

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEALS BOARD

---

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC  
Petitioner

v.

HOSPIRA, INC  
Patent Owner

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2016-01577  
Patent 8,242,158

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,242,158**

*Mail Stop “PATENT BOARD”*  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| I.   | INTRODUCTION .....                                      | 1  |
| II.  | GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....                               | 1  |
| III. | STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED .....         | 1  |
| IV.  | BACKGROUND .....  | 2  |
|      | A.    History of Dexmedetomidine .....                  | 2  |
|      | B.    Formulation of Parenteral Drugs.....              | 3  |
|      | 1.    Storage material studies .....                    | 3  |
|      | 2.    Tonicity .....                                    | 5  |
|      | C.    “Ready to Use” Formulations .....                 | 5  |
|      | D.    The ’158 Patent .....                             | 6  |
|      | E.    Prosecution History of the ’158 Patent .....      | 7  |
| V.   | STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED ....  | 10 |
|      | A.    Claims for Which Review is Requested.....         | 10 |
|      | B.    Statutory Grounds of Challenge.....               | 10 |
|      | C.    Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....          | 11 |
|      | D.    Claim Construction.....                           | 11 |
|      | 1.    Ready to Use .....                                | 12 |
|      | 2.    Dexmedetomidine .....                             | 13 |
| VI.  | IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES .....                      | 14 |
|      | A.    Each Cited Reference is Available Prior Art ..... | 15 |
|      | 1.    2010 Precedex Label (Ex. 1007).....               | 15 |

|    |   |    |
|----|---|----|
| 2. | U.S. Patent No. 6,716,867 (Ex. 1006) .....  | 15 |
| 3. | Giorgi (Ex. 1015) .....   | 16 |
| 4. | Eichhorn (Ex. 1016).....  | 16 |
| 5. | Palmgren (Ex. 1017) .....   | 17 |
| 6. | The Lavoisier Documents (Ex. 1018).....   | 18 |
| B. | Ground 1: Claims 1-4 of the '158 Patent Are Obvious Over the 2010 Precedex Label in view of Palmgren .....  | 18 |
| 1. | Claim 1 .....   | 18 |
| 2. | Claims 2-3 .....  | 22 |
| 3. | Claim 4 .....   | 23 |
| 4. | Claim Chart.....  | 24 |
| C. | Ground 2: Claims 1-4 of the '158 Patent Would Have Been Obvious Over U.S. 6,716,867 in view of the 2010 Precedex Label and Palmgren.....                              | 25 |
| 1. | Claim 1 .....   | 27 |
| 2. | Claims 2-3 .....  | 31 |
| 3. | Claim 4 .....   | 32 |
| 4. | Claim Chart.....  | 33 |
| D. | Ground 3: Claims 1-4 of the '158 Patent Would Have Been Obvious Over the 2010 Precedex Label in view of Giorgi, Eichhorn, Palmgren, and the Lavoisier Documents ..... | 35 |
| 1. | Claim 1 .....   | 38 |
| 2. | Claims 2-3 .....  | 42 |

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.    | Claim 4 .....  | 43 |
| 4.    | Claim Chart .....  | 44 |
| E.    | Any Secondary Considerations are Insufficient to Overcome the<br><i>Prima Facie Case</i> ..... | 46 |
| VII.  | CONCLUSION.....  | 52 |
| VIII. | MANDATORY NOTICES .....  | 52 |

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### **Cases**

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <i>Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S.Ct. 2131 (2016) .....  | 11         |
| <i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966) .....  | 15         |
| <i>Hospira Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC</i> , 1:15-cv-00697-RGA (D.Del.) .....                               | 53         |
| <i>Hospira Inc. v. Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc.</i> , No. 14-cv-01008 (D. Del. filed August 1, 2014) .....         | 26         |
| <i>Hospira, Inc. et al. v. Ben Venue Laboratories, et al.</i> No. 14-cv-00487 (D. Del. filed April 18, 2014) ..... | 26         |
| <i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007) .....   | 15, 35, 42 |
| <i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....                                   | 12         |
| <i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....  | 11         |

### **Statutes**

|                            |                |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....   | 15, 16, 17, 18 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....      | 1, 10, 14, 26  |
| 35 U.S.C. § 311 .....      | 10             |
| 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 ..... | 1              |

### **Other Authorities**

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| M.P.E.P. § 708.02 ..... | 7 |
|-------------------------|---|

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.