Filed: November 25, 2016

Megan Lyman (Reg. No. 57,054) Lyman Patent Services 1816 Silver Mist Ct. Raleigh, NC 27613

Tel: (919) 341-4023 Fax: (919) 341-0271

melyman@lymanpatents.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION, Petitioner,

V.

ONE-E-WAY, INC. Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01639 Patent 9,282,396

PATENT OWNER ONE-E-WAY'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO
PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTROD	OUCTION	1
II. BACKO	GROUND	3
III. OVER	VIEW OF THE '396 PATENT	4
A.	Embodiments described in the specification	4
B.	The claims	7
C.	Preliminary Claim Construction	10
D.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	10
E.	Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	11
IV. PETIT	IONER'S THEORIES	11
V. OVERV	VIEW OF THE REFERENCES RELIED UPON	12
A.	The '892 Patent	12
B.	The 1998 Paper.	12
C.	Giannakis	13
VI. THE P	ETITION IS FUNDAMENTALLY DEFICIENT	13
VII. GROU	JND 1 FAILS	14
A.	The '892 Patent Fails to Properly Incorporate Any Particular Material From the 1998 paper	14
	The Legal Standard for Incorporation by Reference Requires Specific Identification of Material	14
	2. The '892 Patent Fails to Incorporate the 1998 Paper in Its Entirety	16



В	The Petition Attempts to Impermissibly Combine Disparate Embodiments for Anticipation	18
	1. The Legal Standard for Anticipation	19
	2. The '892 patent and the 1998 paper describe distinct systems	20
C	Failure of Omission: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses independent CDMA operation. Limitations 1(d) and (f)	21
D	Failure of Confusion: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a digital demodulator. Limitation 1(f).	23
E.	Failure of Omission: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a transmitter coupled to a portable audio player or source. Limitation 1(b).	25
F.	Failure of Disparate Disclosures and Insufficient Incorporation: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a transmitter comprising an encoder for reduced ISI coding. Limitations 1(b) and (c)	27
G	Failure of Technical Misunderstanding and Ignoring Context: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a decoder operative to decode the applied reduced intersymbol interference coding. Limitation 1(g).	29
Н	Failure of Disparate Disclosures and Insufficient Incorporation: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a headphone comprising a direct conversion module. Limitations 1(d) and (e)	32
I.	Failure of Disparate Disclosures and Insufficient Incorporation: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a direct conversion module configured to capture packets corresponding to a	
	unique user code. Limitation 1(e).	34



J.	Failure of Omission: Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a system in which other signals are inaudible while operating in the spread spectrum. Limitation 1(j).	36
VIII. GRO	UND 2 ALSO FAILS	
A.	For All Limitations other than the Reduced ISI Limitations, Petitioner Offers No Additional Argument in Support of its Ground 2 Obviousness Theory and Thus, Ground 2 Fails for the Same Reasons as Ground 1	39
	1. Petitioner fails to apply critical aspects of the required <i>Graham</i> analysis	40
B.	Petitioner Fails to Provide Any Argument or Analysis Addressing a Reason or Motivation to Combine Giannakis with the '892 Patent, and this Failure is Fatal to Ground 2	43
C.	Petitioner Misleadingly Omitted and Ignored Giannakis' Express Statement Limiting the Applicability of its Approach and Thus Failed to Sustain its Burden to Demonstrate any Reason or Motivation to Combine Giannakis with the '892 Patent	45
	Petitioner's Declarant, Mr. Moring, Inexcusably Used an Ellipsis to Omit and Ignore Giannakis' Express Restriction On Applicability	47
	2. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Understand that Bluetooth is a Fast Hopping System, and Zhou Expressly States that Fast Hopping Systems are Beyond the Scope of the Disclosed φ _m -based Frequency Hopping	40



Case IPR2016-01639 Patent 9,282,396

Kı	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Know that Giannakis' φ _m -Based Frequency Hopping Approach is Not Possible in Bluetooth51			
a.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Understand that Frequency-Hopping Cannot be Implemented in Bluetooth using Zhou's Subcarrier Selector Matrix ϕ_m .	52		
b.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Understand that Redundant Simultaneous Transmissions on Multiple Frequencies is Incompatible with Bluetooth	53		
c.	Petitioner has Failed to Demonstrate that a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Had Any Reason or Motivation to Combine Giannakis and the '892 Patent	55		
FILING DATE	GED CLAIMS ARE ENTITLED TO THE OF PATENT OWNER'S 2001	56		
X. CONCLUSION		60		



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

