| UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARI | & OFFICE |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL | BOARD |
| | |

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,
Petitioner

V.

GENENTECH, INC. Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213

Case IPR2016-<u>Unassigned</u>

EXPERT DECLARATION OF DR. EDUARDO A. PADLAN IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF PATENT NO. 6,407,213



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | <u>Page</u> |
|------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| I. | QU | ALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND | 1 |
| | A. | Education and Experience | 1 |
| | В. | Bases for Opinions and Materials Considered | |
| | C. | Previous Litigation Experience | |
| | D. | Scope of Work | |
| II. | Leg | al Standards | 6 |
| III. | Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art | | 11 |
| IV. | Sun | nmary of Opinions | 12 |
| V. | The | '213 Patent [Ex. 1001] | 19 |
| VI. | Bac | kground | 37 |
| | A. | Antibody Structure and Function | 37 |
| | B. | Monoclonal Antibodies Expanded Therapeutic and | |
| | | Diagnostic Uses of Antibodies | 39 |
| | C . | Immunogenic Reaction in Humans With Monoclonal | |
| | | Antibody Therapy | 41 |
| | D. | Molecular Characterization of Antibody Structure and Function | 42 |
| | Ε. | Antigen Binding Regions | |
| | F. | Framework Region Important for Antigen Binding | |
| | G. | Chimeric Antibodies | |
| | Н. | Antibody Humanization | |
| VII. | Sco | pe and Content of the Prior Art References | |
| | A. | EP 0403156 "Improved Monoclonal Antibodies Against the | |
| | | Human Alpha/Beta T-Cell Receptor, Their Production and | |
| | | Use" Published December 19, 1990 ("Kurrle") [Ex. 1071] | 70 |
| | В. | Queen et al. "A Humanized Antibody that Binds to the | |
| | | Interleukin 2 Receptor" PNAS 86:10029-33 (1989) ("Queen | |
| | | 1989") [Ex. 1034] | 73 |
| | C. | PCT Publication No. WO 90/07861 ("Queen 1990") [Ex. | |
| | _ | 1050] | 76 |
| | D. | Furey et al. "Structure of a Novel Bence-Jones Protein | |
| | | (Rhe) Fragment at 1.6 Å Resolution," <i>J. Mol. Biol.</i> 167:661- | 00 |
| | Ε. | 692 (1983) [Ex. 1125] | |
| | L'a | I LULCIII IJALA DAIIK IJALADANC | |



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

| | | | Page |
|-------|------------|--|-------------|
| | F. | Tramontano et al. "Framework Residue 71 is a Major | |
| | | Determinant of the Position and Conformation of the | |
| | | Second Hypervariable Region in the VH Domains of | |
| | | Immunoglobulins" J. Mol. Biol. 215:175-182 (1990) | |
| | | ("Tramontano") [Ex. 1051] | 83 |
| | G. | Kabat et al. "Sequences of Proteins of Immunological | |
| | | Interest"4 th Ed., pp. iii, 41-49, 167-176 (1987) ("Kabat | |
| | | 1987") [Ex. 1052] | 84 |
| | Н. | Hudziak et al. "p185 ^{HER2} Monoclonal Antibody Has | |
| | | Antiproliferative Effects In Vitro and Sensitizes Human | |
| | | Breast Tumor Cells to Tumor Necrosis Factor" Mol Cell | |
| | | Biol 9:1165-1172 (1989) ("Hudziak") [Ex. 1021] | 85 |
| | I. | Chothia, C. et al. "Domain Association in Immunoglobulin | |
| | | Molecules: The Packing of Variable Domains" J. Mol. Biol. | |
| | | 186:651-663 (1985) ("Chothia 1985") [Ex. 1063] | 86 |
| | J. | Chothia and Lesk. "Canonical Structures for the | |
| | | Hypervariable Regions of Immunoglobulins" J. Mol. Biol. | |
| | | 196:901-917 (1987) ("Chothia & Lesk") [Ex. 1062] | 87 |
| | K. | Chothia, C. et al. "Conformations of Immunoglobulin | |
| | | Hypervariable Regions" Nature 342:877-883 (1989) | |
| | | ("Chothia 1989") [Ex. 1049] | 87 |
| VIII. | <u>UNP</u> | ATENTABILITY OF THE '213 PATENT | 88 |
| | A. | Claims 1, 2, 25, 29, 63, 66, 71, 75, 76, 78, 80 and 81 of the | |
| | 7.80 | '213 Patent are Anticipated by Kurrle [Ex. 1071] | 88 |
| | | 1. Claim 1 of the '213 patent is anticipated by Kurrle | |
| | | 2. Dependent claims 2, 25 and 29 are anticipated by | |
| | | Kurrle | |
| | | 3. Independent claim 63 is anticipated by Kurrle | |
| | | 4. Independent claim 66 and dependent claims 71, 72, 75 | > _ |
| | | and 76 are anticipated by Kurrle | 94 |
| | | 5. Independent claim 80 and dependent claim 81 are | |
| | | anticipated by Kurrle | 95 |
| | В. | Claims 1, 2, 4, 29, 62, 63, 64, 80 and 81 of the '213 Patent | |
| | • | are Anticipated by Queen 1990 | 97 |
| | | 1. Independent Claim 1 of the '213 Patent is anticipated | |
| | | by Queen 1990 [Ex. 1050] | 97 |



