

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NETFLIX, INC. and ROKU, INC.,  
and AT&T SERVICES, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

CONVERGENT MEDIA SOLUTIONS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01761  
Case IPR2017-01235  
Patent 8,850,507 B2<sup>1</sup>

---

Before JAMESON LEE, KEN B. BARRETT, and JOHN F. HORVATH,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

LEE, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Granting-In-Part Joint Motion to Terminate  
Granting Request to Treat Agreements as Business Confidential Information  
*37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.74(c)*

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2017-01235 has been joined with IPR2016-01761.

IPR2016-01761  
IPR2017-01235  
Patent 8,850,507 B2

On March 9, 2017, we joined IPR2017-01235 with IPR2016-01761. Paper 15. Thus, there are now two petitioner entities in this joined proceeding, Netflix Inc. and Roku Inc. as one, and AT&T Services Inc., as the other. We refer to the first petitioner entity as “Netflix/Roku” and the second petitioner entity as “AT&T.” On May 1, 2017, Netflix/Roku and Patent Owner filed a joint motion to terminate this *inter partes* review, on the basis that they have settled the dispute between them regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,850,507 B2. Paper 13, 2–4. Also on May 1, 2017, Netflix/Roku and Patent Owner filed a copy of their written settlement agreement (Ex. 2001 (between Netflix Inc. and Patent Owner) and Ex. 2002 (between Roku Inc. and Patent owner)), and a joint request to have their settlement agreements treated as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) (Paper 14).

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” The requirement for terminating this proceeding with respect to Netflix/Roku is met.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “If no petitioner remains in the inter partes review, the Office may terminate the review or proceed to a final written decision under section 318(a).” AT&T, however, remains a petitioner in this

IPR2016-01761  
IPR2017-01235  
Patent 8,850,507 B2

proceeding after termination of Netflix/Roku. It is therefore inappropriate to terminate Patent Owner from this proceeding.

It is

ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate proceeding both as to Netflix/Roku and Patent Owner is *granted-in-part*, and that this *inter partes* review is hereby terminated as to Netflix Inc. and Roku Inc. but not as to Convergent Media Solution, LLC as Patent Owner; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the joint request of Netflix/Roku and Patent Owner to have their settlement agreements (Exhibits 2001 and 2002) treated as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) is *granted*.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> The status of Exhibits 2001 and 2002 in the record was re-designated as “Board only” on May 9, 2017, prior to entry of a copy of the decision instituting trial in Case IPR12017-01235 and granting request for joinder of Case IPR2017-01235 with Case IPR2016-01761 (Paper 15).

IPR2016-01761  
IPR2017-01235  
Patent 8,850,507 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Chun Ng  
Patrick McKeever  
Vinay Sathe  
Miguel Bombach  
Kevin Kantharia  
Matthew Bernstein  
cng@perkinscoie.com  
pmckeever@perkinscoie.com  
vsathe@perkinscoie.com  
mbombach@perkinscoie.com  
kkantharia@perkinscoie.com  
mbernstein@perkinscoie.com

Kurt Pankratz  
Brian Johnston  
Roger Fluhum  
Eliot Williams  
kurt.pankratz@bakerbotts.com  
brian.johnston@bakerbotts.com  
roger.fulghum@bakerbotts.com  
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Matthew Juren  
Barry Bumgardner  
matthew@nelbum.com  
barry@nelbum.com