UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

REALTIME DATA LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01737 (Patent 8,880,862) Case IPR2016-01738 (Patent 8,880,862)

Case IPR2016-01739 (Patent 8,880,862)

Record of Oral Hearing Held: January 8, 2018

Before GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, JASON J. CHUNG, and JEFFREY A. STEPHENS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

W. KARL RENNER, ESQUIRE JEREMY J. MONALDO, ESQUIRE ANDREW PATRICK, ESQUIRE Fish & Richardson, P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W., Eleventh Floor Washington, D.C. 20005

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JOSEPH EDELL, ESQUIRE Fisch Sigler, LLP 5301 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., Fourth Floor Washington, D.C. 20015

and

KAYVAN B. NOROOZI, ESQUIRE Noroozi, P.C. 1299 Ocean Avenue, Suite 450 Santa Monica, California 90401

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Monday, January 8, 2018, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



PROCEEDINGS

1	
2	JUDGE BRADEN: Good afternoon. We are convened for oral
3	arguments in IPR2016-01737, IPR2016-01738 and IPR2016-01739, all of
4	which challenge U.S. patent number 8,880,862. I am Judge Braden. Also
5	appearing remotely is Judge Stephens, and our colleague in the room with
6	you in Alexandria is Judge Chung. As Judge Stephens and I are appearing
7	via video, we require counselors to speak directly into the microphone when
8	talking and to identify specific slide numbers when referring to
9	demonstratives.
10	Now, each party has 90 minutes total time to argue all three cases.
11	Petitioner, Apple, Inc., has the ultimate burden of establishing
12	unpatentability. Therefore, petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its
13	cases as presented in its petitions regarding the alleged unpatentability of the
14	challenged claims. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time. Thereafter, patent
15	owner, Realtime Data, LLC, will respond petitioner's arguments and may
16	present its cases regarding the motions to amend and motions to exclude.
17	Patent owner may reserve rebuttal time only with regards to its motions.
18	Petitioner may then proceed to use any reserved rebuttal time to address
19	arguments in either the grounds in the petitions, its replies and/or those in
20	the motions to amend and motions to exclude. Finally, patent owner may go
21	last and may use any reserved rebuttal time to address only petitioner's
22	arguments regarding the motions to amend and the motions to exclude.
23	Otherwise, the parties may use its allotted time to discuss the three cases in
24	any order they choose. We ask, however, that you make it clear which case



- and which claims you are addressing. To ensure clarity of the record
- 2 following the hearing, please provide the court reporter with a list of names
- and word spellings.
- 4 Now, we have received objections from the petitioner regarding
- 5 patent owner's demonstratives. As the demonstratives are not evidence and
- 6 not part of the official record, we will take the objections under advisement
- 7 and will not rule on them at this time. I will note, however, that if patent
- 8 owner cannot show where its slide graphics were discussed or presented in
- 9 its briefing, then such graphics will not be relied upon during our decision
- 10 making.
- Lastly, we ask that the parties hold any objections regarding a
- party's arguments until it is their time at the podium. To be clear, I will not
- take objections during a party's arguments. You must wait until it is your
- 14 time at the podium to note any objections.
- I will maintain a clock and inform the parties when they have five
- minutes left. So let's go ahead and get started with appearances for both
- sides. We will start with petitioner.
- MR. RENNER: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Karl Renner from
- 19 Fish & Richardson here on behalf of Apple. And I'm joined by co-counsel,
- 20 Jeremy Monaldo and Andrew Patrick.
- JUDGE BRADEN: Thank you, counselor. Counsel for patent
- 22 owner.
- MR. EDELL: Thank you, Your Honors. Joe Edell on behalf of
- 24 the patent owner from Fisch Sigler. I am joined by co-counsel, Kayvan
- 25 Noroozi of Noroozi PC.



1	JUDGE BRADEN: Very good. Thank you. Pennoner, you are
2	up. Do you wish to reserve any rebuttal time?
3	MR. MONALDO: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we do. We are
4	hoping to receive approximately 60 minutes in rebuttal time.
5	JUDGE BRADEN: Very good. You may begin your arguments
6	when ready.
7	MR. MONALDO: May it please the Board, my name is Jeremy
8	Monaldo from Fish & Richardson, representing Apple as petitioner. I'm
9	joined today by my colleagues Karl Renner and Andrew Patrick. First of all,
10	I want to thank Your Honors for taking the time to hear our case in this
11	matter, particularly Judge Chung, the court reporter and the staff here, given
12	the weather conditions in Alexandria.
13	So today we are scheduled to discuss just one patent, Realtime's
14	'862 patent. But this is not a typical patent. It is a patent with 117 claims
15	that required three IPR petitions and a variety of grounds to address. As a
16	consequence, we are facing a substantial record.
17	The good news is that the '862 patent is a continuation of
18	Realtime's '608 and '936 patents that we previously discussed last fall. With
19	that similarity, I think we are all very aware of the subject matter of
20	Realtime's patent. As a consequence, I'll not plan to use my direct time to
21	provide an overview of that patent unless Your Honors would like.
22	Now, despite the similarity of the '862 patent and the '608 and '936
23	patents, there are significant differences between those proceedings and the
24	present ones. You'll see that Realtime has moved away and abandoned
25	many of the arguments made in the earlier proceedings. Instead, what



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

