

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO., KG,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01863
Patent 8,504,746

**PATENT OWNER PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO., KG'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN DISPUTE	1
II.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
	A. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED	1
	B. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘746 PATENT	2
	C. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
	D. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	7
	E. SUMMARY OF PATENT OWNER’S ARGUMENTS	9
III.	THE PETITION FAILS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR INSTITUTING AN <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	11
	A. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT INSTITUTE TRIAL BASED ON THE PETITION’S REDUNDANT GROUNDS.....	11
	B. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT INSTITUTE TRIAL BASED ON THE PETITION’S CONCLUSORY OBVIOUSNESS COMBINATIONS	15
	C. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT INSTITUTE TRIAL BECAUSE THE PETITION’S GROUNDS OF REJECTION DO NOT DISCLOSE THE “WHEREBY THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT FOR ANY USER-LOADED FILE TRANSFER ENABLING SOFTWARE TO BE LOADED ON OR INSTALLED IN THE COMPUTER IN ADDITION TO THE OPERATING SYSTEM” LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 OR THE CORRESPONDING LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 34.....	21
	D. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION OF THE PETITION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	23

IV. CONCLUSION 27

EXHIBIT LIST

Currently Filed – Patent Owner

Ex. No.	Description
2001	Defendants' Responsive Claim Construction Brief in <i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple, Inc.</i> (6:15-CV-01095-RWS)
2002	United States Patent No. 5,508,821 to Murata
2003	August 24, 2006 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,073 resulting in '144 Patent
2004	July 17, 2007 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,073 resulting in '144 Patent
2005	December 18, 2007 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,073 resulting in '144 Patent

Previously Filed – Petitioner

Ex. No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 8,504,746 to Tasler
1002	Excerpts of File History of U.S. Patent 8,504,746 to Tasler
1003	Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746
1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Erez Zadok
1005-1006	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1007	<i>The SCSI Bus and IDE Interface Protocols, Applications and Programming</i> , by Schmidt, First Edition, Addison-Wesley, 1995
1008	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,727,512 to Birkner
1010	U.S. Patent No. 4,792,896 to Maclean
1011	International Publication Number WO 92/21224 to Jorgensen
1012	Small Computer System Interface-2 (SCSI-2), ANSI X3.131-1994, American National Standard for Information Systems (ANSI).
1013	<i>Operating System Concepts</i> , by Silberschatz <i>et al.</i> , Fourth Edition.
1014	<i>Microsoft Computer Dictionary</i> , Third Edition, Microsoft Press, 1997.

1015	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1016	<i>In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation</i> , 778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015).
1017-1018	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1019	<i>Webster’s Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language</i> , Random House, 1996.
1020	<i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-15-cv-01095 (E.D. Tex.), Complaint filed November 30, 2015
1021-1023	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1024	Declaration of Scott Bennett
1025-1030	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1031	Plug-and-Play SCSI Specification, Version 1.0, dated March 30, 1994 (“PNP SCSI”)
1032-1040	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1041	Pucci, M., “Configurable Data Manipulation in an Attached Multiprocessor,” 1991
1042	U.S. Patent No. 4,790,003 to Kepley <i>et al.</i> , titled “Message Service System Network”
1043	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1044	U.S. Patent No. 5,353,374 to Wilson <i>et al.</i> , titled “Low Bit Rate Voice Transmission for Use in a Noisy Environment”
1045	U.S. Patent No. 4,065,644 to Shinosky, Jr., titled “Electro-Optical and Electronic Switching Systems”
1046-1051	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1052	Declaration of Michele Nelson, USENIX

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.