

Filed: June 2, 2017

Filed on behalf of:

One-E-Way

By: Douglas G. Muehlhauser (Reg. No. 179,495)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Telephone: 949-760-0404
Facsimile: 949-760-9502
Email: 2dgm@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

ONE-E-WAY, INC.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01639
Patent 9,282,396

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	3
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '396 PATENT	4
A. The Specification.....	4
B. The claims	5
C. Preliminary Claim Construction.....	6
D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	7
E. Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	7
IV. PETITIONER'S THEORIES	7
V. OVERVIEW OF THE REFERENCES RELIED UPON.....	8
A. The '892 Patent	8
B. The 1998 Paper.....	9
C. Giannakis.....	9
VI. THE PETITION IS FUNDAMENTALLY DEFICIENT	9
VII. GROUND 1 FAILS	10
A. The '892 Patent Fails to Properly Incorporate Any Subject Matter from the 1998 paper.....	10
1. The Legal Standard for Incorporation by Reference Requires Specific Identification of Material	10

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd.)

	Page No.
2. The '892 Patent Fails to Incorporate the 1998 Paper.....	11
B. Petitioner Improperly Bases Its Anticipation Theory on Two Separate Embodiments and on Isolated References to Other Elements that are Never Disclosed as Part of Any Embodiment	15
1. The Legal Standard for Anticipation	15
2. The '892 Patent and the 1998 Paper Disclose Separate and Distinct Embodiments	16
3. The Isolated Mentions of DPSK and a Homodyne Receiver are Never Disclosed as Part of Any Embodiment	17
C. Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a headphone comprising a direct conversion module. Limitations 1(d) and (e).....	18
D. Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a direct conversion module configured to capture packets corresponding to a unique user code. Limitation 1(e).....	20
E. Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that the '892 patent discloses any transmitter or any headphone comprising any differential phase shift keying (DPSK) functionality.....	22
F. Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a transmitter comprising an encoder for reduced ISI coding. Limitations 1(b) and (c).....	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd.)

	Page No.
G. Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses independent CDMA operation. Limitations 1(d) and (f).....	28
H. Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a digital demodulator. Limitation 1(f).	29
I. Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a decoder operative to decode the applied reduced intersymbol interference coding. Limitation 1(g).....	31
J. Petitioner fails to show that the '892 patent discloses a system in which other signals are inaudible while operating in the spread spectrum. Limitation 1(j).....	34
VIII. GROUND 2 ALSO FAILS.....	37
A. For All Limitations other than the Reduced ISI Limitations, Petitioner Offers No Additional Argument in Support of its Ground 2 Obviousness Theory and Thus, Ground 2 Fails for the Same Reasons as Ground 1	37
1. Petitioner fails to apply critical aspects of the required <i>Graham</i> analysis	37
B. Petitioner Fails to Provide Any Argument or Analysis Addressing a Reason or Motivation to Combine Giannakis with the '892 Patent, and this Failure is Fatal to Ground 2.....	40
C. Petitioner Misleadingly Omitted and Ignored Giannakis' Express Statement Limiting the Applicability of its Approach and Thus Failed to Sustain its Burden to Demonstrate any Reason or Motivation to Combine Giannakis with the '892 Patent	43

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd.)

	Page No.
1. Petitioner’s Declarant, Mr. Moring, Used an Ellipsis to Omit and Ignore Giannakis’ Express Restriction On Applicability	44
2. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Understand that Bluetooth is a Fast Hopping System, and Zhou Expressly States that Fast Hopping Systems are Beyond the Scope of the Disclosed ϕ_m -based Frequency Hopping Technique.....	47
3. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Know that Giannakis’ ϕ_m -Based Frequency Hopping Approach is Not Possible in Bluetooth.....	48
a. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Understand that Frequency-Hopping Cannot be Implemented in Bluetooth using Zhou’s Subcarrier Selector Matrix ϕ_m	49
b. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Understand that Redundant Simultaneous Transmissions on Multiple Frequencies is Incompatible with Bluetooth.....	50
c. Petitioner has Failed to Demonstrate that a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Had Any Reason or Motivation to Combine Giannakis and the ’892 Patent	51
IX. CONCLUSION.....	52

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.