From: rsmith@wsgr.com
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 6:14 PM
To: Cohen, Justin S.
Subject: RE: Chrimar v. ADTRAN et al. - Potential Motion to Stay

Justin,

Does Chrimar have any interest in revisiting stipulating to the transfer of Aerohive to the NDCA? We're close to filing additional ex parte reexamination petitions, but we'd delay doing so if our case is placed on the same schedule as the other defendants in the NDCA.

Ryan

From: Smith, Ryan
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 4:01 PM
To: 'Cohen, Justin S.'; ADTRAN-DEFENDANTS; Chrimar_JDG@ltlattorneys.com
Cc: Chrimar-CV618
Subject: RE: Chrimar v. ADTRAN et al. - Potential Motion to Stay

Justin,

Aerohive take the same position as Dell regarding Chrimar's proposal.

Best regards,

Ryan R. Smith |Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati | 650 Page Mill Road | Palo Alto, CA 94304 | Direct: 650.849.3345 | Cell: 650.269.0822 |Email: rsmith@wsgr.com

---

From: Cohen, Justin S. [mailto:Justin.Cohen@tklaw.com]
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 3:55 PM
To: ADTRAN-DEFENDANTS; Chrimar_JDG@ltlattorneys.com
Cc: Chrimar-CV618
Subject: RE: Chrimar v. ADTRAN et al. - Potential Motion to Stay

Counsel – thus far, Dell has responded that it is interested in Chrimar's stay proposal. I have not received substantive responses from any other defendants.

Based on the flurry of 3rd party subpoenas and new discovery requests, we assume that the remainder are not interested in Chrimar's proposal of staying the case until December.

Justin S. Cohen | Thompson & Knight LLP
214.969.1211 (direct)
Justin.cohen@tklaw.com | vCard | Bio