# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., Petitioner,

v.

TQ DELTA, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01466 and IPR2016-01760 Patent 8,611,404 B2 and 9,094,268 B2

Record of Oral Hearing Held: November 8, 2017

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, TREVOR M. JEFFERSON and MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



### **APPEARANCES:**

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

THEODORE M. FOSTER, ESQUIRE DAVID MCCOMBS, ESQUIRE Haynes and Boone, LLP 2323 Victory Avenue Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75219

## ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

RAJENDRA A. CHIPLUNKAR, ESQUIRE PETER MCANDREWS, ESQUIRE McAndrews Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison Street 34th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing Wednesday, November 8, 2017, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE CLEMENTS: Good afternoon. This is the final hearing for
4	IPR2016-01466 and 01760 between petitioner, Cisco Systems, Inc. and
5	patent owner, TQ Delta, LLC.
6	I'm Judge Clements, participating remotely from San Jose. In the
7	room with you are Judges Medley and Jefferson. And at this time we'd like
8	counsel to introduce yourselves beginning with petitioner, please.
9	MR. MCCOMBS: Your Honor, this is David McCombs here
10	representing Cisco Systems. With me is Michael Parsons and Theo Foster.
11	And Theo Foster will be making our presentation today.
12	JUDGE CLEMENTS: Okay. Thank you.
13	And patent owner?
14	MR. MCANDREWS: Good afternoon, Your Honors. I'm Peter
15	McAndrews on behalf of patent owner TQ Delta. With me I have Rajendra
16	Chiplunkar who will be making the argument Tom Wimbiscus and
17	Chris Scharff. I also have a representative from the client, Nabha Rege.
18	That's spelled N-A-B-H-A, R-E-G-E. Thank you. JUDGE CLEMENTS:
19	Thank you. Before we proceed, I have a couple reminders. Number one,
20	each party will have 45 minutes of total time for arguments in the two cases.
21	Petitioner will proceed first and reserve rebuttal time. Thereafter, patent
22	owner will respond to the petitioner's presentation, and petitioner may then
23	make use of any time it has reserved.
24	Second, with respect to demonstratives, please refer to the slide
25	number so it will appear on the record and so I can follow along remotely. I



1	have a copy of your demonstratives here in front of me.
2	Any questions, petitioner?
3	MR. MCCOMBS: No, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE CLEMENTS: Okay. Any questions, counsel for patent
5	owner?
6	MR. MCANDREWS: No, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE CLEMENTS: Okay. Very good.
8	Mr. Foster, would you like to reserve any rebuttal time?
9	MR. FOSTER: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to reserve 15 minutes.
10	JUDGE CLEMENTS: Okay. 15 minutes. I'll give you a warning as
11	we get close to that. And otherwise, you may begin when ready.
12	MR. FOSTER: Thank you.
13	Good afternoon. May it please the Board. I believe the panel is
14	already familiar with the patents and the technology involved in these two
15	cases. And so I will jump straight into the issues. And going to slide 2,
16	there are four issues that I've tried to encapsulate the arguments that patent
17	owner has made regarding the obviousness of the two patents at issue.
18	And so I will go straight to slide 6. And the first of those issues, which
19	is that Bowie, our primary reference, stores loop transmission characteristics
20	which are associated with full power mode. And looking at slide 7, I have a
21	short quotation from the patent owner's own response, where patent owner
22	acknowledges that Bowie has a teaching to store in memory characteristics
23	of the loop. So that is the line between two communicating transceivers.
24	And then the second quote and this is, again, from patent owner's
25	response their footnote, number 1, page 10, of their response, where they
26	acknowledge that when Bowie is talking about characteristics of the loop or



1 loop characteristics, those are indeed loop transmission characteristics. 2 Those are characteristics or parameters associated with the transmission of 3 data. And patent owner acknowledges that the term loop characteristics and 4 loop transmission characteristics are used interchangeably in Bowie. And so 5 I believe that shows that what Bowie is storing are indeed parameters 6 associated with the transmission of data and associated with full power 7 mode. 8 Moving to slide 8 and looking at quotations from Bowie itself as I 9 highlighted at the top of the slide, Bowie describes storing loop 10 characteristics and that that enables the rapid resumption of user data 11 transmission after exiting low power mode. 12 So again, Bowie is describing that by storing these characteristics, it 13 can resume data transmission. Therefore, those parameters being stored, the 14 characteristics being stored, are associated with full power mode in data transmission. 15 16 Moving to slide 9, and this is an issue that is specific to the '404 17 patent, which, in its independent claims, has a further limitation describing 18 the parameters that are stored and requiring that they include a bit allocation 19 of the fine gain parameter. And our position there is that that concept is 20 obvious over the combination of Bowie and the ANSI T.1413 standard. 21 As we see in the quotations on slide 9 from Bowie, Bowie is storing in 22 memory the characteristics that are determined during handshaking. And 23 that handshaking process includes a process in which parameters and values, 24 these -- characteristics is what it calls them -- those values are exchanged. 25 And the ANSI standard further explains that exchange process and 26 explains that, included in the exchange of parameters during initialization of



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

