

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,

Petitioner

v.

GENENTECH, INC.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01693

Patent 6,407,213

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317(a)**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), Petitioner Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Mylan” or “Petitioner”) and Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. (“Genentech” or “Patent Owner”) jointly request termination of IPR2016-01693, which is directed to U.S. Patent No 6,407,213 (the “213 Patent”).

I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), Petitioner and Patent Owner jointly request termination of this *inter partes* review pursuant to a settlement.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

No decision on institution has been issued yet in this case. Further, Petitioner and Patent Owner have reached an agreement to settle this *inter partes* review proceeding. A “Joint Request That Settlement Agreement Be Treated as Business Confidential Information and Kept Separate Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74” is being filed concurrently with this Joint Motion to Terminate in reference to sealing of the settlement agreement. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) (requiring parties to file agreements in writing with the Office). The Board previously provided authorization to file this motion on February 28, 2017. (Paper 20.) A joint motion to terminate generally must “(1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office, and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each

such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding.” *Heartland Tanning, Inc. v. Sunless, Inc.*, IPR2014-00018, Paper 26 at 2 (PTAB Jul. 28, 2014).

(1) Brief Explanation. Termination is appropriate in this case because the parties have settled their dispute. A “Joint Request That Settlement Agreement Be Treated as Business Confidential Information and Kept Separate Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74” is being filed concurrently with this Joint Motion to Terminate in reference to sealing of the settlement agreement.

(2) Related Litigation. There is currently no litigation involving the challenged patent.

(3)(4) Related Proceeding before the Patent Office and Its Status. Petitioner filed a separate petition challenging certain claims of the ’213 Patent in IPR2016-01694. Petitioner and Patent Owner are concurrently filing a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) in IPR2016-01694. Petitioner and Patent Owner are unaware of any pending judicial or administrative matter that would affect, or be affected by, a decision in this *inter partes* review.

III. ARGUMENT

The Board should terminate this case as the parties jointly request, for the following reasons.

First, Petitioner and Patent Owner have met the statutory requirement that they file a “joint request” to terminate before the Office “has decided the merits of the proceeding.” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Under section 317(a), an *inter partes* review shall be terminated upon such joint request “unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” There are no other preconditions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(a).

Second, the parties have reached a settlement as to all the disputes in this proceeding and as to the '213 Patent. A true copy of the settlement agreement is filed concurrently herewith. *See Ex. 1132*. The parties request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, and be kept separate from the files of this proceeding in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). No other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among the parties.

Accordingly, the parties in the present proceeding jointly certify that there are no other written or oral agreements or understandings, including any collateral agreements, between them, including but not limited to licenses, covenants not to sue, confidentiality agreements, payment agreements, or other agreements of any kind, that are made in connection with or in contemplation of, the termination of the instant proceeding.

Third, a termination of this proceeding will conserve the Board’s resources and obviate the need for any more Board involvement in this matter.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner and Patent Owner respectfully request termination of this *inter partes* review of the '213 Patent.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 7, 2017

/Deanne M. Mazzochi/
Deanne M. Mazzochi
Reg. No. 50,158

RAKOCZY MOLINO MAZZOCHI
SIWIK LLP
6 West Hubbard Street, Suite 500
Chicago, Illinois 60654
Tel: (312) 222-6305
Fax: (312) 222-6325
dmazzochi@rmmslegal.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Mylan
Pharmaceuticals Inc.*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.