UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
APPLE INC. Petitioner,
v.
PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG Patent Owner
Case IPR2016-01842 Patent 9,189,437

DECLARATION OF EREZ ZADOK, PH.D.
IN SUPPORT OF THE REPLY TO THE PATENT OWNER RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	. Background			
II.	The disputed features of independent claims 1 and 43			
	A.	A. Ground 1: "the processed and digitized analog data is stored in the data storage memory as at least one file of digitized analog data."		
	В.	Grounds 1 and 5: "an automatic recognition process" and "automatically generating and transmitting an identification parameter."	7	
	C.	Grounds 1 and 5: "without requiring any user-loaded file transfer enabling software" and "without requiring the user to load the device driver."	16	
Ш.	Conclusion			



I. Background

- I, Dr. Erez Zadok, declare as follows:
- 1. I submit this declaration in support of Apple Inc.'s Reply to the Patent Owner Response in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,189,437 ("the '437 patent"). I understand that the '437 patent is currently assigned to Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG.
- 2. This declaration supplements my October 11, 2016 declaration submitted as Exhibit 1003 in the above-referenced proceeding.
- 3. I understand that my curriculum vitae has been submitted into the record of this proceeding as Exhibit 1004.
- 4. In preparing this declaration, in addition to my knowledge and experience, I have reviewed and am familiar with the following references:

Configurable Data Manipulation in an Attached Multiprocessor by Marc F. Pucci ("Pucci") (Ex. 1041);

The SCSI Bus and IDE Interface—Protocols, Applications and Programming by Friedhelm Schmidt ("Schmidt") (Ex. 1007);

U.S. Pat. No. 4,790,003 to Kepley et al., titled "Message Service System Network" ("Kepley") (Ex. 1042);

Declaration of Thomas A. Gafford ("Gafford Decl.") (Exhibit 2005);



Transcripts of the 1st and 2nd depositions of Mr. Gafford ("Gafford Depn. I" and "Gafford Depn. II") (Exhibits 1055 and 1056);

The Institution Decision ("Inst. Dec."); and

Patent Owner's Response to the Petition for *Inter*Partes Review ("POR").

5. I have also considered all other materials cited herein and cited in my declaration in support of the Petition.

II. The disputed features of independent claims 1 and 43.

6. I understand the Board instituted the following grounds.

Ground	Claims	Basis	References
1	1, 4-6, 9-12, 14, 15, 30, 34	§ 103	Pucci, Kepley, Schmidt
2	16	§ 103	Pucci, Kepley, Schmidt, Shinosky
3	13, 18	§ 103	Pucci, Kepley, Schmidt, Campbell
4	32	§ 103	Pucci, Kepley, Schmidt, Wilson
5	43	§ 103	Pucci, Schmidt
6	45	§ 103	Pucci, Schmidt, Campbell



- 7. I understand that the Patent Owner ("Papst"), in its Patent Owner Response, provided arguments specific to only the independent claims. In this declaration, I reiterate why a person having ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") would have combined the references in a manner that teaches the disputed features.
 - A. Ground 1: "the processed and digitized analog data is stored in the data storage memory as at least one file of digitized analog data."
- 8. I understand that Papst argues that a POSITA would not have combined Pucci, Kepley, and Schmidt such that "the processed and digitized analog data is stored in the data storage memory as at least one file of digitized analog data," as recited in independent claim 1. Specifically, I understand that Papst argues that incorporating Kepley's "file storage system" into Pucci would "significantly impact Pucci's principle of operation and prevent Pucci from achieving its purpose of permitting data flow into the host as it is acquired." (POR, p. 17.) In support of this position, Mr. Gafford testified that using files in Pucci's ION system would run counter to Pucci's "requirement for moving analog data" based on "memory buffered data transfer." (Ex. 2005, Gafford Decl., ¶ 52.) I disagree.
- 9. Pucci does not criticize, discredit, or otherwise discourage the use of a file-storage system. Pucci instead encourages the use of a traditional file-storage system. As Mr. Gafford acknowledged during his deposition, data in the buffer can



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