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

| | | | Page |
|----|-----------|--|-------------|
| | 2. | Dependent Claim 2 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | 103 |
| | 3. | Dependent Claim 4 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | 104 |
| | 4. | Dependent Claim 29 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | |
| | 5. | Independent Claim 62 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | |
| | 6. | Independent Claim 63 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | |
| | 7. | Dependent Claim 65 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | |
| | 8. | Independent Claim 64 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | |
| | 9. | Independent Claim 80 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | |
| | 10. | Dependent Claim 81 of the '213 Patent is anticipated by Queen 1990 | |
| C. | Clai | ms 1, 2, 4, 12, 25, 29, 62-67, 69 and 71-81 of the '213 | |
| | | ent are obvious over Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle, and | |
| | | thia 1985 or Chothia & Lesk | |
| | 1. | Claim 1 is obvious over Queen 1990 and Kurrle | 117 |
| | 2. | Claims 2, 25 and 29 are obvious over Queen 1990 and | |
| | _ | Kurrle | |
| | 3. | | 122 |
| | 4. | Claim 12 is obvious over Queen 1990 and Kurrle, in | |
| | _ | view of Furey | |
| | 5. | Claim 62 is obvious over Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle | |
| | 6. | Claim 63 is obvious over Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle | |
| | 7. | Claim 64 is obvious over Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle | |
| | 8. | Claim 65 is obvious over Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle | |
| | 9. | Claim 66 is obvious over Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle | 132 |
| | 10. | Claims 67, 71, 72, 74, 75, 76 and 78 are obvious over | |
| | | Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle and Chothia 1985 | |
| | 11. | Claim 69 is obvious over Queen 1990 in view of Kurrle | 136 |
| | 12. | Claims 73, 77 and 79 are obvious in view of Queen | |
| | | 1990, Kurrle and Chothia & Lesk | 136 |



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

| | | Page |
|-----------|--|--|
| 13. | | 1.40 |
| | | 142 |
| | | |
| | | 1 4 4 |
| | the PDB database | 144 |
| 1. | | 1.45 |
| | _ | 145 |
| 2. | - | 1.60 |
| | - | 160 |
| 3. | • | |
| | | |
| | | 163 |
| 4. | • | |
| | | 164 |
| 5. | | |
| | | 164 |
| 6. | Independent Claim 63 of the '213 Patent is obvious in | |
| | view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB | |
| | | 165 |
| 7. | | |
| | view of Queen 1990 and the PDB database | 166 |
| 8. | Independent Claim 66 of the '213 Patent is obvious in | |
| | view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB | |
| | database | 168 |
| 9. | Dependent Claims 67, 71-74 and 78 of the '213 Patent | |
| | are obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and | |
| | the PDB database | 170 |
| 10. | Dependent Claim 72 of the '213 patent is obvious in | |
| | view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990, and the PDB | |
| | database | 171 |
| 11. | Dependent Claim 75 of the '213 patent is obvious in | |
| | | |
| | - | 173 |
| 12. | | |
| | • | |
| | database, and further in view of Tramontano | 174 |
| | Clair Pater and 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. | Claims 1, 2, 4, 12, 25, 29, 62-67, 69 and 71-81 of the '213 Patent are obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database 1. Independent Claim 1 of the '213 Patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 and the PDB database 2. Independent Claim 1 of the '213 Patent is obvious in view of Queen 1990 and the PDB database 3. Dependent Claims 2, 12, 25 and 29 of the '213 Patent are obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database 4. Dependent claim 4 of the '213 Patent is obvious in view of Queen 1990 and the PDB database 5. Independent Claim 62 of the '213 Patent is obvious in view of Queen 1990 and the PDB database 6. Independent Claim 63 of the '213 Patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database 7. Independent Claim 64 of the '213 Patent is obvious in view of Queen 1990 and the PDB database 8. Independent Claim 66 of the '213 Patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database 9. Dependent Claims 67, 71-74 and 78 of the '213 Patent are obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database 10. Dependent Claim 72 of the '213 patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990, and the PDB database 11. Dependent Claim 75 of the '213 patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990, and the PDB database 12. Dependent Claim 75 of the '213 patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database. 13. Dependent Claim 75 of the '213 patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database. 14. Dependent Claim 75 of the '213 patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database. 15. Dependent Claim 75 of the '213 patent is obvious in view of Queen 1989 or Queen 1990 and the PDB database. |



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

