Trials@uspto.gov Paper No. 13
Tel: 571-272-7822 Entered: March 20, 2017

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC., Petitioner,

v.

POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01621 (Patent 6,438,057 B1)<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2016-01622 (Patent 6,850,414 B2) Case IPR2016-01623 (Patent 7,315,454 B2)

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, JEAN R. HOMERE, and MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HOMERE, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceedings
37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a)

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses issues that are the same in all identified cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers without prior approval by the Board.



IPR2016-01621 (Patent 6,438,057 B1) IPR2016-01622 (Patent 6,850,414 B2) IPR2016-01623 (Patent 7,315,454 B2)

An initial conference call in the above proceedings was held on March 15, 2017, between respective counsel for the parties, and Judges Medley, Homere, and Clements.

Prior to the call, only Patent Owner filed a proposed motions list. On the call, each party confirmed that, at this time, it does not anticipate filing any motions not already authorized by our Rules or in the Scheduling Order. Patent Owner plans to file a motion to amend by the due date set forth in the Scheduling Order, and will request a call to confer with the Board beforehand. Neither party is aware of any settlement discussion regarding the current proceedings or the parallel litigation proceeding.

Each party also confirmed that it does not have any issue with Due Dates 1–6, as currently set.

For now, with respect to Due Date 7, each party confirmed that it has no conflict with scheduling the oral argument, if requested, on November 14, 2017. Also, both parties expressed a preference for conducting the hearing in San Jose, California. The panel has determined that a hearing room in San Jose, California, is available on November 14, 2017. Thus, pending receipt of timely party requests for a hearing, it appears at this time that we will be able to accommodate the parties' preference to conduct the hearing in San Jose, California.



IPR2016-01621 (Patent 6,438,057 B1) IPR2016-01622 (Patent 6,850,414 B2) IPR2016-01623 (Patent 7,315,454 B2)

## For PETITIONER:

David Hoffman FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. IPR37307-0007IP1@fr.com

Martha Hopkins LAW OFFICES OF S.J. CHRISTINE YANG IPR@sjclawpc.com

## For PATENT OWNER:

Kenneth Weatherwax Nathan Lowenstein LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com lowenstein@lowensteinweatherwax.com

