

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a  
ON SEMICONDUCTOR  
Petitioner

v.

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-01600  
Patent No. 7,834,605

---

**DECLARATION OF DR. ARTHUR W. KELLEY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK .....	5
II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	6
III. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	8
IV. BASIS FOR OPINIONS .....	10
V. FIELD OF ART.....	11
VI. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	11
VII. THE '605 Patent .....	12
A. Technology Background: “Switch-mode” power supplies and the cycle-by-cycle current limit .....	12
B. The Invention of the '605 Patent.....	21
VIII. Claim construction.....	29
IX. The proposed SUBSTITUTE claims 13-16 .....	30
X. THE SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS NARROW THE SCOPE OF THE .....	31
XI. THE ORIGINAL DISCLOSURE SUPPORTS THE SUBSTITUTE.....	33
B. Independent Substitute Claim 13 Is Supported by the '642 Application .....	34
1. “A power supply regulator, comprising” .....	34
2. “a comparator having a first input coupled to sense a voltage representative of a current flowing through a switch during an on time of the switch, the comparator having a second input coupled to receive a variable current limit threshold that increases during the on time of the switch” .....	34
3. “a feedback circuit coupled to receive a feedback signal representative of an output voltage at an output of a power supply” .....	36

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
4. <i>“a control circuit coupled to generate a control signal in response to an output of the comparator and in response to an output of the feedback circuit, the control signal to be coupled to a control terminal of the switch to control switching of the switch.”</i> .....	36
5. <i>“wherein, for each of a plurality of consecutive control signal cycles each having a first state and a second state, the variable current limit threshold increases during at least a portion of the first state of each control signal cycle and decreases during at least a portion of the second state of each control signal cycle.”</i> .	37
C. Dependent Substitute Claims 14-16 Are Supported by the '642 Application .....	40
1. <i>Claim 14: “The power supply regulator of claim [[1]] 13 further comprising an oscillator having a first output to generate a sawtooth waveform, wherein the variable current limit threshold is generated in response to the sawtooth waveform.”</i> .....	40
2. <i>Claim 15: “The power supply regulator of claim [[2]] 14 wherein the control circuit includes a latch to provide the control signal, wherein the latch includes a reset input coupled to the output of the comparator.”</i> .....	41
3. <i>Claim 16: “The power supply regulator of claim [[1]] 13 wherein a duty cycle of the control signal is modulated in response to an output of the feedback circuit.”</i> .....	42
XII. THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE.....	42
B. The de Sartre Patent Does Not Disclose the New Limitations Added to the Proposed Substitute Claims 13-16 and Therefore Does Not Anticipate the Substitute Claims .....	43
C. The Maige Patent Does Not Disclose the New Limitations Added to the Proposed Substitute Claims 13-16 and Therefore Does Not Anticipate the Substitute Claims .....	46
D. No Known Prior Art Discloses the New Limitations Added to the Proposed Substitute Claims 13-16 and Therefore No Known Prior Art Anticipates the Substitute Claims .....	49

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
E. No Combination of Known Prior Art Renders Obvious The Proposed Substitute Claims.....	51
A. Claim Interpretation .....	53
XIV. CONCLUSION.....	58

I, Arthur W. Kelley, of Cary, North Carolina, declare that:

**I. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK**

1. I have been retained by Patent Owner Power Integrations, Inc. (“Power Integrations” or “Patent Owner”) in the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review (IPR) as an independent expert in the relevant field.

2. I have been asked to provide my independent analysis regarding the *inter partes* review initiated by petitioner Semiconductor Components Industries, LLC d/b/a ON Semiconductor (“ON Semiconductor” or “Petitioner”) related to U.S. Patent No. 7,834,605 (“the ’605 patent”), which is assigned to Patent Owner. I have been asked to consider what one of ordinary skill in the art as of the effective filing date of the ’605 patent would have understood from the ’605 patent, including scientific and technical knowledge related to the ’605 patent. I have also been asked to consider issues relating to the substitute claims proposed by Patent Owner.

3. I understand that Patent Owner is presently moving to amend U.S. Patent No. 7,834,605 (“the ’605 patent”). I further understand that the motion seeks to cancel claims 1, 2, 5, and 9 and substitute them with proposed substitute claims 13, 14, 15, and 16, respectively. In particular, PI’s proposed amendment adds a new limitation to original independent claim 1 (resulting in proposed substitute independent claim 13) and modifies dependent claims 2, 5, and 9 such

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.