

Paper No. ____
Filed: September 8, 2016

Filed on behalf of: Aerohive Networks, Inc.
By: Matthew A. Argenti (margenti@wsgr.com)
Michael T. Rosato (mrosato@wsgr.com)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH AND ROSATI

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AEROHIVE NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2016-01758
U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838 B2

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 9,019,838**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. Compliance with Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	2
A. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))	2
1. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	2
2. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	2
3. Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3)-(4)).....	2
B. Fee for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	3
C. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	3
III. Relevant Background on the '838 Patent	4
A. Level of Ordinary Skill	4
B. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '838 Patent.....	4
C. Priority Claims in the '838 Patent	6
D. Priority Date of the '838 Patent.....	6
1. The challenged claims are not entitled to the April 10, 1998 filing date of the '279 provisional.	7
2. Inventor testimony alone cannot establish an earlier invention date as a matter of law.....	10
3. The Boenke letters do not establish an invention date.	11
IV. State of the Art.....	11
V. Claim Construction	13
A. "BaseT"	13

VI.	Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) and Reasonable Likelihood that the Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable	14
A.	The challenged claims are invalid based on the De Nicolo references.....	14
1.	De Nicolo References	14
2.	Reasons to Combine the De Nicolo References	15
3.	Independent Claim 1	17
a.	“A central piece of network equipment”	17
b.	“at least one Ethernet connector comprising first and second pairs of contacts used to carry BaseT Ethernet communication signals”	18
c.	“the central piece of network equipment to detect different magnitudes of DC current flow via at least one of the contacts of the first and second pairs of contacts”	20
d.	“[the central piece of network equipment] to control application of at least one electrical condition to at least one of the contacts of the first and second pairs of contacts in response to at least one of the magnitudes of the DC current flow”	22
4.	Dependent Claim 2: “wherein the different magnitudes of DC current flow are part of a detection protocol”	24
5.	Dependent Claim 7: “wherein the central piece of network equipment to provide at least one DC current via at least one of the contacts of the first and second pairs of contacts and to detect distinguishing information within the DC current via the at least one of the contacts of the first and second pairs of contacts”	25
6.	Dependent Claim 26: “wherein the central piece of network equipment to distinguish one end device from at least one other end device based on at least one of the magnitudes of the DC current flow”	27
7.	Dependent Claim 29: “wherein the central piece of network equipment to distinguish one network object	

from at least one other network object based on at least one of the magnitudes of the DC current flow”28

8. Dependent Claim 38: “wherein the central piece of network equipment comprises at least one DC supply”28

9. Dependent Claim 40: “wherein the central piece of network equipment to control application of the at least one DC power signal”29

10. Dependent Claim 47: “wherein the at least one electrical condition comprises at least one voltage condition”30

11. Dependent Claim 55: “wherein the different magnitudes of DC current flow comprise a first magnitude followed by a second magnitude”31

12. Dependent Claim 69: “wherein the at least one magnitude of DC current flow is used by the central piece of network equipment to control application of at least one DC power signal”31

VII. Conclusion.....32

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Number	Short Name	Description
1001	'760 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760
1002	'760 Assignment Records	USPTO Assignments on the Web for U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760
1003	'107 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 8,942,107
1004	'107 Assignment Records	USPTO Assignments on the Web for U.S. Patent No. 8,942,107
1005	'838 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838
1006	'838 Assignment Records	USPTO Assignments on the Web for U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838
1007	'019 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 9,049,019
1008	'019 Assignment Records	USPTO Assignments on the Web for U.S. Patent No. 9,049,019
1009	Seifert Decl.	Declaration of Richard Seifert
1010	Seifert CV	Curriculum Vitae of Rich Seifert
1011	Seifert Materials	List of Materials Reviewed by Rich Seifert
1012	'760 Actions	List of Pending Civil Actions for U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760
1013	'107 Actions	List of Pending Civil Actions for U.S. Patent No. 8,942,107
1014	'838 Actions	List of Pending Civil Actions for U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838
1015	'019 Actions	List of Pending Civil Actions for U.S. Patent No. 9,049,019
1016	'260 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 5,406,260

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.