

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO., KG,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01842
Patent 9,189,437

**PATENT OWNER PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO., KG'S RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN DISPUTE.....	1
II.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED	1
B.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘437 PATENT	2
C.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
D.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	7
E.	SUMMARY OF PATENT OWNER’S ARGUMENTS	9
III.	PATENT OWNER’S RESPONSE TO PETITIONER’S GROUNDS OF REJECTION.....	12
A.	PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY, CAMPBELL AND WILSON DO NOT RENDER CLAIMS 1, 4-6, 9-16, 18, 30, 32, 34, 43 AND 45 OBVIOUS.....	12
1.	OVERVIEW OF PUCCI	12
2.	OVERVIEW OF SCHMIDT	13
3.	OVERVIEW OF KEPLEY	13
4.	PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY, CAMPBELL AND WILSON DO NOT DISCLOSE THE “WHEREIN THE PROCESSOR IS ADAPTED TO IMPLEMENT A DATA GENERATION PROCESS BY WHICH ANALOG DATA IS ACQUIRED FROM EACH RESPECTIVE ANALOG ACQUISITION CHANNEL OF A PLURALITY OF INDEPENDENT ANALOG ACQUISITION CHANNELS, THE ANALOG DATA FROM EACH RESPECTIVE	

CHANNEL IS DIGITIZED, COUPLED INTO THE PROCESSOR, AND IS PROCESSED BY THE PROCESSOR, AND THE PROCESSED AND DIGITIZED ANALOG DATA IS STORED IN THE DATA STORAGE MEMORY AS AT LEAST ONE FILE OF DIGITIZED ANALOG DATA” LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 OF THE ‘437 PATENT14

5. PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY, CAMPBELL AND WILSON DO NOT DISCLOSE THE “WHEREIN THE PROCESSOR ALSO IS ADAPTED TO BE INVOLVED IN AN AUTOMATIC RECOGNITION PROCESS OF A HOST COMPUTER IN WHICH, WHEN THE I/O PORT IS OPERATIVELY INTERFACED WITH A MULTI-PURPOSE INTERFACE OF THE HOST COMPUTER, THE PROCESSOR EXECUTES AT LEAST ONE INSTRUCTION SET STORED IN THE PROGRAM MEMORY AND THEREBY CAUSES AT LEAST ONE PARAMETER IDENTIFYING THE ANALOG DATA GENERATING AND PROCESSING DEVICE, INDEPENDENT OF ANALOG DATA SOURCE, AS A DIGITAL STORAGE DEVICE INSTEAD OF AS AN ANALOG DATA GENERATING AND PROCESSING DEVICE TO BE AUTOMATICALLY SENT THROUGH THE I/O PORT AND TO THE MULTI-PURPOSE INTERFACE OF THE COMPUTER” LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 OF THE ‘437 PATENT OR THE CORRESPONDING LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 43 OF THE ‘437 PATENT.....18

6. PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY, CAMPBELL AND WILSON DO NOT DISCLOSE THE “WITHOUT REQUIRING ANY USER-LOADED FILE TRANSFER ENABLING SOFTWARE TO BE LOADED ON OR INSTALLED IN THE COMPUTER AT ANY TIME” LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 OF THE ‘437 PATENT OR THE CORRESPONDING LIMITATION OF INDEPENDENT CLAIM 43 OF THE ‘437 PATENT.....24

7. PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY, CAMPBELL

AND WILSON DO NOT RENDER OBVIOUS DEPENDENT
CLAIMS 4-6, 9-16, 18, 30, 32, 34 AND 45 OF THE '437
PATENT30

B. PUCCI AND SCHMIDT AND PUCCI AND KEPLEY WOULD
NOT BE COMBINED BY ONE SKILLED IN THE ART31

C. *INTER PARTES* REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL35

IV. CONCLUSION36

EXHIBIT LIST

Previously and Currently Filed – Patent Owner

Ex. No.	Description
2001	U.S. Patent No. 5,508,821 to Murata
2002	August 24, 2006 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,092 resulting in '437 Patent
2003	July 17, 2007 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,092 resulting in '437 Patent
2004	January 2, 2008 Preliminary Amendment for U.S. Application No. 11/467,092 resulting in '437 Patent
2005	Declaration of Thomas A. Gafford in Support of Patent Owner Response (“Gafford Declaration”)
2006	<i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-15-cv-01095 (E.D. Tex.), Claim Construction Memorandum and Order issued March 7, 2017

Previously Filed – Petitioner

Ex. No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 9,189,437 to Tasler
1002	File History Excerpts for U.S. Patent 9,189,437
1003	Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,189,437
1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Erez Zadok
1005	<i>Intentionally left blank</i>
1006	<i>Intentionally left blank</i>
1007	“The SCSI Bus and IDE Interface Protocols, Applications and Programming,” Schmidt, Friedhelm, 1995
1008	<i>Intentionally left blank</i>
1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,727,512 to Birkner
1010	U.S. Patent No. 4,792,896 to Maclean

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.