

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01622

Patent 6,850,414 B2

Priority July 2, 2002

Issued February 1, 2005

Title: ELECTRONIC PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD HAVING A PLURALITY
OF IDENTICALLY DESIGNED, HOUSING-ENCAPSULATED
SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORIES

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO AMEND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II.	CLAIM LISTING	2
III.	DISCUSSION	2
IV.	SUPPORT FOR THE SUBSTITUTE CLAIM.....	4
V.	CONCLUSION.....	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314 1
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(9) 4

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.121 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a) 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.121(b) 2, 4

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit 2001	Cara Garretson. "More DRAM vendors involved in Justice Department probe." <i>IDG News Service</i> July 21, 2002. Computer World, Inc. November 21, 2016.
Exhibit 2002	"Error Correction Code in SoC FPGA-Based Memory Systems." <i>Altera Corporation</i> April 2012.
Exhibit 2003	"133 MHz PC SDRAM 64-Bit Non-ECC/Parity 144 Pin UNBUFFERED SO-DIMM SPECIFICATION." <i>Intel</i> , Revision 1.0C. August 2000
Exhibit 2004	"PC SDRAM Serial Presence Detect (SPD) Specification." <i>Intel</i> , Revision 1.2B. November 1999.
Exhibit 2005	Declaration of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein in support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>

I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owner hereby respectfully moves to amend U.S. Patent No. 6,850,414 B2 (Ex. 1001) (the “’414 Patent”) under 37 C.F.R. § 42.121, by cancelling all challenged claims that have been instituted for review and proposing one substitute claim for challenged claim 8 which incorporates both the limitations of claim 8 and the limitations of non-instituted claim 4, which the Board has already determined has not been shown to have a reasonable likelihood of being unpatentable by Petitioner in this case. Paper 7; Paper 16.

Specifically, Petitioner challenged claims 1–8 of the ’414 Patent. Pet. at 1. In its Institution Decision in this case, this Board instituted review under 35 U.S.C. § 314 for challenged claims 1 and 5–8, but not for challenged claims 2–4. Paper 7 at 17, 18, 21, 22. In its Decision Denying Petitioner’s Request for Rehearing, this Board adhered to its determination to deny institution of an *inter partes* review of claim 4. Paper 16 at 3–7. As discussed in detail below, the substitute claim is the same as challenged claim 8 in every respect, except that it simply adds the limitations of claim 4, which the Board has already repeatedly determined has not been shown by Petitioner in this case to have a reasonable likelihood of being unpatentable. Paper 7 at 17, 18, 21, 22; Paper 16 at 3–7.

Patent Owner has satisfied 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a) for this motion. Paper 17.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.