

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., AND LG ELECTRONICS,
INC.
Petitioners,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01733¹
Patent 9,189,437

**PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG'S
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

¹ IPR2017-01038 has been joined with this proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. Overview Of The '437 Patent	8
III. Overview Of The Applied Art.....	12
A. Aytac's CaTbox Requires User-Loaded Specialized Software To Function Properly.....	12
B. The Petition Fails To Show That The TI Data Sheet Is A "Printed Publication"	17
C. American National Standard For Information Systems – Small Computer System Interface-2 ("SCSI Specification").....	19
D. Admitted Prior Art	20
E. U.S. Patent No. 5,659,690 To Stuber ("Adaptec")	21
IV. Claim Construction.....	21
A. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	23
B. Response To The Board's Claim Construction	24
1. "Multi-purpose Interface"	24
2. "Automatic Recognition Process"	25
3. "Without Requiring Any End User To Load Software"	25
4. "End User"	26
V. Petitioners Did Not Meet Their Burden To Show The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable	32
A. Legal Standards	32
B. Petitioners Fail To Demonstrate The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Over Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification And The Alleged Admitted Prior Art.....	35

1.	Petitioners Fail To Articulate A Proper Obviousness Ground.....	35
2.	Aytac Cannot Support An Obviousness Conclusion For The '437 Patent	36
i.	Aytac Intended CaTbox To Be A Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple Devices With A PC.....	36
ii.	CaTbox Needs Specialized Software Installed On The PC To Be A Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple Devices With A PC.....	37
3.	The '437 Patent Requires Transfer Of Digitized Analog Data From The ADGPD To The Host Computer That Occurs Without Requiring A User To Install Or Load Specific Drivers Or Software On The Host Computer	42
4.	Aytac Must Be Modified To Not Use Its Specialized Host Computer Software To Meet The Digitized Analog Data Transfer Claim Limitations	45
5.	Even If Considered With Respect To The Automatic File Transfer Limitation, Dr. Reynolds's Conclusory Testimony Regarding The CATSYNC.VXD Driver Fails To Show The Digitized Analog Data Transfer Using Customary Software Limitations Are Rendered Obvious By Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification	47
6.	Not Using The CATSYNC.VXD Driver Renders CaTbox Incapable Of Reliably Transferring Data To The PC While Also Managing Its Intended Multitasking Purpose;	

	Therefore This Modification Cannot Support An Obviousness Conclusion.....	48
7.	Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification Fails To Render Claim 43 Obvious	55
8.	Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification Fails To Render Obvious Claim 41	56
9.	Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification, The Alleged Admitted Prior Art, And Adaptec Fails To Render Obvious Claim 39.....	61
C.	Petitioners Fail To Demonstrate That The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Obvious Over Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification	63
VI.	Conclusion	64

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NO.	TITLE
1001	Declaration of Dr. Paul F. Reynolds, Ph.D.
1002	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Paul F. Reynolds, Ph.D.
1003	U.S. Patent No. 9,189,437 to Michael Tasler (“the ’437 Patent”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,758,081 to Haluk M. Aytac (“Aytac” or “the ’081 Patent”)
1005	American National Standard for Information Systems, Small Computer System Interface-2, ANSI X3.131-1994 (1994) (“SCSI Specification”)
1006	Prosecution History of the ’081 Patent
1007	Texas Instruments data sheet SLA006B (1996) (“TI data sheet”)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,592,256 to Muramatsu (“Muramatsu”)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,659,690 to Stuber (“Adaptec”)
1010	Ray Duncan, ed., “The MS-DOS Encyclopedia,” Microsoft Press (1988)
1011	Federal Circuit decision, <i>In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation</i> , No. 2014-1110 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 2, 2015)
1012	Excerpts from the Microsoft Computer Dictionary (2nd ed. 1994)
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,325,071 to Westmoreland (“TI Patent”)
1014	Papst’s Opening Claim Construction Brief and Declaration of Robert Zeidman, filed in related litigation in the District of Columbia, <i>In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation</i> , MDL No. 1880, Case No. 1:07-mc-00493, Dkt. Nos. 630, 630-12 (June 3, 2016)
2001	Excerpt from Prosecution History of the ’437 Patent: Appellant’s Brief on Appeal dated May 7, 2012
2002	Excerpt from Prosecution History of the ’437 Patent: Amendment dated August 31, 2009
2003	Excerpt from MPEP § 608 (1995)
2004	Wikipedia Entry for “Dual-tone multi-frequency signaling”
2005	“HowStuffWorks” Article: “How Fax Machines Work”

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.