

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REACTIVE SURFACES LTD., LLP

Petitioner

v.

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION

Patent Owner

CASE

IPR2016-01914 (Patent 8,394,618 B2)

**PETITIONER'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNERS'
MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	1
OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNERS' EVIDENCE	2
ARGUMENT & AUTHORITIES	3
I. Patent Owners Have Failed to Prove That the Regents of the University of Minnesota is Sovereign	3
II. An IPR Is Not a <i>Suit</i> Against a State	8
A. The Eleventh Amendment of The United States Constitution Only Applies to <i>Suits</i> Against the States.....	8
B. What Is a " <i>Suit</i> " Against a State?	8
C. There Are Numerous, Relevant Differences Between and IPR Proceeding and Civil Litigation in Federal Court.....	9
i. A Patent Owner's Participation in an IPR Is Not Required.....	10
ii. There is No Consequence for a Patent Owner Who Chooses Not to Respond to an IPR Petition.....	12
iii. The Board Has the Power to Determine the Validity of a Patent Without Participation from the Patent Owner.....	13
D. An IPR Proceeding Does Not Bear a Remarkably Strong Resemblance to Civil Litigation in Federal Court, Thus Sovereign Immunity Does Not Apply.	14
III. Patent Owners Lack the Procedural Basis to Extend Sovereign Immunity to Non-Sovereigns.....	17
CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>AI23 Sys. v Hydro-Quebec</i> , 626 F.3d 1213 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	19
<i>Baxter v. Vigo County Sch. Corp.</i> , 26 F.3d 728 (7 th Cir. 1994).....	4
<i>Christy v. Pa. Turnpike Comm’n</i> , 54 F. 3d 1140 (3 rd Cir. 1995).....	4
<i>Cohens v. Virginia</i> , 19 U.S. 264 (1821)	9
<i>Covidien LP v. Univ. of Fla. Research Found. Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01274, -01275, -01276, Paper 21 at 28 (Jan. 25, 2017).....	1, 20
<i>Cyanotech Corp. v. United States Nutraceuticals, LLC</i> , 2013 WL 504862 (D. Haw. Feb. 7, 2013).....	19
<i>Dainippon Screen Manufacturing Co., Ltd. et al v. CFMT, Inc., et al.</i> , 142 F. 3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	19
<i>Davis v. U.S.</i> , 192 F.3d 951 (10th Cir. 1999).....	17
<i>Delano Farms Co. v. Cal. Table Grape Comm’n</i> , 623 F. Supp. 2d 1144, 1164 (E.D. Cal. 2009).....	19
<i>Doe v. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory</i> , 65 F. 3d 771 (9 th Cir. 1995).....	4, 6
<i>Enter. Mgmt. Consultants, Inc. v. United States</i> , 883 F.2d 890 (10 th Cir. 1989).....	19
<i>Faibisch v. University of Minnesota</i> , 304 F. 3d 797 (8 th Cir. 2002).....	5

<i>Federal Maritime Comm’n v. S.C. State Ports Auth.</i> , 535 U.S. 743 (2002)	9, 11, 12, 13
<i>Gragg v. Ky. Cabinet for Workforce Dev.</i> , 289 F.3d 958 (6 th Cir. 2002)	4
<i>Greenwood v. Ross</i> 778 F. 2d 448 (8th Cir. 1985)	5, 6
<i>Hoeffner v. Univ. of Minn.</i> , 948 F. Supp. 1380 (D. Minn. 1996)	5
<i>In Re Barrett Refining Corp.</i> , 221 B.R. 795 (Bankr. W.D. Okla. 1998)	9
<i>In re Hechinger Inv. Co of Delaware, Inc.</i> , 254 B.R. 306 (2000)	8
<i>In Re Pitts</i> , 241 B.R. 862 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1999)	9
<i>Issaenko v. Univ. of Minn.</i> , 57 F. Supp. 3d 985 (D. Minn. 2014)	6
<i>ITSI TV Prod., Inc., v. Agricultural Ass’ns</i> , 3 F.3d 1289 (9 th Cir. 1993)	4
<i>Miller et al., v Chou</i> , 257 N.W. 2d 277 (Minn. 1977)	3
<i>Phillipines v. Pimentel</i> , 553 U.S. 851 (2008)	17
<i>Raygor et al., v Regents of the University of Minnesota, et al.</i> , 534 U.S. 533 (2002)	6
<i>Regents of the Univ. of California v. Doe</i> , 519 U.S. 425 (1997)	6
<i>Shire Dev. LLC v. Lucerne Biosciences, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00739	10

<i>Skelton v. Camp</i> , 234 F. 3d 292 (5 th Cir. 2000).....	4
<i>SourceOne Global Partners, LLC v. KGK Synergize, Inc.</i> , No. 08-C-7403, 2009 WL 1346250 (N.D. Ill. May 13, 2009).....	18
<i>Star Tribune Co. v. Univ. of Minn. Bd. of Regents</i> , 683 N.W.2d 274 (Minn. 2004).....	7
<i>Trevelen v. University of Minnesota</i> , 73 F. 3d 816 (8th Cir. 1996).....	5, 7
<i>Univ. of Utah v. Max-Planck-Gesellschaft Zur Forderung Der Wissenschaften E.V.</i> , 734 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	19, 20
<i>Vas-Cath, Inc. v Curators of the Univ. of Mo.</i> , 473 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	15, 16
<i>Walstad v. Univ. of Minn. Hosps.</i> , 442 F.2d 634 (8th Cir. 1971).....	5
<i>Woods v. Rondout Valley Cent. Sch. Dist. Bd. of Educ.</i> , 466 F. 3d 232 (2d Cir. 2006).....	3
<i>Yahoo! Inc., v. CreateAds L.L.C.</i> , IPR2014-00200	13
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 135.....	16
35 U.S.C. § 311.....	10, 15
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(5)	11
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	12, 15
35 U.S.C. § 314(b)(1)-(2)	12
35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a) and 318(a).....	14
35 U.S.C. §316(a)(6)	15

Regulations

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.