

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TWILIO INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

TELESIGN CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01688  
Patent 9,300,792 B2

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY and JUSTIN T. ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jay B. Schiller  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Petitioner filed a Motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jay B. Schiller, and provided an Affidavit from Mr. Schiller in support of the request. Paper 12 (“Mot.”).<sup>1</sup> Petitioner’s lead counsel, Wayne O. Stacy, is a registered practitioner. Patent Owner did not file an opposition to the Motion. Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and Affidavit, we conclude that Petitioner has established good cause for Mr. Schiller’s *pro hac vice* admission. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission). We note, however, that the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct are set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901, and it is those rules to which Mr. Schiller will be subject. See Mot. ¶ 10 (citing “37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 et seq.”).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jay B. Schiller is *granted*, and Mr. Schiller is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Schiller is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner filed the Motion and Affidavit as a single paper in the Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End (PTAB E2E) system. The parties are reminded that affidavits and declarations must be filed as exhibits so that they may be referenced individually by exhibit number. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.63.

IPR2016-01688  
Patent 9,300,792 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Schiller is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901 and the Office’s disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

IPR2016-01688  
Patent 9,300,792 B2

PETITIONER:

Wayne O. Stacy  
Eliot D. Williams  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
[wayne.stacy@bakerbotts.com](mailto:wayne.stacy@bakerbotts.com)  
[eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com](mailto:eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Jesse Camacho  
Elena McFarland  
Ryan Dykal  
Christine Guastello  
Mary Peal  
Amy Foust  
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.  
[jcamacho@shb.com](mailto:jcamacho@shb.com)  
[emcfarland@shb.com](mailto:emcfarland@shb.com)  
[rdykal@shb.com](mailto:rdykal@shb.com)  
[cguastello@shb.com](mailto:cguastello@shb.com)  
[telesignipr@shb.com](mailto:telesignipr@shb.com)  
[afoust@shb.com](mailto:afoust@shb.com)