

**United States Patent and Trademark Office**

---

Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

---

Aerohive Networks, Inc.,  
Petitioner

v.

Chrimar Systems, Inc.  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2016-01757  
U.S. Patent No. 8,942,107

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(A) AND**

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION TO  
AEROHIVE'S MOTION FOR JOINDER**

## Table of Contents

Introduction and Summary of Arguments. . . . .	1
Summary of Argument . . . . .	2
Background . . . . .	4
A.    Status of Related Litigation . . . . .	4
B.    Status of Related IPRs . . . . .	4
Arguments and Authorities . . . . .	5
A.    Aerohive’s Petition should be Denied because it was not Timely Filed. Institution is Barred by 35 U.S.C. § 315(b). . . . .	5
1.    Aerohive does not Contest that it Filed this IPR Petition More than One Year after it was Served with a Complaint for Infringement of the ’107 Patent. . . . .	5
2.    Aerohive’s Motion for Joinder does not Exempt its Petition from the Time-Bar of § 315(b).. . . . .	6
B.    Patent Owner’s Response and Opposition to Petitioner’s Motion for Joinder . . . . .	11
1.    The Motion for Joinder should be Denied Because the Motion was not Authorized by the Board. . . . .	11

2.	Aerohive does not meet the statutory requirement for joinder to the -569 IPR. . . . .	13
3.	The Motion for Joinder should be Denied Because Aerohive did not Meet its Burden to Show it is Entitled to Joinder.. . . .	14
C.	If the Motion for Joinder is Granted, this Case should be Terminated and other Procedural Protections should be Imposed. . . . .	17
	Conclusion . . . . .	19
	Certificate of Service. . . . .	22

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*AMX, LLC v. Chrimar Systems, Inc.*,  
IPR2016-00573, Paper 25 (PTAB Nov. 9, 2016) . . . . . 16

*City of Arlington v. FCC*,  
133 S.Ct. 1863 (2013) . . . . . 9

*Google, Inc. v. PersonalWeb Techs., LLC*,  
IPR2014-00977, Paper 10 (PTAB Oct. 30, 2014) . . . . . 13

*Hyundai Motor Co. v. American Vehicular Sciences LLC*,  
IPR2014-01543, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 24, 2014) . . . . . 19

*Sony Corp. of America v. Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc.*,  
IPR2013-00495, Paper 13 (PTAB Sept. 16, 2013) . . . . . 19

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 311 . . . . . 2

35 U.S.C. § 313 . . . . . 1

35 U.S.C. § 315 . . . . . passim

35 U.S.C. § 316 . . . . . 1

**Other Authorities**

Office Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14, 2012) . . . . . 16

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.1 . . . . . 17

37 C.F.R. § 42.20. . . . . 2, 11, 12, 14

37 C.F.R. § 42.25. . . . . 13

37 C.F.R. § 42.101 . . . . . 8

37 C.F.R. § 42.107 . . . . . 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.120 . . . . . 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.122 . . . . . 8, 9, 10, 14

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.