

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

PACIFIC SURF DESIGNS, INC.

Petitioner

v.

LIGHT WAVE, LTD.

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-\_\_\_\_\_

Patent No. 6,491,589

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,491,589**

Mail Stop Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES, STANDING, AND FEES.....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest: 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters: 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	1
C. Petitioner’s Lead And Back-up Counsel And Service Information: 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) & (4).....	2
D. Grounds For Standing: 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	2
E. Payment Of Fees: 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	3
II. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE .....	3
III. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘589 PATENT .....	5
A. Invention Of The ‘589 Patent.....	5
B. Prosecution Of The ‘589 Patent .....	7
IV. SUMMARY OF THE PRIOR ART .....	9
A. U.S. Patent No. 3,598,402 To Frenzl (Frenzl) .....	9
B. U.S. Patent No. 6,019,547 to Hill (Hill).....	10
C. U.S. Patent No. 5,213,547 to Lochtefeld (Lochtefeld 547) .....	12
D. U.S. Patent No. 5,738,590 to Lochtefeld (Lochtefeld 590) .....	14
E. Petitioner Presents Prior Art That Was Not Previously Applied Against The Challenged Claims.....	16
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION: 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) .....	16
A. Applicable Law .....	16
B. Person Of Skill In The Art .....	16
C. Proposed Construction Of Claim Terms .....	17
1. “nozzle”/“sluice”/“sluice gate” (Claims 17, 24, 37).....	17
2. “biased downward”/“urged downward”/“biasing . . . downwards” (Claims 1, 17, 37, 38, 42) .....	18
3. “tongue” (Claims 1, 26, 37-38).....	19
4. “removably connected”/“removably affix[ed]” (Claims 3, 17, 42) .....	19

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
5. “a plurality of transportable modules and associated components”/“transportable [propulsion/ride surface] modules” (Claims 31-33, 50-53) .....	20
6. “contoured” (Claims 16-17, 24, 31, 36, 42).....	20
7. “to prevent injury to riders riding over said nozzle”/“to prevent riders from possibly colliding with or riding over said sluice and/or interfering with the ride operation”/“to protect riders from possible injurious contact with said nozzle”/“to seal off said sluice gate outlet from possible injurious contact with a rider”/“to shield the outlet aperture from contact with riders riding over said nozzle” (Claims 1, 24, 31, 37-38) .....	21
VI. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF PROPOSED GROUNDS .....	22
A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 3, 13, 16-17, 24-27, 29, 37-38, 40, And 42-43 Are Rendered Obvious By Frenzl.....	22
B. Ground 2: Claims 16, 31-36, 50, And 54-55 Are Rendered Obvious By Frenzl In View Of Lochtefeld 547 .....	36
1. A Person Of Ordinary Skill Would Be Motivated To Combine Frenzl And Lochtefeld 547 .....	36
2. Claims 16, 31-36, 50, And 54-55 Are Invalid Over Frenzl In View Of Lochtefeld 547 .....	37
C. Ground 3: Claims 1, 3, 13, 16-17, 24-27, 29, 31-38, 40, 42-43, 50, And 54-55 Are Rendered Obvious By Frenzl In View Of Lochtefeld 547 And Further In View Of Lochtefeld 590 .....	43
1. A Person Of Ordinary Skill Would Be Motivated To Combine Frenzl, Lochtefeld 547 And Lochtefeld 590.....	43
2. Claims 1, 3, 13, 16-17, 24-27, 29, 31-38, 40, 42-43, 50, And 54-55 Are Invalid Over Frenzl In View Of Lochtefeld 547 And Lochtefeld 590 .....	44
D. Ground 4: Claims 1, 3, 13, 15-17, 24-27, 29-30, 37-38, And 40-43 Are Rendered Obvious By Hill .....	45
E. Ground 5: Claims 16, 31-32, 34-36, 50, And 54-55 Are Rendered Obvious By Hill In View Of Lochtefeld 547 .....	58

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
1. A Person Of Ordinary Skill Would Be Motivated To Combine Hill And Lochtefeld 547 .....	58
2. Claims 16, 31-32, 34-36, 50, And 54-55 Are Invalid Over Hill In View Of Lochtefeld 547 .....	58
F. Ground 6: Claims 1, 13, 13, 15-17, 24-27, 29-32, 34-38, 40-43, 50, And 54-55 Are Rendered Obvious By Hill In View of Lochtefeld 547 And Further In View Of Lochtefeld 590 .....	64
1. A Person Of Ordinary Skill Would Be Motivated To Combine Hill, Lochtefeld 547 And Lochtefeld 590 .....	64
2. Claims 1, 13, 13, 15-17, 24-27, 29-32, 34-38, 40-43, 50, And 54-55 Are Invalid Over Hill In View Of Lochtefeld 547 And Further In View Of Lochtefeld 590 .....	65
VII. CONCLUSION.....	66

## TABLE OF EXHIBITS<sup>1</sup>

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,491,589 to Lochtefeld ('589 Patent)
1002	Declaration of Edward M. Pribonic with Curriculum Vitae
1003	U.S. Patent No. 3,598,402 to Frenzl (Frenzl)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,213,547 to Lochtefeld (Lochtefeld 547)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,738,590 to Lochtefeld (Lochtefeld 590)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,019,547 to Hill (Hill)
1007	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 6,491,589
1008	<i>Complaint</i> , D.I. 1 in Case No. 15cv1879 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 24, 2015)
1009	Flowrider Surf, Ltd.'s <i>Notice of Dismissal</i> , D.I. 8 in Case No. 14cv1110 (S.D. Cal. June 30, 2014)
1010	<i>Proof of Service of Summons</i> , D.I. 6 in Case No. 15cv1879 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2015)
1011	<i>Plaintiffs' Opening Claim Construction Brief</i> , D.I. 39 in Case No. 15cv1879 (S.D. Cal. May 23, 2016)
1012	<i>Plaintiffs' Responsive Brief to Defendant's Opening Claim Construction Brief</i> , D.I. 43 in Case No. 15cv1879 (S.D. Cal. June 6, 2016)

---

<sup>1</sup> For the Board's convenience, this Table of Exhibits includes all references cited in this Petition and in the Declaration. Accordingly, the Table of Exhibits in the Petition and Declaration are identical.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.