UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP., Petitioner,

V.

GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Patent Owners.

Case IPR2017-00047 U.S. Patent 6,331,415 B1

PATENT OWNERS' MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8



PATENT OWNERS' MANDATORY NOTICES

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8, Patent Owners Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope respectfully submit the following Mandatory Notices.

I. <u>REAL PARTY IN INTEREST</u>

The real parties in interest are Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope.

II. RELATED MATTERS

Patent Owners identify the following U.S. patent applications and issued patents that relate to U.S. Patent Application No. 07/205,419, which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415:

- U.S. Patent Application No. 06/483,457, which was filed on April 8, 1983 and issued as U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567, is the parent application of U.S. Patent Application No. 07/205,419;
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/422,187, which was filed on April 13,
 1995 and issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,923,221, claims the benefit of
 the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415;
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/931,121, which was filed on September 16, 1997 and is now abandoned, claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415; and



U.S. Patent Application No. 08/909,611, which was filed on August
 12, 1997 and is now abandoned, claims the benefit of the priority date
 of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415.

Additionally, Patent Owners identify the following matters that relate or may relate to U.S. Patent Application No. 07/205,419, which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415:

- U.S. Patent Application No. 07/205,419 was at issue in Patent Interference No. 102,572, which has concluded;
- U.S. Patent Application No. 07/205,419 was at issue in *Genentech*,
 Inc. v. Celltech Ltd., 3:1998-cv-03926 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 1998), which is now closed;
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/422,187, which claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415, was at issue in Patent Interference No. 105,531, which has concluded;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 was the subject of Reexamination Control
 No. 90/007,542 (July 7, 2005), which has concluded;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 was the subject of Reexamination Control
 No. 90/007,859 (January 23, 2006), which has concluded;



- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 was a patent-in-suit in *MedImmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.*, Case No. 2:03-cv-2567 MRP (C.D. Cal. Apr. 11, 2003); which is now closed;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 was a patent-in-suit in *Centocor, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.*, Case No. 2:08-cv-03573 MRP (C.D. Cal. May 30, 2008), which is now closed:
- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 was a patent-in-suit in *Glaxo Group Limited v. Genentech, Inc.*, Case No. 2:10-cv-02764 MRP (C.D. Cal. Feb. 17, 2010), which is now closed;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 and U.S. Patent No. 7,923,221, which claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415, were both patents-in-suit in *Human Genome Sciences, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.*, Case No. 2:11-cv-06519 MRP (C.D. Cal. Apr. 12, 2011), which is now closed;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 and U.S. Patent No. 7,923,221, which claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415, were both patents-in-suit in *Genentech, Inc. v. Glaxo Group Limited,* Case No. 2:11-cv-03065 MRP (C.D. Cal. Apr. 12, 2011), which is now closed;



- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 and U.S. Patent No. 7,923,221, which claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415, were both patents-in-suit in *Eli Lilly & Co. v. Genentech, Inc.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-07248 MRP (C.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 2013), which is now closed;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 and U.S. Patent No. 7,923,221, which claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415, were both patents-in-suit in *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Genentech, Inc.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-05400 MRP (C.D. Cal. May 3, 2013), which is now closed;
- U.S. Patent No. 7,923,221, which claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415, was the patent-in-suit in *Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC v. Genentech, Inc.*, Case No. 2:15-cv-05685 GW (C.D. Cal. July 27, 2015), which is now closed;
- U.S. Patent No. 7,923,221, which claims the benefit of the priority date of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415, was the patent-in-suit in *Genzyme Corporation v. Genentech, Inc.* and *City of Hope,* Case No. 2:15-cv-09991 (C.D. Cal. December 30, 2015), which is now closed;



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

