox-360-controller/page-24</a> , as evidenced by the Internet Archive “Way Back Machine” on 08 November 2014.
1006	U.S. Patent 4,032,728 to Oelsch
1007	UK Search and Examination Report for Patent App. No. GB1011078.1, 16 May 2011, at 2.
1008	Expert Declaration of David Rempel, M.D., in Support of Valve Corporation’s Petition for Inter-Partes Review of U.S. Patent 8,641,525.
1009	Curriculum Vitae of David Rempel, M.D. (also denominated as Ex. 1 to Ex. 1008).
1010	Photo of the Wireless Xbox 360 Controller, published on 13 May 2005 at <a href="http://www.ign.com/articles/2005/05/13/xbox-360-wireless-controller-tour">http://www.ign.com/articles/2005/05/13/xbox-360-wireless-controller-tour</a> .

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)**

The following mandatory notices are provided as part of this Petition.

**A. Real Parties-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)**

Valve Corporation, having a business address at 10900 NE 4th Street, Bellevue, Washington 98004, USA, referred to herein as “Petitioner,” is the real party-in-interest for Petitioner.

**B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)**

On 2015-12-03, Ironburg Inventions Ltd., a U.K. Corporation, the purported assignee of the ’525 Patent, filed a complaint against the Petitioner for alleged infringement of the ’525 Patent in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (Case Docket No. 1:15-cv-04219-MHC).

Ironburg Inventions Ltd., a U.K. Corporation, the purported assignee of the ’525 Patent, and its purported licensee Scuf Gaming International LLC, a Georgia Corporation, also filed an earlier complaint against Playrapid Eurl, a French Corporation, for alleged infringement of the ’525 Patent in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (Case Docket No. 1:13-cv-03224-TWT).

Pending US Patent Application 14/754,789 and pending US Patent Application 14/754,793 claim priority to the ’525 Patent, through a chain of

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.