

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01839
Patent 6,470,399

**PETITIONER APPLE INC.'S
REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Claim Construction.....	2
A.	The word “ <i>an</i> ” in the phrase “ <i>it is an input/output device customary in a host device</i> ” means “one or more.”	3
B.	The word “ <i>it</i> ” in the phrase “ <i>it is an input/output device customary in a host device</i> ” refers to the device inquired by the host device.....	5
C.	The “/” in the term “ <i>input/output device</i> ” means “and/or.”	7
II.	The combination of Kawaguchi and Schmidt discloses the disputed “ <i>wherein</i> ” limitations of the independent claims.	7
A.	Kawaguchi’s four units are each identified as disk drives in response to separate SCSI INQUIRY commands.....	8
B.	Kawaguchi’s units, in combination with the SCSI details of Schmidt, disclose the inquiry response recited in the ’399 claims.	14
III.	PO’s arguments have no merit.....	17
A.	PO’s arguments regarding an allegedly missing limitation are based on technical inaccuracies having no support in the record.	17
1.	PO’s “read-only” argument mischaracterizes the prior art and is contrary to the explicit language of the claims.....	18
2.	PO’s “single device” argument is based on an inaccurate reading of the claims.....	20
B.	A POSITA would have combined Kawaguchi and Schmidt.	23
C.	PO does not separately argue patentability of dependent claims 3 and 5.	25
IV.	PO’s arguments do not rely on translation differences.	25
V.	PO’s belief of unconstitutionality is not a request for relief.	26
VI.	Conclusion.	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

Convolve, Inc. v. Compaq Computer Corp.,
812 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....3

LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,
453 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2006),
rev'd. on other grounds, 553 U.S. 617 (2008)3

In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation,
778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....5

Vivid Techs. v. Amer. Science,
200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....2

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 6,470,399 to Tasler
1002	File History for U.S. Patent 6,470,399
1003	Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,399
1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Erez Zadok
1005	Japanese Patent Application Publication H4-15853 to Kawaguchi <i>et al.</i> (English Translation) (“Kawaguchi”)
1006	Japanese Patent Application Publication H4-15853 to Kawaguchi <i>et al.</i> (Original Japanese)
1007	<i>The SCSI Bus and IDE Interface Protocols, Applications and Programming</i> , by Schmidt, First Edition, Addison-Wesley, 1995
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,506,692 to Murata
1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,727,512 to Birkner
1010	U.S. Patent No. 4,792,896 to Maclean
1011	International Publication Number WO 92/21224 to Jorgensen
1012	Small Computer System Interface-2 (SCSI-2), ANSI X3.131-1994, American National Standard for Information Systems (ANSI).
1013	<i>Operating System Concepts</i> , by Silberschatz <i>et al.</i> , Fourth Edition.
1014	<i>Microsoft Computer Dictionary</i> , Third Edition, Microsoft Press, 1997.
1015	<i>McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms</i> , Fifth Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1994.
1016	<i>In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation</i> , 778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015).
1017	<i>The Art of Electronics</i> , by Horowitz <i>et al.</i> , First Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1980.
1018	<i>The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms</i> , Sixth Edition, 1996.
1019	<i>Webster’s Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language</i> , Random House, 1996.
1020	<i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-15-cv-01095 (E.D. Tex.), Complaint filed November 30, 2015
1021	“Principles of Data Acquisition and Conversion,” Burr-Brown Application Bulletin, 1994.
1022	“Principles of Data Acquisition and Conversion,” Intersil Application Note, October 1986.

<u>Ex. No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1023	“Sample-and-Hold Amplifiers,” Analog Devices MT-090 Tutorial, 2009.
1024	Declaration of Scott Bennett
1025	<i>Discrete-Time Signal Processing</i> , by Oppenheim <i>et al.</i> , First Edition, Prentice-Hall, 1989.
1026-1030	<i>Intentionally left blank</i>
1031	Plug-and-Play SCSI Specification, Version 1.0, dated March 30, 1994 (“PNP SCSI”)
1032	Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok in Support of Reply for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,399
1033	Transcript of Deposition of Mr. Gafford
1034	U.S. Patent No. 5,089,958 to Horton <i>et al.</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.