

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01839 (Patent 6,470,399 B1)  
Case IPR2016-01842 (Patent 9,189,437 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01863 (Patent 8,504,746 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01864 (Patent 6,470,399 B1)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before JONI Y. CHANG, JAMES B. ARPIN, and MIRIAM L. QUINN,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

ARPIN, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Unopposed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission  
of Christopher V. Goodpastor  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses the same issues for the above-identified cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one order to be filed in each case.

Case IPR2016-01839 (Patent 6,470,399 B1)  
Case IPR2016-01842 (Patent 9,189,437 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01863 (Patent 8,504,746 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01864 (Patent 6,470,399 B1)

Having considered Patent Owner's Unopposed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Christopher V. Goodpastor (IPR2016-01839, Paper 35; IPR2016-01842, Paper 24; IPR2016-01863, Paper 24; IPR2016-01864, Paper 27) and the Affidavits of Mr. Goodpastor (IPR2016-01839, Ex. 2006; IPR2016-01842, Ex. 2008; IPR2016-01863, Ex. 2009; IPR2016-01864, Ex. 2005) filed in support, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Unopposed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* admission of Mr. Goodpastor are *granted*; Mr. Goodpastor is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in the above-captioned proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-captioned proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Goodpastor shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Goodpastor is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–901.

Case IPR2016-01839 (Patent 6,470,399 B1)  
Case IPR2016-01842 (Patent 9,189,437 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01863 (Patent 8,504,746 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01864 (Patent 6,470,399 B1)

For PETITIONER:

Lori A. Gordon  
Steven W. Peters  
Yasser Mourtada  
Tyler Dutton  
[lgordon-ptab@skgf.com](mailto:lgordon-ptab@skgf.com)  
[speters-ptab@skgf.com](mailto:speters-ptab@skgf.com)  
[ymourtad-ptab@skgf.com](mailto:ymourtad-ptab@skgf.com)  
[tdutton-ptab@skgf.com](mailto:tdutton-ptab@skgf.com)  
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX

For PATENT OWNER:

Gregory s. Donahue  
Minghui Yang  
[gdonahue@dpelaw.com](mailto:gdonahue@dpelaw.com)  
[myang@dpelaw.com](mailto:myang@dpelaw.com)  
[docketing@dpelaw.com](mailto:docketing@dpelaw.com)  
DiNOVO PRICE ELLWANGER & HARDY LLP

Michael R. Fleming  
[mflaming@irell.com](mailto:mflaming@irell.com)  
IRELL & MANELLA LLP

Anthony Meola  
Jason. A. Murphy  
Victor J. Baranowshi  
Arlen L. Olsen  
[ameola@iplawusa.com](mailto:ameola@iplawusa.com)  
[jmurphy@iplawsa.com](mailto:jmurphy@iplawsa.com)  
[vbaranowski@iplawusa.com](mailto:vbaranowski@iplawusa.com)  
[aolsen@iplawusa.com](mailto:aolsen@iplawusa.com)  
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS, LLP