Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Lori A. Gordon

Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC

1100 New York Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. Tel: (202) 371-2600 Fax: (202) 371-2540

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,470,399

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Mandatory notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))				
II.	Grounds for standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))				
III.	. Identification of challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).				
A	. (Citation of Prior Art	4		
В.	. ;	Statutory grounds for the challenge	5		
IV.	The	'399 patent	6		
A	. (Overview of the '399 patent	6		
В.		The challenged claims of the '399 patent are not entitled to priority benef of the March 1997 German Application			
C.	. ;	Summary of the prosecution history	14		
D	.]	Level of ordinary skill in the art	15		
E.	,	Claim construction.	16		
	"de	ata transmit/receive device" [claims 1, 3, 11, 14]1	8		
V.		und 1: The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb renders claims 1, 3, and 14 obvious.			
A	. (Overview of Ard	19		
В.	. (Overview of Schmidt	22		
C.	. (Overview of Webb	24		
D		The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb renders claims 1, 11, and 14 obvious.			
	1.	The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses the preamble of independent claims 1, 11, and 14			
		a) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses an interfact device and a method "for communication between a host device."			
		b) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses the host device limitations of the preamble	8		
		c) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses the data transmit/receive device architecture limitation of the preamble. 3	0		
	2.	The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses the architectura elements of the interface device			



Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,399

	8	a) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses that the interface devices comprise "a processor" and "a memory."33
	1	connecting device" limitations
	(c) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb suggests the "second connecting device" limitations
		ne combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses the recognition mitations of the independent claims41
	8	a) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses the inquiry and response elements of the recognition limitations
	1	The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb teaches "whereupon the host device communicates with the interface device by means of the [driver]."
		ne combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb discloses the transfer mitations of the independent claims
	ŧ	a) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb teaches "a data request command from the host device to the type of input/output device."
	1	o) The combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb teaches that a "second command interpreter interpret[s] [the] data request command as a data transfer command for initiating a transfer of the digital data to the host device."53
E.	The	combination of Ard, Schmidt, and Webb renders claim 3 obvious56
VI.	The com	abination of Ard, Schmidt, Webb, and Johnson renders claim 5 obvious
A.	Ove	rview of Johnson57
В.		combination of Ard, Schmidt, Webb, and Johnson discloses that "the essor is a digital signal processor" as recited in claim 558



VII. Conclusion.......60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC,</i> 778 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	16
In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation, 778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	17
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.,</i> 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	
Martin v. Mayer, 823 F.2d 500 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	
PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	
Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	
York Prod. Inc. v. Central Tractor Farm & Family Center,	
99 F.3d 1568 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	56
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	4, 5
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	•
35 U.S.C. § 112	
35 U.S.C. § 120	
35 U.S.C. 8 365(c)	g



Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,399

Regulations:

37 C.F.R. § 42.8	2, 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	•
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

