

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01622
Patent 6,850,414 B2

**PATENT OWNER'S
REQUEST FOR REHEARING
OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)(2)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. THE PANEL SHOULD BE EXPANDED ON REHEARING.....	3
II. CLAIMS CANCELED BY AMENDMENT ARE OUT OF THE CASE.....	3
III. MOTIONS TO AMEND DO NOT REOPEN ISSUES DENIED TRIAL.....	7
IV. CONCLUSION	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
JUDICIAL DECISIONS	
<i>Airbus S.A.S. v. Firepass Corp.</i> , 793 F.3d 1376, 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	12
<i>Aqua Prods.. v. Matal</i> , 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017) (en banc).....	2, 7, 12, 13
<i>Belkin Int’l, Inc. v. Kappos</i> , 696 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
AGENCY DECISIONS	
<i>Adidas S.p.A. v. Nike, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00067, Paper 60 (PTAB Jun. 20, 2014), <i>appeal on other grounds</i> , 812 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5
<i>Amerigen Pharms. Ltd. v. Shire LLC</i> , IPR2015-02009, Paper 38 (PTAB Mar. 31, 2017).....	1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 13
<i>Amerigen Pharms. Ltd. v. Shire LLC</i> , IPR2015-02009, Paper 8 (PTAB Apr. 18, 2016) (decision regarding institution)	8
<i>Apple Inc. v. Personalized Media Comm’ns LLC</i> , IPR2016-01520, Paper 38 (PTAB Feb. 15, 2018)	8
<i>Bright House Networks, LLC v. Focal IP, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01261, Paper 71 (PTAB Dec. 28, 2017), <i>appeal filed</i> (Mar. 1, 2018)	8
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Focal IP, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01257, Paper 56 (PTAB Dec. 27, 2017), <i>appeal filed</i> (Mar. 1, 2018).....	8
<i>Elekta, Inc. v. Varian Med. Sys., Inc.</i> , Paper 20 (PTAB Oct. 7, 2016).....	6
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. EveryMD LLC</i> , IPR2014-00242, Paper 31 (PTAB May 12, 2015)	5
<i>Gnosis S.p.A. v. S. Ala. Med. Sci. Found.</i> , IPR2013-00116, Paper 68 (PTAB Jun. 20, 2014), <i>aff’d</i> , 808 F.3d 823 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>reh’g en banc denied</i> (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5
<i>HTC Corp. v. Parthenon Unified Mem. Arch. LLC</i> , IPR2016-01135, Paper 47 (PTAB Oct. 6, 2017).....	8

<i>Intellectual Ventures Mgt., LLC v. Xilinx, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00020, Paper 34 (PTAB Feb. 11, 2014)	5, 6
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00128, Paper 63 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014), <i>aff'd</i> , 638 Fed. Appx. 999 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (nonprecedential)	5
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00266, Paper 73 (PTAB Oct. 28, 2014), <i>aff'd</i> , 638 Fed. Appx. 999 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (nonprecedential)	5
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins Co.. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2013-00002, Paper 27 at 2 (PTAB May 14, 2013), <i>aff'd</i> , 625 Fed. Appx. 552 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (nonprecedential)	4
<i>Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Mayne Pharma Int'l Pty Ltd.</i> , IPR2016-01186, Paper 61 (PTAB Aug. 30, 2017).....	5, 6
<i>Minerva Surgical, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00868, Paper 63 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017), <i>appeal filed</i> (Feb. 9, 2018)	8
<i>MotivePower, Inc. v. Cutsforth, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00268, Paper 31 (PTAB Oct. 30, 2014), <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> , 643 Fed. Appx. 1008 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (nonprecedential)	5
<i>Nikon Corp. v. ASML Netherlands B.V.</i> , IPR2015-00002/00003, Paper 15 (PTAB May 28, 2015)	6
<i>ON Semiconductor v. Power Integrations, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01600, Paper 35 (PTAB Feb. 14, 2018)	8
<i>Parrot S.A. v. QFO Labs, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01550, Paper 60 (PTAB Feb. 15, 2018).....	8
<i>Parrot S.A. v. QFO Labs, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01559, Paper 55 (PTAB Feb. 15, 2018).....	8
<i>Polygroup Ltd. v. Willis Elec. Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2016-01613, Paper 118 (PTAB Feb. 26, 2018)	8

<i>Prime Focus Creative Servs. Canada Inc. v. Legend3D, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01243, Paper 54 (PTAB Dec. 18, 2017), <i>reh'g requested</i> (Jan. 16, 2018)	8
<i>REG Synthetic Fuels, LLC v. Neste Oil Oyj</i> , IPR2013-00178, Paper 63 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014), <i>aff'd w/o op.</i> , 607 Fed. Appx. 988 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5
<i>Riverbed Tech., Inc. v. Silver Peak Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00402, Paper 35 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2014)	5
<i>Riverbed Tech., Inc. v. Silver Peak Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00403, Paper 33 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2014)	5
<i>Silver Peak Sys., Inc. v. Riverbed Tech., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00128/00149, Paper 20 (PTAB Aug. 7, 2014)	6
<i>Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co. v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1</i> , IPR2016-01259, Paper 47 (PTAB Dec. 20, 2017) (<i>per curiam</i>)	8
<i>YMax Corp. v. Focal IP, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01258, Paper 60 (PTAB Dec. 28, 2017), <i>appeal filed</i> (Mar. 1, 2018)	8

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)	15
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	2, 13
35 U.S.C. § 314(c)	13
35 U.S.C. § 316(d)(1)(A)	7

REGULATIONS AND RULEMAKING

37 C.F.R. § 42.108(a)	14
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)	14
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(2)	6
PTAB Standard Operating Procedure #1 (rev. 14)	3, 7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.