

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO., KG,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01842
Patent 9,189,437

**DECLARATION OF THOMAS A. GAFFORD UNDER 37 C.F.R. §
42.53 IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER RESPONSE UNDER 37
C.F.R. § 42.120**

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG.
Petitioner – Apple, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	2
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED	6
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7
V.	APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS.....	8
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘437 PATENT.....	13
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	16
VIII.	OVERVIEW OF PUCCI	18
IX.	OVERVIEW OF SCHMIDT.....	19
X.	OVERVIEW OF KEPLEY	19
XI.	VALIDITY OF THE ‘437 PATENT OVER PUCCI, SCHMIDT, KEPLEY, SHINOSKY, CAMPBELL AND WILSON.....	19

I. Introduction

1. I have been retained on behalf of Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG (“Patent Owner” or “Papst”), and its counsel, DiNovo Price Ellwanger LLP, as an expert in this proceeding. I am personally knowledgeable about the matters stated herein.

2. I understand that Dr. Erez Zadok has provided a declaration (“Zadok Declaration”) on behalf of Apple Inc. (“Petitioner” or “Apple”), indicating that claims 1, 4-6, 9-16, 18, 30, 32, 34, 43 and 45 of United States Patent No. 9,189,437 (“437 patent”) are invalid.

3. I have been asked to provide my conclusions and bases thereof regarding several aspects of the issues in dispute. Based on my investigation in this matter, I conclude that Petitioner and Dr. Zadok have failed to show that any of the claims discussed in the Petition or Zadok Declaration are invalid for anticipation or obviousness.

4. I receive compensation at my standard hourly rate of \$550 per hour for my time working on this matter, plus expenses. I have no financial interest in Papst or the ‘437 patent, and my compensation is not dependent on the outcome of this *inter partes* review (“IPR”) or the underlying litigation. The conclusions I present are due to my own judgment.

5. I reserve the right to modify and supplement the analysis and

conclusions proposed herein based upon additional information including any additional fact discovery or expert discovery by the parties.

II. Background and Qualifications

6. My qualifications as an expert in the field of computer peripherals and data transfer between a computer and peripheral devices, relevant to the subject matter claimed in the '437 patent, are provided in the paragraphs below. A copy of my latest curriculum vitae (CV) is attached as Exhibit A, which provides further details regarding my background and qualifications. This CV identifies a list of all cases in which I have testified at trial or at deposition.

7. I have over forty years of experience with electronics and electrical engineering, including extensive knowledge and experience with analog and digital electronic circuitry, digital computer technology, computer peripherals, control systems, digital communications, operating systems, and related software and hardware components. My technical expertise relevant to the subject matter claimed in the '437 patent includes my understanding of computer peripherals, analog and digital circuitry, interface devices, device drivers, file systems, SCSI standards, data buses, and operating systems.

8. As a summary of my employment and education history, I worked as a Sergeant and Instructor for the United States Air Force as a maintenance technician for air defense computer systems from 1967–1970. After leaving the Air Force, I

earned my Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering in 1972 from the University of Washington. After graduating, I was a candidate for a Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering at Stanford University from 1972–1973, and I worked from 1973–1976 as an Engineer at Stanford University’s Artificial Intelligence Laboratory. My duties included the design, construction, and debugging of motor controls and sensor electronics for robotics and computer interfaces.

9. After leaving Stanford, I founded G Systems in 1976, which managed the hardware and software design and development of computer transaction processing systems for a variety of applications and customers. Projects included writing communications software and device drivers, design of hardware and software interfaces for disk controllers, designing peripheral switches incorporated into system products, communications controllers, co-design of mainframe computers, and other projects.

10. In 1983, I co-founded and served as head of engineering of Softix Incorporated. Softix designed and produced systems to control and sell entertainment tickets by ticket agencies and large arenas in the United States, Canada, Australia, and Hong Kong. My duties at Softix included managing software development efforts; developing architecture, design, sales, contracting, production, and field support of large-scale software and hardware systems; and analyzing, debugging, and writing software application and driver programs for feature

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.