

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO., KG,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01863
Patent 8,504,746

**PATENT OWNER PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO., KG'S RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN DISPUTE.....	1
II.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED	1
B.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘746 PATENT	2
C.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
D.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	7
E.	SUMMARY OF PATENT OWNER’S ARGUMENTS	9
III.	PATENT OWNER’S RESPONSE TO PETITIONER’S GROUNDS OF REJECTION.....	12
A.	PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY AND WILSON DO NOT RENDER CLAIMS 1, 4, 6-8, 10, 11, 14, 20, 21, 23, 30, 34 AND 35 OBVIOUS	12
1.	OVERVIEW OF PUCCI	12
2.	OVERVIEW OF SCHMIDT	13
3.	OVERVIEW OF KEPLEY	13
4.	PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY AND WILSON DO NOT DISCLOSE THE “WHEREIN THE PROCESSOR IS CONFIGURED AND PROGRAMMED TO IMPLEMENT A DATA GENERATION PROCESS BY WHICH ANALOG DATA IS ACQUIRED FROM THE ANALOG SIGNAL ACQUISITION CHANNEL, THE ANALOG DATA IS PROCESSED AND DIGITIZED, AND THE PROCESSED AND DIGITIZED ANALOG DATA IS STORED IN A FILE	

SYSTEM OF THE DATA STORAGE MEMORY AS AT
LEAST ONE FILE OF DIGITIZED ANALOG DATA”
LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 OF THE ‘746
PATENT14

5. PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY AND WILSON
DO NOT DISCLOSE THE “WHEREIN WHEN THE
ANALOG ACQUISITION DEVICE IS OPERATIVELY
INTERFACED WITH THE MULTIPURPOSE INTERFACE
OF THE COMPUTER, THE PROCESSOR EXECUTES AT
LEAST ONE INSTRUCTION SET STORED IN THE
PROGRAM MEMORY AND THEREBY AUTOMATICALLY
CAUSES AT LEAST ONE PARAMETER INDICATIVE OF
THE CLASS OF DEVICES TO BE SENT TO THE
COMPUTER THROUGH THE MULTIPURPOSE
INTERFACE OF THE COMPUTER, INDEPENDENT OF
THE ANALOG SOURCE, WHEREIN THE ANALOG DATA
ACQUISITION DEVICE IS NOT WITHIN THE CLASS OF
DEVICES” LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 OF
THE ‘746 PATENT OR THE CORRESPONDING
LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 34 OF THE ‘746
PATENT17

6. PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY AND WILSON
DO NOT DISCLOSE THE “WHEREBY THERE IS NO
REQUIREMENT FOR ANY USER-LOADED FILE
TRANSFER ENABLING SOFTWARE TO BE LOADED ON
OR INSTALLED IN THE COMPUTER IN ADDITION TO
THE OPERATING SYSTEM” LIMITATION OF
INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 OF THE ‘746 PATENT OR THE
CORRESPONDING LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT
CLAIM 34 OF THE ‘746 PATENT.....24

7. PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY AND WILSON DO
NOT RENDER OBVIOUS DEPENDENT CLAIMS 4, 6-8, 10,
11, 14, 20, 21, 23, 30 AND 35 OF THE ‘746 PATENT30

B. PUCCI AND SCHMIDT AND PUCCI AND KEPLEY WOULD
NOT BE COMBINED BY ONE SKILLED IN THE ART30

C. *INTER PARTES* REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL35

IV. CONCLUSION35

EXHIBIT LIST

Previously and Currently Filed – Patent Owner

Ex. No.	Description
2001	Defendants' Responsive Claim Construction Brief in <i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple, Inc.</i> (6:15-CV-01095-RWS)
2002	United States Patent No. 5,508,821 to Murata
2003	August 24, 2006 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,073 resulting in '144 Patent
2004	July 17, 2007 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,073 resulting in '144 Patent
2005	December 18, 2007 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,073 resulting in '144 Patent
2006	Declaration of Thomas A. Gafford in Support of Patent Owner Response ("Gafford Declaration")
2007	<i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-15-cv-01095 (E.D. Tex.), Claim Construction Memorandum and Order issued March 7, 2017

Previously Filed – Petitioner

Ex. No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 8,504,746 to Tasler
1002	Excerpts of File History of U.S. Patent 8,504,746 to Tasler
1003	Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746
1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Erez Zadok
1005-1006	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1007	<i>The SCSI Bus and IDE Interface Protocols, Applications and Programming</i> , by Schmidt, First Edition, Addison-Wesley, 1995
1008	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,727,512 to Birkner
1010	U.S. Patent No. 4,792,896 to Maclean

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.