

**Expert Declaration in IPR2016-01786 & IPR2016-01827
for U.S. Patent No. 8,851,951 by Rutledge entitled
“Sucker Rod Apparatus and Method”**

Prepared by:

Gary R. Wooley

Wooley & Associates, Inc.

3100 S. Gessner, Suite 325
Houston, Texas 77063
Phone 713.781.8974
Email gary@wooley.com

Prepared for:

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

1000 Louisiana St., Suite 4000
Houston, Texas 77002-5006
Phone 713.890.5165

15 September 2016

Petitioners' Exhibit 1010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
A. Background and Qualifications.....	1
B. Engagement.....	2
C. Materials Consulted.....	4
II. Basic Oil Well Sucker Rods.....	5
A. Field Use of Oil Sucker Rods.....	5
B. Overview of Forces Encountered During Sucker Rod Use.....	10
C. Common Problems to Address in the Field.....	17
III. Legal Standards for Patentability.....	28
A. Anticipation.....	28
B. Obviousness.....	29
IV. Overview of U.S. Patent 8,851,951 to Rutledge.....	32
A. Rutledge '951 Patent Effective Filing Date.....	32
B. Overview of the Rutledge '951 Patent.....	32
C. Prosecution History of the Rutledge '951 Patent.....	36
V. Relevant Field of Art.....	39
VI. Level of Ordinary Skill.....	40
VII. Claims of the Rutledge '951 Patent.....	41
VIII. Claim Constructions.....	48
A. Claim Construction Standard.....	48
B. Construction of The Rutledge '951 Patent Claim Terms.....	49
IX. Background Invalidity Analysis.....	57
A. Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	57
B. The '951 Rutledge Patent Claim Sets.....	57
C. Overview of the Prior Art Patents.....	59
D. Motivation to Combine The Prior Art Patents.....	61

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
X. Ground 1: Claims 4, 7, 8, 14, 15, 17, 21, 22, 35, 47, and 60-69 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over the Rutledge '431 Patent in view of Iwasaki.....	63
A. The Rutledge '431 and Iwasaki Patents Disclose Each Element of Claims 4, 7, 14, and 21	63
B. The Rutledge '431 Patent Discloses Each Additional Element of Claims 8 and 35.....	93
C. Iwasaki Discloses Each Additional Element of Claims 15 and 22	99
D. Iwasaki Discloses Each Additional Element of Claim 17	101
E. Independent Claim 60	104
F. The Rutledge '431 and Iwasaki Patents Discloses Each Additional Element of Claims 61 and 62.....	118
G. The Rutledge '431 and Iwasaki Patents Discloses Each Additional Element of Claim 63	122
H. Claims 65 – 69 Are Obvious For The Same Reasons As Claims 4, 7, 14, and 21	128
XI. Ground 2: Claims 6, 50, 52, 57, and 59 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over the Rutledge '431 and Iwasaki Patents further in view of Anderson.....	130
A. Anderson Discloses Each Additional Element of Claims 6 and 50	131
B. Anderson Discloses Each Additional Element of Claim 52	Error! Bookmark not d
C. Anderson Discloses Each Additional Element of Claim 57	135
D. Anderson Discloses Each Additional Element of Claim 59	136
XII. Conclusion	137
XIII. Appendix.....	139
A. Resumé for Gary R. Wooley	139
B. List of Recent Wooley Testimony	140

I. Introduction

A. Background and Qualifications

1. My name is Gary R. Wooley. I am currently President of Wooley & Associates, Inc., a petroleum and mechanical engineering consulting firm. A copy of my current *curriculum vitae* more fully setting forth my experiences and qualifications is submitted herewith in the Appendix to this Report.

2. I have more than 40 years of petroleum industry experience most of which has been in the upstream sector dealing with drilling, completions, production, reservoir performance and other subjects including artificial lift such as rod pumping. I received my university training at Louisiana State University in Baton Rouge, Louisiana and was awarded a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering in 1969, an M.S. in Engineering Science in 1970 and Ph.D. in Engineering Science with minors in Applied Mathematics and Mechanical Engineering in 1972.

3. I was trained in the petroleum industry by four major oil companies, Shell, Chevron, Humble (Exxon) and ARCo and have been a consulting engineer since 1978 with clients that include all of the major oil companies, service contractors and supply companies and many smaller companies. I have conducted laboratory and field tests, developed computer models, and designed and evaluated downhole oilfield equipment. I have worked on multiple artificial lift projects including rod pumps.

4. This report contains facts, opinions and conclusions based on my training and experience and the information reviewed at the time of this writing. My resumé is also presented in the Appendix along with my recent testimony.

5. This report contains my general opinions, but obviously not all details are included. If asked questions on these facts and opinions or other subjects, I may have opinions not specifically listed herein. There may be documents and testimony that support my opinions that are not included herein.

6. As additional information is examined, these facts, opinions and conclusions may be changed and/or supplemented. Upon review of additional documents and testimony I may supplement or revise my opinions. Also, after reading reports by defendants' experts, I may have opinions to rebut those expert opinions.

B. Engagement

7. I have been retained by John Crane, Inc. and John Crane Production Solutions, Inc. ("John Crane") in connection with the Petitions for Inter Partes Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent No. 9,045,951 ("the '951 Patent"), Case Nos. IPR2016-01786 and IPR2016-01827. I submit this declaration in support of John Crane's requests for IPR of the '951 Patent. I understand that my testimony will be submitted for the purposes of testimonial evidence in IPR2016-01786 and IPR2016-01827 to be considered before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.