

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SecureNet Technologies, LLC,  
Petitioner

v.

iControl Networks, Inc.,  
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,473,619  
Filing Date: Aug. 11, 2008  
Issue Date: June 25, 2013

Title: Forming a Security Network Including Integrated Security System  
Components and Network Devices

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. \_\_\_\_\_

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,473,619**

## Table of Contents

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| I. INTRODUCTION .....   | 1    |
| II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....  | 1    |
| A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....  | 1    |
| B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....   | 1    |
| C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....  | 2    |
| D. Service Information .....  | 2    |
| E. Power of Attorney .....  | 2    |
| III. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....   | 2    |
| IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37<br>C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108 .....                        | 3    |
| A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....   | 3    |
| B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and<br>Statement of Precise Relief Requested ..... | 3    |
| C. Threshold Requirement for Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R. §<br>42.108(c) .....                               | 3    |
| V. BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY RELATED TO THE ‘619<br>PATENT .....   | 4    |
| VI. SUMMARY OF THE ‘619 PATENT .....  | 4    |
| VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3) .....  | 4    |
| A. Legal Overview .....   | 4    |
| B. Proposed Claim Constructions .....   | 4    |
| VIII. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART & STATE<br>OF THE ART .....                                     | 5    |
| IX. CLAIMS 1-9, 12-16, 19, 23-28, 32, 34, 42-47, 54-57 AND 59-62 OF<br>THE ‘619 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE ..... | 5    |
| A. Overview Of The Prior Art .....  | 5    |
| 1. Overview of Wimsatt .....  | 5    |
| 2. Overview of Johnson .....  | 6    |
| 3. Overview of Severson .....   | 7    |
| B. The Cited References Are Analogous Art .....   | 7    |

**Table of Contents**  
(continued)

|  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| C. Ground 1 – Claims 1-9, 12-16, 19, 23-28, 32, 34, 42-47, 54-57<br>and 59-62 Are Obvious over Wimsatt in view of Johnson<br>and/or Other Prior Art References Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)..... | 7           |
| 1. Independent claim 1 – Ground 1.....   | 7           |
| 2. Dependent claim 2 – Ground 1 .....  | 29          |
| 3. Dependent claim 3 – Ground 1 .....  | 31          |
| 4. Dependent claim 4 – Ground 1 .....  | 32          |
| 5. Dependent claim 5 – Ground 1 .....  | 32          |
| 6. Dependent claim 6 – Ground 1 .....  | 34          |
| 7. Dependent claim 7 – Ground 1 .....  | 36          |
| 8. Dependent claim 8 – Ground 1 .....  | 36          |
| 9. Dependent claim 9 – Ground 1 .....  | 37          |
| 10. Dependent claim 12 – Ground 1 .....  | 37          |
| 11. Dependent claim 13 – Ground 1 .....  | 38          |
| 12. Dependent claim 14 – Ground 1 .....  | 38          |
| 13. Dependent claim 15 – Ground 1 .....  | 40          |
| 14. Dependent claim 16 – Ground 1 .....  | 41          |
| 15. Dependent claim 19 – Ground 1 .....  | 43          |
| 16. Dependent claim 23 – Ground 1 .....  | 43          |
| 17. Dependent claim 24 – Ground 1 .....  | 44          |
| 18. Dependent claim 25 – Ground 1 .....  | 45          |
| 19. Dependent claim 26 – Ground 1 .....  | 45          |
| 20. Dependent claim 27 – Ground 1 .....  | 46          |
| 21. Dependent claim 28 – Ground 1 .....  | 46          |
| 22. Dependent claim 32 – Ground 1 .....  | 47          |
| 23. Dependent claim 34 – Ground 1 .....  | 47          |
| 24. Dependent claim 42 – Ground 1 .....  | 48          |
| 25. Dependent claims 43 and 44 – Ground 1.....   | 48          |

**Table of Contents**  
(continued)

|  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| 26. Dependent claim 45 – Ground 1 .....                          | 49          |
| 27. Dependent claim 46 – Ground 1 .....                          | 49          |
| 28. Dependent claim 47 – Ground 1 .....                          | 50          |
| 29. Dependent claim 54 – Ground 1 .....                          | 51          |
| 30. Dependent claim 55 – Ground 1 .....                          | 51          |
| 31. Dependent claim 56 – Ground 1 .....                          | 53          |
| 32. Dependent claim 57 – Ground 1 .....                          | 53          |
| 33. Dependent claim 59 – Ground 1 .....                          | 54          |
| 34. Independent claim 60 – Ground 1.....                         | 55          |
| 35. Independent claim 61 – Ground 1.....                         | 58          |
| 36. Dependent claim 62 – Ground 1 .....                          | 64          |
| X. NO SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS<br>EXIST ..... | 64          |
| XI. CONCLUSION.....  | 64          |

## EXHIBITS

| <b>Exhibit No.</b> | <b>Description of Document</b>  |
|--------------------|---|
| <b>1001</b>        | U.S. Patent No. 8,473,619 ("the '619 patent")   |
| <b>1002</b>        | Declaration of James Parker   |
| <b>1003</b>        | File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,473,619  |
| <b>1004</b>        | U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0260427 to Wimsatt ("Wimsatt")   |
| <b>1005</b>        | U.S. Patent No. 6,580,950 to Johnson et al. ("Johnson")   |
| <b>1006</b>        | U.S. Patent No. 4,951,029 to Severson ("Severson")  |
| <b>1007</b>        | U.S. Publication No. 2003/0062997 to Naidoo et al. ("Naidoo")   |
| <b>1008</b>        | U.S. Patent No. 6,748,343 to Alexander et al. ("Alexander")   |
| <b>1009</b>        | U.S. Publication No. 2003/0137426 to Anthony et al. ("Anthony")   |
| <b>1010</b>        | Installation Instructions for PC5401 Data Interface Module (2004)   |
| <b>1011</b>        | "Understanding Universal Plug and Play," White Paper, Microsoft (2000)  |
| <b>1012</b>        | Waiver of Service, <i>iControl Networks, Inc. v. SecureNet Techns., LLC</i> , No. 15-807-GMS, (D. Del. Sept. 30, 2015), ECF No. 5 |
| <b>1013</b>        | <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of James Parker   |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.