

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Broadsign International, LLC,

Petitioner

v.

T-Rex Property AB,

Patent Owner

---

U.S. Patent Number RE39,470

Issue Date: January 16, 2007

Title: DIGITAL INFORMATION SYSTEM

Case Number: IPR2016-01869

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

## Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....	1
B.	Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	1
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	7
D.	Service Information .....	8
E.	Power of Attorney under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b).....	8
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 AND § 42.15(A) .....	8
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW .....	8
A.	Grounds for Standing under 7 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	8
B.	Patents and Printed Publications Relied On as Prior Art .....	9
C.	Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested .....	9
D.	Requirements for Inter Partes Review under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) .....	10
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	10
A.	“communications drive routine means”.....	12
B.	“means for generating and dynamically updating an exposure list”.....	13
C.	“means for displaying images”.....	14
VI.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	14
A.	Brief Summary of the Challenged Patent.....	15
1.	Background of the ’470 Patent.....	15
2.	Prosecution History of the ’470 Patent .....	16
B.	Brief Summary of the Prior Art.....	17
1.	Brief Overview of Nakamura .....	17
2.	Brief Overview of Loban .....	18

3. Brief Overview of Reilly .....	19
C. Ground 1: Claims 1–3, 5–9, 12–14, 17–21, and 24 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious based on Nakamura in view of Loban.....	20
1. Claim 1 .....	20
2. Claim 2 .....	37
3. Claim 3 .....	38
4. Claim 5 .....	39
5. Claim 6 .....	41
6. Claim 7 .....	42
7. Claim 8 .....	45
8. Claim 9 .....	46
9. Claim 12 .....	47
10. Independent Claim 13 .....	48
11. Dependent Claims 14, 17–21, and 24 .....	48
D. Ground 2: Claims 7, 9, 19, and 21 Are Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious Based on Nakamura in View of Loban and Further in View of Reilly .....	49
1. Claims 19 and 21 .....	52
E. Ground 3: Claims 25 and 26 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) based on Nakamura.....	52
1. Claim 25 .....	52
2. Claim 26 .....	54
3. Claims 25 and 26 Are Anticipated by Nakamura .....	55
VII. CONCLUSION .....	64

## List of Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of the Exhibit
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent Number RE39,470
<b>1002</b>	Complaint, Broadsign v. T-Rex Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-04586-LTS, Document 1
<b>1003</b>	U.S. Patent Number 6,005,534
<b>1004</b>	File History, U.S. Pat. App. 08/676,517
<b>1005</b>	File History, U.S. Pat. App. 09/821,969
<b>1006</b>	Declaration by Jaime Carbonell, Ph.D.
<b>1007</b>	Japanese Patent Application Heisei 07-168544 (“Nakamura”), Certified English Translation, and Affidavit
<b>1008</b>	U.S. Patent Number 5,612,741 to Loban
<b>1009</b>	U.S. Patent Number 5,005,010 to Misaki
<b>1010</b>	U.S. Patent Number 5,740,549 to Reilly
<b>1011</b>	<i>T-Rex Property AB v. Admirable, LLC</i> , Complaint
<b>1012</b>	<i>T-Rex Property AB v. CBS Corporation</i> , Complaint
<b>1013</b>	<i>T-Rex Property AB v. Prismview, LLC</i> , Complaint
<b>1014</b>	<i>T-Rex Property AB v. Barco, Inc.</i> , Complaint
<b>1015</b>	<i>Excerpts from Macmillan Encyclopedia of Computers (Gary G. Bitter ed., Macmillan Publ. Co. 1992)</i>

⋮

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Broadsign International, LLC v. T-Rex Property AB,</i> Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-04586-LTS (S.D.N.Y.).....	1, 12, 23, 24
<i>In re Donaldson Co.,</i> 16 F.3d 1189 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (en banc) .....	11
<i>O.I. Corp. v. Tekman,</i> 114 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	12
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.,</i> 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	10
<i>TriMed, Inc. v. Stryker Corp.,</i> 514 F.3d 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	11
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 .....	1
35 U.S.C. §§ 102 (a) and 103(a) .....	9
35 U.S.C. §§ 102 (e) and 103(a) .....	9
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 102(a) .....	10, 15, 52
35 U.S.C. §§ 112(6) .....	11
35 U.S.C. 112 .....	12
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42 .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1

..

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.